

Suite 5 Level 2 Homemaker City Cnr Gympie & Zilimere Roads Aspley PO Box 842 Aspley Qld 4034 P 3263 4977 - F 3263 4966

> office@oxmarproperties.com.au www.oxmarproperties.com.au

Friday 22nd December 2017

Hon Cameron Dick MP Minister for State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning PO Box 15009 CITY EAST QLD 4002

Dear Minister

Re: City of Gold Coast Temporary Local Planning Instrument No. 5 (Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017

Submission Objecting to the Proposed Introduction of this Instrument

Reference is made to the City of Gold Coast's recent introduction of the *Temporary Local Planning Instrument No. 5 (Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction)* 2017 (from herein, the TLPI), which was formally released to the public on Friday 8 December 2017.

We thank you for the opportunity to present a submission objecting to the contents of this TLPI.

By way of background, Øxmar Properties is a highly-credentialled property developer with over 30 years of experience delivering a range of projects across Queensland. For further information on our company, please feel free to visit the website, <u>www.oxmarproperties.com.au/about-us/</u>

We have recently acquired a site situated on the southern side of the Link Way at Mudgeeraba, which consists Let 42 on SP184241, Lot 30 on SP270379, Lot 24 on SP868214 and Lot 25 on SP270379. The development site measures 60.44ha in size and is proposed to be improved through the construction of 1776 residential units and other residential accommodation facilities, which has a value of over \$350 million intended to be invested into the local development and construction sectors.

After extensive review of the contents of this TLPI, we are gravely concerned that the proposed regulatory controls for development projects within the floodplain will have an extremely adverse effect on the development prospects of this site, in addition to a range of other investment opportunities that we are presently considering across the City.

Specifically focusing on the Link Way Project, the following details the extensive work that we have undertaken to date to assure that the project satisfies our Company's pledge, being "to develop consistently high quality residential environments, which enhance the lives of the people who live there and the community as a whole":

- 1. Oxmar Properties have engaged extensively with Gold Coast City Council (GCCC) regarding the nature and style of development suitable for the site and to meet residential demands clearly expressed by the community.
- 2. Oxmar Properties have facilitated a number of pre-lodgement meetings with GCCC Officers and affected Local Area Councillors to discuss the Project.
- 3. Oxmar Properties have engaged a team of specialist technical consultants and are expected to be in a position to lodge a formal Development Application with the GCCC in January 2018. We have expended several hundred thousand dollars to date to get to this point.
- 4. In selecting their consulting team for the Project, Oxmar Properties engaged Burchills Engineering Solutions as their technical engineering services firm, whom have several decades of specialist experience working on development and planning in the floodplains across the City. Burchills has undertaken best practice Flood Emergency Management planning and design that has been the cornerstone of the iterative development of the Project's overall proposal scheme.
- 5. Oxmar Properties notes that construction of the Project will both enhance the local environment and will reduce the flood impacts on adjacent GCCC community infrastructure. Further, the proposed upgrade to Link Way will provide flood free access to the shopping centre for new residents and the broader community.

In summary, Oxmar Properties wishes to emphasise that the regulations contained within the TLPI would render approximately half of the likely development yield from the Link Way Project as not being achievable. This would result in a significant negative economic impact being felt on the local construction industry, whilst also exacerbating population growth and housing affordability issues being felt across the City.

Oxmar Properties' primary concerns brought about by the introduction of the TLPI relates to the process by which it has been introduced, specifically:

- Overall, the lack of consultation with industry stakeholders and affected parties regarding the contents and the release of the TLPI is of concern.
- No independent engineering or planning assessment appears to have been undertaken, with several potential unintended consequences of the TLPI being observed.
- The lack of transition period created by the introduction of the TLPI prejudices developers with significant financial exposure in current and pending development applications.
- No guidance on the application of the TLPI has been provided, which results in uncertainty surrounding how the instrument affects development projects across the floodplain.
- Council's information briefing (provided with only 24 hours' notice) was unclear in its guidance when numerous typical example development cases were discussed.

 There remains questions as to whether the State Government's 0.8m sea level rise figure has been used to support the introduction of the TLPI. This is a separate issue which will be addressed via updated flood mapping, which is yet to be released by Council.

Oxmar Properties firmly believe that the State Government, working with Council, should seek to establish a collaborative working group including government and industry stakeholders to advance discussions around how flood-resilient development should be sustainably located and designed across the City.

We kindly request that this submission is read in conjunction with other objections that have been presented from other industry stakeholders, including those from Burchills Engineering Solutions, whom we have engaged as our engineering consulting services firm for the Link Way Project. Their submission was issued on Tuesday, 19 December 2017.

We look forward to working in collaboration with government and industry stakeholders to advance discussions around how flood-resilient development should be sustainably located and designed across the City.

Should you have any queries or require any additional information relating to the above, please do not hesitate to contact or via mobile, Sch. 4(4)(6) - Disclosing per



cc: Kim Kirstein Manager Planning & Development Services – SEQ South Department of infrastructure, Local Government and Planning

The experience you deserve 沟



Our Ref: Our Ref Enquiries to:

Tuesday, 19 December 2017

Hon Cameron Dick MP Minister for State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning PO Box 15009 CITY EAST QLD 4002

Dear Minister

Re: City of Gold Coast Temporary Local Planning Instrument No. 5 (Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017

Submission Objecting to the Proposed Introduction of this Instrument

Reference is made to the City of Gold Coast's recent introduction of the *Temporary Local Planning Instrument No. 5 (Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction)* 2017 (from herein, the TLPI), which was formally released to the public on Friday 8 December 2017.

We thank you for the opportunity to present a submission objecting to the contents of this Instrument.

After extensive review of the contents of this TLPI, we are gravely concerned that the proposed regulatory controls for development projects within the floodplain will have an extremely adverse effect on the development and construction sectors across the City.

For example, we are confident that the TLPI's envisaged policy shift will render a range of pending projects as being unachievable. A selection of these key projects includes:

Project	Address	Land Size	Development Yield
67 Macadie Way, Merrimac	67 Macadie Way, Merrimac	3.6ha	56 Residential Units & 74 Town House Dwellings
The Italo Club Retirement Village	18 Fairway Drive, Clear Island Waters	3.86ha	94 Residential Units
Parkwood Golf Course	76-122 Napper Rd, Parkwood	56.49ha (Total lot area)	260 room Retirement Facility.
The Link Way, Mudgeeraba	lot 42 on SP184241, lot 30 on SP270379,	60.44ha	928 Units and 339 Townhouses

www.burchills.com.au

Project	Address	Land Size	Development Vield
	lot 24 on 868214 and lot 25 on SP270379		
Green Heart Gardens	153 Gooding Drive, Merrimac	75.95ha	5,000 multi-residential units and 8,000m ² of commercia floor space
Robina Transit (Palmer Colonial)	57 Paradise Springs Avenue, Robina	70ha	2,500 residential units

As can be seen from the scale of the abovementioned projects, extensive economic impacts on the construction industry will be felt if they do not proceed. Furthermore, population growth targets for the City of Gold Coast will become harder to realise, thus further accentuating housing affordability issues.

Table 1 has been prepared below, which provides a technical review of the perceived issues that appear to have guided the development of the *Temporary Local Planning Instrument No. 5 (Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017.* As you will read in our review, we firmly believe that resilient development in the floodplain is achievable, subject to adherence with suitable development controls.

www.burchills.com.au

The experience you appeare S

Table 1 – Technical Review of Perceived Issues associated with Development in Flood Affected Areas

The experience you deserve



The experience you deserve ≶

Perceived Issues	Discussion	Burchills' Feedback
		 Determining hazard needs to include other factors in addition to just depth and velocity. For any development application that has a proposed footprint within a 'high hazard' zone, whether the flow is being transferred over the design surface or underneath a platform, a proper risk assessment needs to be undertaken in conjunction with a Multi Criteria Analysis and Cost Benefit Assessment to ensure that a rigorous decision is made based on a range of factors and not just independent velocity and depth variables.
		 Flood mitigation measures (structural and non-structural) once assessed needs to be viewed in line with "what is the residual risk?" question and can the residual risk be adequately managed. A Flood Emergency Management Plan (FEMP) can greatly assist in reducing the risk such that the high hazard can be managed, as well as having a 'state of the art' flood warning and forecasting system in place. Developers that are seeking a development proposal within high flood hazard zones should commit to undertaking water level flood gauging at the sites upstream and downstream extents to confirm the actual flood mechanics that forms part of the hazard categorisation.
		Burchills submits that the introduction of a TLPI in this circumstance is not warranted. Any planning instrument should be informed and considered for implementation on after Council has developed a detailed hydraulic and land use master plan for the City's floodplains. The hydraulic and land use master plan can then be used to guide what is and is not possible on a particular site, subject to a site-specific hydraulic assessment being prepared to support a development proposal.
		Furthermore, our view is that based on the above feedback, a potential alternative policy approach would be to protect major flow paths and to allow controlled podium development in backwater/storage areas.
(b) Asset renewal	Similar to other assets, platforms	 Podiums and platforms are designed and constructed to have an equivalent design life as any other type of built form, therefore this perceived lifecycle issue does not appear to relevant.
	have a design life and will need to be	 Podiums and platform structures are designed by experienced and qualified engineers certified by the State Government under the Board of Professional Engineers.
$\left[\right]$	renewed over a 50 or 70 year cycle	 The costs associated with maintenance and replacement obligations are borne by the property owner/s and are not borne by the community.

The experience you deserve 😒

Perceived Issues	Discussion	Burchills' Feedback
	substantial costs to the community.	Summarising Comments Burchills submits that the technical query regarding the design life of platform and podium assets has no technical basis and should therefore be rejected.
(c) Safety	Building on platform provides habitable floors that are normally only a few metres above ground level with potential of full inundation of land under the building even during minor floods	 The Gold Coast floodplains are flooded by slow rising, longer duration events that provide ample warning time for people to move or evacuate and for moveable property to be relocated or moved to higher ground. Furthermore, platform and podium developments are designed to ensure that the structural integrity of the structure is maintained during flood events. Accordingly, we are unable to understand what risks humans are being exposed to by flood inundation under buildings. Development with flood free access and evacuation routes – If fenced balconies overhang flood water, what is the safety issue? Development proposals in medium flood hazard areas under the current planning requirements are required to be supported by a comprehensive Flood Emergency Management Plan which addresses matters such as refuge areas above flood, maintaining continuous power supply, water, food supply, medical needs, fire, communications evacuation, and security. Under the new planning instrument development will be allowed in flood affected areas that do not require these management measures to be considered. Refuge in place provisions apply to new development where residents' access and egress can be cut-off by floodwaters, generally providing refuge areas above probable maximum flood (PMF) level. High-rise balconies pose a greater risk to life from falls onto hard surfaces? There is greater potential for scour to occur on unprotected properties (higher in the catchment) exposed to high velocity flows in close proximity to creek / river channels than podium developments set on floodplains (generally low velocity environments) during extreme weather events.

The experience you deserve

Perceived Issues	Discussion	Burchills' Feedback
		Summarising Comments Burchills submits that based on the particular characteristics of flood events across the Gold Coast, that residents often receive extended warning periods to enable them to pack up and retreat to higher ground. Notwithstanding, the specific design criteria for developments within the floodplain, including the need to adhere to the requirements of Flood Emergency Management Plans, results in such projects being safe and resilient in cases of flood.
(d) Compliance ramifications	The use of building on platform requires that the area under the building will be maintained to function as floodplain storage and/or overland flow path (i.e. cannot be built in). Once built, this critical aspect will be difficult to verify to ensure the development is complying with the conditions of approval.	 It is acknowledged that some developments may not maintain undercroft areas correctly, although it must be noted that non-compliance with development approval conditions is an issue that is confronted by Council with any development project. Council already operates a canal maintenance team which provides surveillance of unlawful land uses and construction activities. It is expected that such a team will be able to expand their reach to also regularly examine compliance of development projects within the floodplain. Summarising Comments Burchills submits that compliance ramifications are a potential issue needing to be managed, as they are with any development project. In order to remedy this perceived issue, Council may require via conditions of approval that developers prepare and submit annual reports demonstrating compliance with requirements relating to maintenance of these undercroft areas.
(e) Potential environmental health impacts	Increased ponding of water and potential environmental health impacts. Based on the Guraganbah master plan vision, ponding of water would occur on the floodplain at a	 Compared to often unkempt nature of pre-development floodplains, we would expect less ponding and fewer potential health concerns arising from development projects being carried out in the floodplain. The TLPI would allow podiums only up to 0.6m above the ground, which renders the ability to access and maintain these sites to be difficult and potentially dangerous.

The experience you deserve 🗠

Perceived Issues	Discussion	Burchills' Feedback
	safe distance from buildings and not directly under the residential buildings.	 The issues that have been raised can be addressed by the preparation and implementation of an Undercroft Management Plan and a Groundwater Management Plan. Burchills has worked on several such Plans and are happy to present examples if sought. Summarising Comments Burchills submits that a development project within the floodplain that is well-located, designed and managed will promote a style of development that reduces potential environmental health impacts on the surrounding ecosystem and on residents of the area.
		Through the preparation and implementation of technical reports such as Undercroft Management Plans and Groundwater Management Plans, an extensive range of environmental information is obtained which results in tailored mitigatory measures being employed for the life of the project.
Other Issues for Dis	scussion	
(f) Land Use		 Areas being developed in the floodplain are typically close to existing infrastructure and represent efficient infill development opportunities. The majority of the subject sites seeking to be developed in the floodplain are generally privately-owned, are of low value and offer minimal use prospects.
		 Development of such prospects offers Council the opportunity to collect headworks charges and ongoing payments of rates from new residents.
		 Development of such prospects offers the opportunity to levy contributions to contribute to the proposed Green Heart open space initiative along with other Council initiatives in the future.
		 As part of the preparation of the TLPI, we are unsure as to whether visual amenity considerations are applicable. If so, examples of particular attributes of examined projects should be nominated and presented to the industry for broader examination.
		• The introduction of the TLPI may be seen as a strategic approach to Council seeking to acquire the land within the floodplain. If this is the case, this approach needs to be presented and discussed in further detail with affected stakeholders.

The experience you deserve 🛸

Perceived Issues	Discussion	Burchills' Feedback
		 Council policy relating to floodplain management and flood emergency management is flawed and the industry and community needs to be consulted to form a holistic masterplan that all parties are in agreement with. Summarising Comments Burchills submits that Council should embark upon the development of a holistic masterplan relating to development projects in the floodplain. Such a project should be driven by a collaborative working group that includes government and industry stakeholders, with its initial piece of work being to examine and assess the various perceived issues detailed within this document
(g) Process		Overall, the lack of consultation with industry stakeholders and affected parties regarding the contents and the release of the TLPI is of concern.
		•. No independent engineering or planning assessment appears to have been undertaken, with several potential unintended consequences of the TLPI being observed.
		 The lack of transition period created by the introduction of the TLPI prejudices developers with significant financial exposure in current and pending development applications.
		 No guidance on the application of the TLPI has been provided, which results in uncertainty surrounding how the instrument affects development projects across the floodplain.
		Council's information briefing (provided with only 24 hours' notice) was unclear in its guidance when numerous typical example development cases were discussed.
		Questions remain as to whether the State Government's 0.8m sea level rise figure has been used to support the introduction of the TLPI. This is a separate issue which will be addressed via updated flood mapping, which is yet to be released by Council.
		Summarising Comments
	Burchills submits that the process by which the TLPI has been prepared and introduced into the public sphere has not enabled the forms of rigorous discussion required to better understand the rationale behind its implementation and to better investigate the true implications of it becoming Council policy.	

The experience you deserve 😒

Perceived Issues	Discussion	Burchills' Feedback
		We firmly believe that the State Government, working with Council, should seek to establish a collaborative working group including government and industry stakeholders to advance discussions around how flood-resilient development should be sustainably located and designed across the City.

</

www.burchills.com.au

The experience

We kindly request the opportunity to meet with yourself and stakeholders from SARA and the Queensland State Government to discuss the abovementioned information in further detail.

Further, we look forward to working in collaboration with government and industry stakeholders to advance discussions around how flood-resilient development should be sustainably located and designed across the City.

Should you have any queries or require any additional information relating to the above, please do not hesitate to contact or via mobile,





Our Ref: Z17139



22 December 2017

The Hon Cameron Dick MP Minister for State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning Unit 1, 80 Wembley Road Woodridge Qld 4114 p 07 5562 2303

GOLD COAST | GLADSTONE

info@zoneplanning.com.au zoneplanning.com.au

ABN 36 607 362 238

Dear Sir

CITY OF GOLD COAST TEMPORARY LOCAL PLANNING INSTRUMENT (TLPI) NO. 5 MINIMUM LAND ABOVE DESIGNATED FLOOD LEVEL AND RESIDENTIAL RISK REDUCTION) 2017

We write to you as an industry stakeholder and on behalf of our client, Myall Group, regarding City of Gold Coast's recent TLPI No 5 which it is understood is currently with your office for your endorsement.

Firstly, we would like to make it very clear that we do not support development occurring in locations which place undue risk to persons and/or property. We also understand that the recent litigation cases occurring in relation to the Brisbane 2011 are fresh on everyone's mind.

However, we have concerns in regard to the proposed TLPI No 5 in that trying to achieve a certain outcome, decisions are being made in haste of which have had little (if any) peer review, or consultation with key external stakeholders, experts in the area of flooding and natural hazard risk management, or industry in general.

The purpose of the TLPI is to, "...prevent the potential loss of the City's flood resilience and enable the sustainable mitigation of flood hazard on land included on City Plan's Flood overlay map. The provision seeks to strengthen Council's commitment to ensure development in flood affected areas is safe and resilient" with proposed amendments to the Flood Overlay Code to ensure:

- a. Residential uses are only exposed to medium or less flood hazard; and
- b. ROL's provide sufficient land at or above the Designated Flood Level.

(Source: http://www.goldcoast.qld.gov.au/planning-and-building/temporary-local-planning-instrument-no-5-2017-43294.html)

Additionally, the amendments seek to "...discourage the proliferation of Residential Uses constructed on platforms above Flood Affected Land".

This provision is clear in its intent that podium development does not occur in the City. However, no peer reviewed technical data has been made available to support that this type of development (construction method) is ineffective or that it creates a danger to persons or property in a severe weather event. In fact, local based hydraulic modelling data indicates otherwise and this type of development is supported by structural engineers and qualified natural hazard risk management experts.

1638 Tweed Street, Burleigh Heads QLD | PO Box 3805, Burleigh Town QLD 4220

Additionally, this type of development is considered a more sustainable construction method compared to traditional cut and fill processes (of which Council officers have confirmed they are supportive of) due to their limited impact on the environment – being piers/columns verses substantial earthworks and recontouring of the natural environment.

Furthermore, in seeking to introduce the term 'flood resilience' in to the TLPI, Council has offered no explanation as to what this means and how it can be achieved. The term resilience is a broadly used term and varies across different contexts; however, it is mostly referred to the ability to bounce back or recover from a significant event and / or the ability to adapt to different situations. In the context of disaster management, flood resilience can be explained as reducing the devastating impacts of floods before a flood event occurs. In the case of podium development, this type of development seeks to do just this – despite the TLPI seeking to "...discourage the proliferation of Residential Uses constructed on platforms above Flood Affected Land".

Should the proposed TLPI be endorsed in its current form, Myall Group, as a local developer with international investment ties, will be directly affected by these changes. Myall Group lodged a development application into Council on 27 November 2017 with no knowledge of the impending release of the TLPI. In this specific situation, a prelodgement meeting was held with Council officers in August 2017 prior to lodging the development application; of which officers were supportive of the proposed podium residential development (which adjoins a Court approved podium residential development), giving Myall Group confidence to move forward with the development.

At the specific request of Council's Hydraulic officers, substantial flood modelling was 'required' to be undertaken and Council's Prelodgement Meeting Minutes did not indicate that the proposed development format was unacceptable. That is, there was no indication that a podium format would be unsupported by Council providing visual amenity and technical aspects could be achieved, including flood mitigation to a 500 year ARI flood event. As local flood data was not available from Council in relation to the subject site, detailed flood modelling was undertaken at considerable cost to Myall Group to ensure the development was technically sound - of which the hydraulic modelling data confirmed to be the case. In regard to the visual amenity, landscape buffers the full perimeter of the podium were proposed as requested by officers.

Discussion with Council officers, both within the Council's policy and development assessment sections, indicate that they are not prepared in dealing with the TLPI and are unable to provide any advice in regard to applications currently being assessed through the development assessment process. Furthermore, the hundreds of thousands of dollars invested in the preparation of expert reports in support of the development (some 'required' by Council officers), along with tens of thousands of dollars in Council application fees should also be considered.

Again, we are not supporting inappropriate development in unsafe locations, podium development has proven to be a structurally and technically sound construction method in areas of inundation over many years, both locally and internationally.

It is respectfully requested that due consideration be given to the facts and peer reviewed technical evidence be sought prior to making a decision in regard to TLPI No 5.

Additionally, consideration is also requested in regard to the substantial investment that has been made by developers in preparing their development applications and expert reports for Council's assessment, with no prior knowledge or consultation in regard to Council's proposed TLPI No 5.

1638 Tweed Street, Burleigh Heads QLD | PO Box 3805, Burleigh Town QLD 4220

Should you have any queries concerning the above please contact myself or of this office on We look forward to receiving your response to the items raised in this correspondence at your earliest convenience.

Yours sincerely



ZONE PLANNING GROUP

CC:

- 1. Kim Kirstein
 - Manager, Gold Coast SARA South East Queensland (South) Department of PO Box 3290 Australia Fair Southport Qld 4215 Email: <u>GCSARA@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>
- 2. Amanda Tzannes Manager, City Planning City of Gold Coast PO Box 5042 GCMC QLD 9729 Email: <u>atzannes@goldcoast.qld.gov.au</u>/<u>mail@goldcoast.qld.gov.au</u>/

1638 Tweed Street, Burleigh Heads QLD | PO Box 3805, Burleigh Town QLD 4220

3

20 December 2017

Mr Dale Dickson Chief Executive Officer City of Gold Coast PO Box 5042 GOLD COAST MC 9729



GPO Box 2279 Brisbane QLD 4001 Level 12, 120 Edward Street Brisbane QLD 4000

T: 07 3229 1589 F: 07 3229 7857 E: <u>udia@udiagld.com.au</u>

www.udiagld.com.au ACN 010 007 084 ABN 32 885 108 968

BY POST / EMAIL - ddickson@goldcoast.qld.gov.au

Dear Mr Dickson,

Proposed Temporary Local Planning Instrument No. 5 (Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017

We note from the City of Gold Coast (City) Planning and Development Alert dated 8 December that the City has resolved to prepare and endorse a Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 (TLPI). We also note that Council has asked the Minister to approve backdated commencement of the TLPI from 8 December.

The Urban Development Institute of Australia (the institute) has concerns regarding the detail and development of the TLPI, which are detailed below.

However, before detailing these concerns, we would like to take this opportunity as we come to the end of 2017 to express our thanks for Council's contribution to the productive working relationship that has existed between the Institute's Gold Coast Logan Branch and the Council throughout 2017. The year has been a successful year for the Institute and Gold Coast with a high number of development applications lodged and finalised by Council and progress on many policy issues. We look forward to continuing this relationship into 2018.

As you are aware, the Institute is a national not-for-profit organisation representing the property development industry and the Queensland office is the largest of the state bodies. The role of the Institute is to assist our members to deliver jobs, diverse housing, and thriving communities. In this context, we must indicate serious concerns of the industry with the TLPI. On the basis of the concerns outlined below, the Institute recommends the TLPI be withdrawn and that informational and other issues be resolved with industry.

The key concerns regarding the proposed TLPI are:

- Inadequate consultation has occurred with the industry
- The need for a TLPI has not been provided or satisfactorily justified

The TLPI is not properly framed in that its provisions do not accord with its object and the definition of flood resilience is unclear

The TLPI excludes cut and fill and podium style development in flood affected areas

- The impacts on supply of a diversity of housing and achievement of SEQ regional plan housing supply expectations
- Negative effect on the value of many land holdings and owners' financial situation if the TLPI is applied including potential loss of rights to compensation
- The TLPI has a range of unintended effects citywide (such as to redevelopment in existing areas)
- The unclear extent of external technical or professional engineering advice obtained during the preparation of the TLPI
- The issuing of the TLPI prior to the City Plan Major Update does not accurately portray the impact of the TLPI changes
- Issues with the City Plan Major Update that affect the TLPI impacts remain unresolved.

Regarding the recent City Plan Major Update, the Institute provided a submission and material which identified serious concerns with the included flood modelling, specifically:

- Inadequate information including:
 - o Material for professionals to review the assumptions of the modelling
 - o The basis for both the 10% increase in rainfall intensity and adoption of 50% of total wave setup at the mouth of the Tallebudgera and Currumbin Creeks
 - o Whether November 2016 revision of Australian Rainfall and Runoff was considered
 - o Inclusion of the flood mitigation benefits of Hinze Dam Stage 3
 - o The reason for the use of 2100 as the year for the 80cm sea level increase
 - o The lack of detail on any peer review of materials that may have been undertaken
 - o Indication of the designated flood /evel
 - o Identification of areas that are likely affected by the designated flood level
 - Identification of areas that are likely to be greater than 0.6 metres in depth to the designated flood level.
- Inadequate consideration of the effects of the Hinze Dam stage 3 project on flood levels.

Further information and recommendations on these points are provided below.

Inadequate consultation

The TLPI has appeared without prior notice in the industry's busiest season. No consultation period was included in the notice on 8 December and the proposals indicate a very substantial change that will have very substantial impacts upon existing and intended projects.

While TLPIs do not require consultation, we consider this creates a greater moral obligation that they are only rarely, justifiably used. The Institute is not aware of any issue that justifies a departure from standard consultation requirements regarding planning scheme amendments nor any emergency or new evidence of serious risk of harm to persons or property from flooding that warrants this change.

We also note that the recent planning scheme amendment, City Plan Major Update, proposed significant changes to Council flood mapping. The Institute flagged in its submission on 15 November a number of concerns and questions. To date, we have not received clarification on those issues that are relevant to this TLPI. Adequate consultation regarding the City Plan Major Update has not yet occurred to resolve its inherent issues. The TLPI is relevant to that work and compounds our concerns that consultation has been insufficient.

The Institute recommends that, at the least, the assumptions and modelling that have resulted in the TLPI proposals should be subject to an independent technical review. The Institute would, of course, cooperatively involve itself in any review of material.

Inadequate information

The Institute, in its submission on 15 November regarding the City Plan Major Update scheme amendment, indicated concerns with:

- Lack of transparency of the material available and the lack of supporting and background information
- Inadequate material for professionals to review the assumptions that underpin the flood modelling
- The basis for both the 10% increase in rainfall intensity and adoption of 50% of total wave setup at the mouth of the Tallebudgera and Currumbin Creeks
- Whether November 2016 revision of Australian Rainfall and Runoff was considered in the material
- The non-inclusion of the flood mitigation benefits of Hinze Dam Stage 3 to preserve and improve the City's flood resilience
- Use of 2100 as the year for the 80cm sea level increase
- The lack of any detail on any peer review of materials that may have been undertaken.

The draft City Plan Major Update planning scheme included flood levels that resulted in sites being indicated as liable to flooding that previously were not. We note that Council has removed this information from the interactive website mapping. This is a significant concern for the industry as it seeks to ensure development is well based and raises duty of care concerns.

The flood modelling issues of the previous City Plan Major Update remain outstanding. The Institute recommends that these issues be resolved prior to progress of the TLPI as they affect understanding of the impact of the TLPI and indeed its necessity. The Institute recommends that the TLPI is paused and relevant information distributed with a view to achieving greater agreement on the assumptions. This would underpin a robust and more widely accepted action on flood resilience for the region. The Institute considers the following additional critical information on flood modelling should be made available for review:

- Indication of the new defined Qioe level
- Updated defined Q100 flood level mapping
- Mapping of areas that would be deeper than 0.6 metres under the new level.

In addition to the underlying flood modelling information, the Institute seeks further information that is critical to enable understanding of the impact of the TLPI changes.

A statement was made by officers at the information session on 14 December that less than 2,500 properties in total are expected to be affected by the changes. However, it is clear to the Institute that the affect would most likely be more significant if the new flood levels are imposed as per the recent City Plan Major Update.

Our view on the available information is that it is likely the TLPI will affect most sites in the Gurangunbah Flood Plan, Mudgeeraba, Currumbin Creek, Tallebudgera Creek, Coomera River, and other areas that are subject to flooding. Also, the TLPI specifically lacks adequate information to clarify that some existing development approaches are permitted (further information on this is provided in the next section). The lack of resolved information is a critical shortcoming of the TLPI.

TLPI provisions and technical issues

The Institute is concerned that the TLPI would remove the ability to continue cut and fill and podium style development in flood affected areas. This type of development has been accepted on the Gold Coast for at least a decade, with cutting and filling in the flood plain facilitating an increase in the area of flood free land, whilst maintaining flood storage. Also, in recent times, podiums have

been built above the flood level (not impacting flood storage) to allow for apartments and/or townhouses.

Podium style development places dwellings completely above flood level, often with access that is maintained in a flood event. We also note that in some cases there are 'remain in place' facilities that provide refuge whilst the flood passes. Members advise that in the Cyclone Debbie floods earlier this year, the latest podium style developments maintained safety, as well as power, water, sewerage, and access.

Podium style developments have been conceived and certified by Registered Professional Engineers who are registered by the Board of Professional Engineers Queensland. The standards to which these designs and certifications are undertaken are some of the most stringent in existence.

Council Officers have indicated that cut and fill and podiums may still be permitted under the TLPI. However, the TLPI is strongly worded and leads to the conclusion that this development would not be supported. Performance Outcome (PO) 16 of the TLPI is clearly against development in areas with a flood inundation depth exceeding 0.6 metres and has no Acceptable Outcomes (AO). The Institute recommends the TLPI be redrafted to clearly provide for ongoing cut and fill and podium development approaches.

TLPI object and definition issues

We note the City has used the term 'flood resilience' in the TLPI' and elsewhere, and that this is a foundation term for the TLPI. The Institute considers that this term should be better defined as, at present, there is uncertainty regarding this term.

Also, the statement included in the TLPI, 'The object of the temporary local planning instrument is to prevent the potential loss of the city's flood resilience and enable the sustainable mitigation of flood hazard on land in flood affected areas...' is considered at odds with the TLPI controls. Current scheme provisions do not permit impact on flood resilience and mitigation of flood hazard, and the proposed detailed changes will only have the impact of reducing development activity and the number of residential lots that may be created. Additional consequences could include devaluing property and impacting flood free area per lots. The Institute recommends the TLPI be reviewed to clarify the purpose and effects of the document.

Impact of changes to Hinze Dam stage 3

The draft City Plan Major Update indicated flood levels without adequately accounting for the flood retention effects of the Hinze Dam stage 3 project. This is a major omission that undermines the City Plan Major Update and the need for or area of impact of the TLPI.

The Institute recommends the TLPI be withdrawn until peer reviewed consideration is given to the appropriate role of effects of the Hinze Dam stage 3 project on flood levels.

Consequences for the Planning Scheme

The TEPI would prevent infill development within existing urban areas of the Gold Coast. In particular, our members have identified areas such as Paradise Point, Mermaid Beach, Palm Beach, and Burleigh Waters where many existing houses are affected by a water depth of greater than o.6m. When combined with the updated flood levels in the City Plan Major Update, substantial areas of these redevelopment locations will be precluded from redevelopment.

These sites were often developed by either a small cut and fill operation or a suspended floor above the flood plain. A suspended floor lifting the house above the flood level is a Queensland staple and has been an acceptable outcome for nearly a century.

The TLPI will substantially impact otherwise developable properties and will significantly reduce potential additional dwelling supply in the City. This could have critical impacts on diversity of housing options and housing supply in some locations as many City lot development and key infill locations are subject to flooding. A serious reduction of housing supply would exacerbate already concerning affordability levels in the region.

It is also expected the TLPI may impact achievement of SEQ regional plan housing supply expectations, particularly for consolidating development, in the region. We note infill makes up a very large proportion of the SEQ Regional Plan and City Plan's housing supply intention for the Gold Coast. It appears that the TLPI is premature and needs to be reviewed in terms of its effect on housing development. The Institute recommends the City give further consideration to the housing supply impacts of the TLPI, particularly the significant population growth demands being made on the region.

Compensation

The TLPI has substantial implications for the value of many land holdings and their owners' financial situations as it is not an adverse planning change for which compensation is payable. This would not be the case in a such a sudden and irrevocable manner if the changes were included in an ordinary planning scheme amendment. The Institute considers it is inappropriate to seek removal of compensation rights without well resolved background information. Also, if proposed, the community should well understand the need for such an action. The Planning Act in Section 23 (7)(b) indicates that a TLPI is not an adverse planning scheme change that would otherwise trigger rights for compensation by affected land owners.

23 Making or amending TLPIs

(7) A TLPI-

(a) does not create a superseded planning scheme; and(b) is not an adverse planning change.

The Institute considers that the TLPI should not be approved in its present form as it can have severe impacts on land holders without adequate justification. The Institute does not consider there is sufficient available evidence that there is significant risk of serious adverse environmental or other conditions that require this urgent action.

The Institute considers that the TLPI planning scheme policy changes are preemptive and poorly based. The changes should not proceed without resolution of the issues raised in the Institute's submission to the City Plan Major Update or the issues raised in this submission.

Conclusion

In summary, the Institute recommends Council withdraw or pause the proposed TLPI. The Institute considers that there are a number of issues that should be resolved, including:

Lack of supporting information

- Construction of the TLPI
- Incorporation of Hinze Dam stage 3 and other factors in the flood modelling
- Impact on housing supply
- Impact on land owners' assets and rights for compensation.

The lack of resolved information is a critical shortcoming of the TLPI. Developers may commit to a site today based on current designated flood level, but following City Plan amendments will then be precluded from developing it. This type of uncertainty has the direct result of preventing the industry from delivering economic stimulus and creating jobs on the Gold Coast. It may also cause unnecessary fear and alarm amongst new and existing residents and impact on the ability of developers within the region to acquire financing.

As indicated above, the Institute places a high value on the productive working relationship between Council and its members and would welcome the opportunity to discuss this issue further. If you have any questions relating to the detail of this submission, please contact

Yours sincerely

Urban Development Institute of Australia Queensland

President Gold Coast Logan Branch

Daniel Park

From:	Daniel Park
Sent:	Thursday, 8 February 2018 10:18 AM
То:	Thomas Holmes
Subject:	Gold Coast City Council - Proposed TLPI No.5 (minimum land above designated flood level and residential risk reduction)
Attachments:	5 December item and report.pdf; 11 October 2017 item presentation report.pdf, Letter and statement of reasons.pdf

Hi Thomas,

As discussed can you please review the email below. Note that I have not included the submission letter from Oxmar Properties as an attachment as it is not relevant to the agencies assessment of the proposed TLPI.

This email will be sent to all original agencies for the TLPI and additionally to Susan Mercer to pass on to Queensland Fire and Emergency Services. Of the original state agencies who reviewed the proposed TLPI, only Housing and Public Works provided a comment.

Good Morning,

Your state agency recently provided an assessment of the Gold Coast City Council's (the council's) prepared Temporary Local Planning Instrument (minimum land above designated flood level and residential risk reduction) No.5 (the proposed TLPI).

On 7 February 2018, the council provided additional justification and supporting information on the proposed TLPI in response to a request for the further information provided by the Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning (the department) on 15 January 2018. Please note that the council has not changed any provisions of the proposed TLPI.

The department is requesting your additional assessment of the proposed TLPI, including the relevant additional information provided by the council. All relevant documents have been attached to this email and are also available through the EziScheme online portal (reference TLPI-00046).

Can you please email your response to <u>bestplanning-SEQS@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u> AND <u>daniel.park@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u> AND <u>thomas.holmes@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u> by **COB Tuesday 13 February 2018**.

If you are not the appropriate contact in your department, can you please contact either myself, or Thomas Holmes on as soon as possible to confirm the correct contact.

Thank you, should you have any further queries please do not hesitate to call.



Government

Daniel Park Senior Planning Officer **Planning and Development Services (SEQ South)** Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Level 1, 7 Short Streert, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au From: Daniel Park [<u>mailto:Daniel.Park@dilgp.qld.gov.au]</u> Sent: Monday, 8 January 2018 4:31 PM

To: Natural Hazards <<u>NaturalHazards@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>>; <u>hicb@oir.qld.gov.au</u>; HHS CA Town Planning <<u>HHSCATownPlanning@hpw.qld.gov.au</u>>; BCQ Stateinterest <<u>bcqstateinterest@hpw.qld.gov.au</u>>;

Cc: Thomas Holmes <<u>Thomas.Holmes@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>>; Isaac Harslett <<u>Isaac.Harslett@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>> **Subject:** Gold Coast City Council - Proposed TLPI No.5 (minimum land above designated flood level and residential risk reduction)

Good Afternoon,

Gold Coast City Council (the council) has prepared a Temporary Local Planning Instrument (TLPI) to the Gold Coast City Plan 2015, titled the proposed TLPI (minimum land above designated flood level and residential risk reduction) No.5.

The council, utilising the Minister's Guidelines and Rules is now seeking the Planning Minister's approval to proceed to adopt the proposed TLPI.

On 5 December 2017, the council tabled a confidential report relating to the proposed TLPI. On 8 December 2017, the council resolved to send the proposed TLPI to the Planning Minister for approval and to seek an early effective date of 8 December 2017.

As the council has now progressed the proposed TLPI for approval, the department is now requesting your state agency assessment of the proposed TLPI. All relevant documents have been attached to this email and will be shortly be available through the EziScheme online portal.

Can you please reply to this email with any comments you have on the proposed TLPI with regards to your relevant state interests.

Note that the contents of the proposed TLPI is included within Major Update 2 for the Gold Coast City Council. If your agency comments with respect to the provisions of the proposed TLPI are the same as those you have already provided for Major Update 2 please reply stating this to be the case.

Please note that all comments and responses are to be emailed to <u>bestplanning-SEQS@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u> AND <u>daniel.park@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u> AND <u>thomas.holmes@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u> by **COB Friday 12 January 2018**.

If you are not the appropriate contact in your department, can you please contact either myself, or Thomas on 5644 3210 as soon as possible to confirm the correct contact.

Thank you, should you have any further queries please do not hesitate to call.



Daniel Park Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Queenstand Government

P 07 5644 3218 Level J., 7 Short Streert, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au This email and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information and may be protected by copyright. You must not use or disclose them other than for the purposes for which they were supplied. The confidentiality and privilege attached to this message and attachment is not waived by reason of mistaken delivery to you. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not use, disclose, retain, forward or reproduce this message or any attachments. If you receive this message in error please notify the sender by return email or telephone, and destroy and delete all copies. The Department does not accept any responsibility for any loss or damage that may result from reliance on, or use of, any information contained in this email and/or attachments.

The contents of this electronic message and any attachments are intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged or confidential information. They may only be used for the purposes for which they were supplied. If you are not the addressee, you are notified that any transmission, distribution, downloading, printing or photocopying of the contents of this message or attachments is strictly prohibited. The privilege or confidentiality attached to this message and attachments is not waived, lost or destroyed by reason of mistaken delivery to you. If you receive this message in error please notify the sender by return e-mail or telephone.

Please note: the Department of Housing and Public Works carries out automatic software scanning, filtering and blocking of E-mails and attachments (including emails of a personal nature) for detection of viruses, malicious code, SPAM, executable programs or content it deems unacceptable. All reasonable precautions will be taken to respect the privacy of individuals in accordance with the Information Privacy Act 2009 (Old). Personal information will only be used for official purposes, e.g. monitoring Departmental Personnel's compliance with Departmental Policies. Personal information will not be divulged or disclosed to others, unless authorised or required by Departmental Policy and/or law.

Thank you.

CITY PLANNING

CONFIDENTIAL

Refer 11 page attachments

1 BASIS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY

- 1.1 I recommend that this report be considered in Closed Session pursuant to section 275 (1) of the *Local Government Regulation 2012* for the reason that the matter involves
 - (h) other business for which a public discussion would be likely to prejudice the interests of the local government or someone else, or enable a person to gain a financial advantage.
- 1.2 I recommend that the report/attachment be deemed non-confidential except for those parts deemed by the Chief Executive Officer to remain confidential in accordance with sections 171 (3) and 200 (5) of the *Local Government Act 2009*.

2 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report seeks Council's endorsement of the proposed Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 (TLPI No.5 2017). The proposed TLPI No.5 2017 has been prepared further to a Council resolution G17.1017.013 endorsing a new flood policy to ensure residential development is not exposed to:

- a flood inundation depth greater than 0.6 metres; and
- a flood water velocity greater than 0.8 metres per second.

In addition, the proposed TLPI No.5 2017 will also require Reconfiguring a Lot applications for residential, commercial and industrial uses to provide a sufficient area of land at or above the Designated Flood Level (DFL)

The purpose of the proposed TLPI No.5 2017 is to prevent the potential loss of the city's flood resilience and enable the sustainable mitigation of flood hazard on flood affected land. As such, the TLPI No.5 2017 will amend the operation of the Flood overlay code provided in City Plan by including new overall outcomes and assessment benchmarks to be applied during development assessment. It is envisaged that the TLPI No.5 2017 will have a life span of 2 years from the following proposed commencement date of 8 December 2017.

Section 9(4) of the *Planning Act 2016* allows Council, with the Minister's agreement, to make the TLPI take effect from the day Council resolved to give the TLPI and the request for an earlier effective day to the Minister for approval.

Council is requested to endorse the proposed TLPI No.5 2017 included in **Attachment A** which will allow Council to write to the Minister seeking its approval. Further to the Minister's approval. Council will be required to adopt the draft TLPI No.5 2017.

PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to:

3

UNAUTHORISED RELEASE OF CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS OR INFORMATION may be an offence under the Local Government Act 2009 and other legislation and could result in disqualification from office and a penalty of up to 100 units.

ITEM 9 (Continued) FLOOD FREE LAND TLPI PD113/1303(P1)

CONFIDENTIAL.

- (a) seek Council's endorsement of Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 provided in Attachment A of this report; and
- (b) seek permission for Council to write to the Minister:
 - a. seeking approval of the Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 (TLPI No.5 2017);
 - b. providing the TLPI No.5 2017 and relevant supporting material identified in Schedule 3 of the Minister's Guidelines and Rules; and
 - c. seeking approval for the commencement of the TLPI No.5 2017, to be 8 December 2017.

Once the Minister provides a response, a further report will be presented to Council to seek endorsement to adopt the TLPI No.5 2017.

4 PREVIOUS RESOLUTIONS

On the 11 October 2017, Council resolved to (G17.1017.013):

- 2. To endorse the proposed minimum flood free land policy as identified in Attachment 1 to inform updates to the Flood overlay code as part of Major update 2 package.
- ...
- 5. To prepare a Temporary Local Planning Instrument to implement minimum flood free land and return a TLPI package for endorsement before making a submission to the Minister for Planning.

On the 22 November 2017, City Planning Committee resolved the Design for Flood package to be progressed to State Interest review. This package includes approval of the necessary changes to the proposed wording of the Flood overlay code to make it consistent with TLPI.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Background

Council resolved on 11 October 2017 (G17.1017.013) to prepare a Temporary Local Planning Instrument (TLPI) to implement the flood policy position described as 'Minimum flood free land'

The 'Minimum flood free land' policy aims to ensure that development in flood affected areas of the city are exposed to no more than a medium flood hazard. A medium flood hazard includes, among other elements, development exposed to:

a flood inundation depth of up to and less than 0.6 metres; and

a flood water velocity of no more than 0.8 metres per second.

The City Plan Major update 2 amendment package includes updates to the Flood overlay code to implement the 'Minimum flood free land' policy. However, at the time of preparing this report, Major 2 update is in the process of being sent to the minister for the State Interest

UNAUTHORISED RELEASE OF CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS OR INFORMATION may be an offence under the <u>Local Government Act 2009</u> and other legislation and could result in disqualification from office and a penalty of up to 100 units.

CONFIDENTIAL

review. Given that the plan making process is a long-term process, it is considered that enacting the policy through a TLPI will provide for the maintenance of the City's flood resilience while Major update 2 is being processed through the required statutory process.

5.2 Proposed TLPI

The resolution (G17.1017.013) to prepare the TLPI, included proposed wording to implement the 'Minimum flood free land' policy. In preparing the TLPI, this wording was refined. It is therefore necessary under s 9(4) of the *Planning Act 2016* for Council to again resolve to make the TLPI with the proposed commencement date of the 8 December 2017

Attachment A contains the proposed *Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017* and supporting Explanatory Statement.

The proposed TLPI will affect the operation of City Plan (version 4) Flood overlay code through:

- a) Inserting additional assessable development criteria PO16 and PO17 to ensure that a Reconfiguring a Lot application provides sufficient land above the designated flood level (DFL) for residential, commercial and industrial uses. In addition, ensuring land is above the DFL reduces flood risks to users of the site by minimising the possibility of a high flood hazard occurring adjacent to the developments building footprint.
- b) Amending PO9/AO9 to remove any inconsistency that may arise in the assessment of residential uses under the proposed PO16; and
- c) Inserting new additional overall outcomes (i), (m) and (n) to the Flood overlay code to ensure:
 - i) Residential development is not of a type or design nor occurs on land that is exposed to high or extreme flood hazards;
 - ii) Avoiding the development of lots on land which does not have a sufficient area of land above the DFL; and
 - iii) Discouraging of the proliferation of multi dwelling development on constructed platforms above flood affected land.

5.3 The need for a TLPI

Attachment B contains the Explanatory Statement that Council is required to provide to the Minister with our request to (a) approve the TLPI and (b) seek a commencement date from the 8 December 2017. In the Explanatory Statement the following points are made in support of making the TLPI

Section 23(1) of the Planning Act 2016 says that a local government may make a TLPI if the local government and Minister decide —

- (a) there is significant risk of serious adverse cultural, economic, environmental or social conditions happening in the local government area; and;
- (b) the delay involved in using the process in sections 18 to 22 to make or amend another local planning instrument would increase the risk; and
- (c) the making of the TLPI would not adversely affect State interests.

UNAUTHORISED RELEASE OF CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS OR INFORMATION may be an offence under the <u>Local Government Act 2009</u> and other legislation and could result in disqualification from office and a penalty of up to 100 units.

ITEM 9 (Continued) FLOOD FREE LAND TLPI PD113/1303(P1)

CONFIDENTIAL.

The proposed TLPI is considered to satisfy each of these requirements.

- (a) The city's floodplains are critical in providing for significant flood storage, environmental values and open space requirements. It is essential that the flood absorption capacity of floodplains is maintained. As discussed above in section 2 of this statement, there are significant risks if the local government does not implement a requirement for a sufficient area of land above the Designated Flood Level and does not regulate building on platforms on highly flood affected land, namely:
 - *i.* an increase in the extent of the development footprint across the floodplain beyond the natural yield of the land required for flood protection; and
 - *ii.* negative impacts on residents' sense of safety and expectations relating to development in a floodplain.
- (b) Given the importance of maintaining the long-term function and resilience of the city's floodplains, it is proposed that this immediate risk be addressed by way of the proposed TLPI as an effective tool that can apply in the interim period while an amendment to the City Plan is finalised.
- (c) The proposed TLPI would not adversely affect State interests as the maintenance of the flood absorption capacity and the management of community expectations relating to development in a floodplain are matters currently regulated by the Flood Overlay Code in the City Plan. The proposed TLPI is consistent with the State interest guideline – Natural hazards, risk and resilience dated April 2016 which contemplates local government including development requirements in planning schemes with respect to development within an area affected by a natural hazard such as flood. '

By seeking the Minister's support for a commencement date being the 8 December 2017, Council will be better able to provide advice to applicants as to how the TLPI is to be addressed in development applications. The alternative to commencing the TLPI on the 8 December is to await the following steps to be completed:

- 1. The Minister considers our proposal to make a TLPI and issues a letter of approval;
- 2. Upon receiving a letter of approval, Council resolves to adopt the TLPI; and
- 3. The TLP commences on the day it is gazetted (estimated to be early to mid 2018).

Notwithstanding the above the Minister may decide to approve the TLPI and not support our request for an earlier commencement date.

ALIGNMENT TO THE CORPORATE PLAN, CORPORATE STRATEGIES AND OPERATIONAL PLAN

Gold Coast 2022 outcome 3.1, "Our City is Safe".

6

UNAUTHORISED RELEASE OF CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS OR INFORMATION may be an offence under the <u>Local Government Act 2009</u> and other legislation and could result in disqualification from office and a penalty of up to 100 units.

CONFIDENTIAL

7 GOLD COAST 2018 COMMONWEALTH GAMES IMPACT

No impact

8 FUNDING AND RESOURCING REQUIREMENTS

Budget/Funding Considerations

No additional budget or resources will be required.

9 RISK MANAGEMENT

Risk No CO000644.

Natural Hazards Resilience – The City is not adequately resilient to natural hazards shocks resulting in loss of life, cessation of Council business, reputational damage and economic downturn.

10 STATUTORY MATTERS

Section 23 of the *Planning Act 2016* provides the statutory basis for making or amending TLPIs.

This TLPI is required to address the State Planning Policy 2017, and in particular the Natural Hazards, Risk and Resilience interest.

11 COUNCIL POLICIES

Not applicable.

12 DELEGATIONS

Not Applicable.

13 COORDINATION & CONSULTATION

Name and/or Title of the Stakeholder Consulted	Directorate or Organisation	Is the Stakeholder Satisfied With Content of Report and Recommendations (Yes/No) (comment as appropriate)	
Supervising Engineer Hydraulics & Water Quality	Planning and Environment	Yes	
Coordinator City Plan	Planning and Environment	Yes	
A/City Solicitor, Legal Services	Office of the Chief Operating Officer	Yes	

14 STAKEHOLDER IMPACTS

External / community stakeholder Impacts

UNAUTHORISED RELEASE OF CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS OR INFORMATION may be an offence under the <u>Local Government Act 2009</u> and other legislation and could result in disqualification from office and a penalty of up to 100 units.

ITEM 9 (Continued) FLOOD FREE LAND TLPI PD113/1303(P1)

The intention of this TLPI is to improve community safety through the provision of a viable solution for flood-cognisant development.

Internal (Organisational) Stakeholder Impacts

This TLPI will assist the development assessment process, in the interim until Major update 2 to be adopted

15 TIMING

Upon Council resolving to adopt the TLPI, the proposed instrument and explanatory document will be forwarded to the Minister for approval. It is recommended that Council adopt the TLPI, with a commencement date of 8 December 2017.

16 CONCLUSION

Council have endorsed a flood policy position 'Minimum flood free land' and have resolved to prepare a TLPI. The TLPI No.5 2017 will amend the City Plan (version 4) Flood overlay code to ensure the abovementioned policy will be in place until City Plan Major update 2 is approved.

The proposed TLPI No.5 2017 is provided in **Attachment A** and it is recommended that Council endorse the adoption of the TLPi and sending it to the Minister for approval with the **material in Attachment B.** It is also recommended that the TLPI No.5 2017 has a commencement date of 8 December 2017.

17 RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that Council resolves as follows:

- 1 That the report/attachment be deemed non-confidential except for those parts deemed by the Chief Executive Officer to remain confidential in accordance with sections 171 (3) and 200 (5) of the Local Government Act 2009.
- 2 To prepare a Temporary Local Planning Instrument to implement minimum flood free land.
- 3 To endorse the proposed *Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Risk Reduction)* 2017, in the form of Attachment 1.
- 4 That the commencement date of *Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5* (Flood Free Land and Risk Reduction) 2017 be 8 December 2017.
- 5 That Council writes to the Minister to request approval of the *Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017* and consideration of a 8 December 2017 commencement date.
- 6 That Council provide the Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 and relevant supporting material in the form of Attachment B in accordance with Schedule 3 of the Minister's Guidelines and Rules.

UNAUTHORISED RELEASE OF CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS OR INFORMATION may be an offence under the <u>Local Government Act 2009</u> and other legislation and could result in disqualification from office and a penalty of up to 100 units.

CONFIDENTIAL

ITEM 9 (Continued) FLOOD FREE LAND TLPI PD113/1303(P1)

CONFIDENTIAL

7 Further to the Minister's response, a report will be brought back to Council seeking adoption of the *Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Residential Risk Reduction)* 2017.

Author: Pradesh Ramiah Supervising Planner 29 November 2017

Authorised by: Dyan Currie Director Planning and Environment

UNAUTHORISED RELEASE OF CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS OR INFORMATION may be an offence under the *Local Government Act 2009* and other legislation and could result in disqualification from office and a penalty of up to 100 units.

Temporary Local Planning Instrument Explanatory Statement

No. 5 (Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017

GOLDCOAST.

Division 1 – Written statement as to why the local government proposes to make the TLPI and how the proposed TLPI complies with section 23(1) of the Planning Act 2016

As required by Minister's Guidelines and Rules - July 2017, Schedule 3

1 Description of the proposed TLPI

The proposed temporary local planning instrument is cited as *Temporary Local Planning Instrument No. 5* (*Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction*) 2017 (proposed TLPI).

The proposed TLPI applies to all of the City of Gold Coast planning scheme area.

The proposed TLPI seeks to affect the current Planning Scheme being the City Plan 2016 Version 4.

The proposed TLPI creates new assessment benchmarks that will apply to the assessment of development applications against the Flood overlay code to reduce risk for residential development and require development to have a sufficient area of land above the Designated Flood Level to mitigate the risks and/or hazards associated with flooding.

Under the *Planning Act 2016* (the **Act**) section 23(6), a temporary local planning instrument operates for up to two years. It is intended that the proposed TLPI will be repealed by adoption of an amendment of the City Plan that specifically repeals the TLPI, in accordance with section 24.

2 Why the local government has proposed to make the TLPI

The current Flood Overlay Code in the City Plan is unclear in relation to the level of acceptable risk for residential development and does not identify a minimum requirement for flood free land. This has led to the creation of highly engineered development solutions, such as buildings on platforms, in high and extreme flood hazard areas of the city's floodplains.

Information provided to the local government following the major flood events associated with ex-tropical cyclone Debbie in March 2017 revealed issues with the recent emergence of the building on platform approach with provides for floodplain storage within void spaces between the natural ground level and habitable floor levels, namely:

- (1) concerns by residents about their sense of safety in response to deep flood water under their buildings and debris impacting their house and the use of spaces beneath the buildings for storage or ancillary living space; and
- (2) concerns raised by emergency services personnel about the potential for flooding of residential levels and a general misunderstanding about the building on platform design approach.

To prevent compromising the long-term function and resilience of the city's floodplains and to manage community expectations relating to development in a floodplain, the local government has decided to make the proposed TLPI to seek to ensure that:

- (1) Residential development (including development elevated above Designated Flood Level) only occurs in areas that are exposed to flood inundation depths and velocities not exceeding those applicable to medium flood hazard and does not occur in areas that are exposed to a high or extreme flood hazard.
- (2) Lots have a sufficient area of land above the Designated Flood Level to accommodate the intended use and effectively and adequately mitigate the risks and/or hazards associated with flooding.

3 How the proposed TLPI complies with the Act section 23(1)

Section 23(1) of the Act says that a local government may make a TLPI if the local government and Minister decide

(a) there is significant risk of serious adverse cultural, economic, environmental or social conditions happening in the local government area; and;

ISPOT:#65816803 v2 - ATTACHMENT B - TEMPORARY LOCAL PLANNING INSTRUMENT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT NO 5 (MINIMUM LAND ABOVE DESIGNATED FLOOD LEVEL AND RESIDENTIAL RISK REDUCTION) 2017 Pa
- (b) the delay involved in using the process in sections 18 to 22 to make or amend another local planning instrument would increase the risk; and
- (c) the making of the TLPI would not adversely affect State interests.

The proposed TLPI is considered to satisfy each of these requirements.

- (a) The city's floodplains are critical in providing for significant flood storage, environmental values and open space requirements. It is essential that the flood absorption capacity of floodplains is maintained. As discussed above in section 2 of this statement, there are significant risks if the local government does not implement a requirement for a sufficient area of land above the Designated Flood Level and does not regulate building on platforms on highly flood affected land, namely:
 - (i) an increase in the extent of the development footprint across the floodplain beyond the natural yield of the land required for flood protection; and
 - (ii) negative impacts on residents' sense of safety and expectations relating to development in a floodplain.
- (b) Given the importance of maintaining the long-term function and resilience of the city's floodplains, it is proposed that this immediate risk be addressed by way of the proposed TLPi as an effective tool that can apply in the interim period while an amendment to the City Plan is finalised.
- (c) The proposed TLPI would not adversely affect State interests as the maintenance of the flood absorption capacity and the management of community expectations relating to development in a floodplain are matters currently regulated by the Flood Overlay Code in the City Plan. The proposed TLPI is consistent with the State interest guideline – Natural hazards, risk and resilience dated April 2016 which contemplates local government including development requirements in planning schemes with respect to development within an area affected by a natural hazard such as flood.

For more information P 1300 GOLDCOAST (1300 465 326) W cityofgoldcoast.com.au



RTIP1718-047 - Part 3 Page Number 110

Temporary Local Planning Instrument

No. 5 (Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017

GOLDCOAST.

Contents

Par	t 1 – Preliminary2
1	Short title
2	Object
3	Dictionary
4	Interpretation
5	Duration of temporary local planning instrument
Par	t 3 – Application of the temporary local planning instrument
6	Area to which temporary local planning instrument applies
7	Relationship with City Plan
8	Application of Temporary Local Planning Instrument
Par	t 4 – Effect on the City Plan
9	Affected provisions of the City Plan
Sch	edule 1 – Dictionary

Part 1 – Preliminary

1 Short title

This temporary local planning instrument may be cited as *Temporary Local Planning Instrument No. 5*. (*Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction*) 2017.

2 Object

The object of the temporary local planning instrument is to prevent the potential loss of the city's flood resilience and enable the sustainable mitigation of flood hazard on land in flood affected areas in the planning scheme area by —

- (a) identifying land that is at or above the Designated Flood Level as minimum flood free land;
- (b) affecting the operation of the City Plan by including additional assessment benchmarks in the Flood Overlay Code so that:
 - (A) development for Residential Uses (including development elevated above Designated Flood Level) only occurs in areas that are exposed to flood inundation depths and velocities not exceeding those applicable to medium flood hazard and does not occur in areas that are exposed to a high flood hazard or extreme flood hazard; and
 - (B) lots have a sufficient area of land above the Designated Flood Level to effectively and adequately mitigate the risks and/or hazards associated with flooding.

3 Dictionary

The dictionary in Schedule 1 defines particular words used in this temporary local planning instrument.

4 Interpretation

Where a term used in this temporary local planning instrument is not defined under section 3 (Dictionary), the term shall, unless the context otherwise indicates or requires, have the meaning assigned to it by—

- (a) The Planning Act 2016;
- (b) the City Plan, where the term is not defined in the Planning Act 2016.

5 Duration of temporary local planning instrument

This temporary local planning instrument will have effect in accordance with section 23(6) of the *Planning Act* 2016 for a period not exceeding two years from the commencement of this temporary local planning instrument.

The commencement date of this temporary local planning instrument is 8th December 2017.

Part 3 – Application of the temporary local planning instrument

6 Area to which temporary local planning instrument applies

This temporary local planning instrument applies to all of the planning scheme area.

7 Relationship with City Plan

If the City Plan is inconsistent with this temporary local planning instrument, this temporary local planning instrument—

- (a) prevails to the extent of the inconsistency; and
- (b) has effect in place of the City Plan, but only to the extent of the inconsistency.

8 Application of Temporary Local Planning Instrument

This temporary local planning instrument applies to development applications being assessed against the assessment benchmarks in Part B of the Flood Overlay Code for assessable development pursuant to the City Plan.

Part 4 – Effect on the City Plan

9 Affected provisions of the City Plan

This temporary local planning instrument affects the operation of the Flood Overlay Code in the City Plan by:

- (a) Inserting the following additional overall outcomes in Section 8.2.8.2(3) of the Flood Overlay Code:
 - "(I) avoiding development of Residential Uses on land that is exposed to high and extreme flood hazards;
 - (m) avoiding the development of lots that do not have a sufficient area of land above the Designated Flood Level; and
 - (n) discouraging the proliferation of Residential Uses constructed on platforms above Flood Affected Land."
- (b) Replacing the assessable development benchmarks PO9 and AO9 in Part B Table 8.2.8-2 Flood overlay code for assessable development with the following:

Table 8.2.8-2: Flood overlay code - for assessable development

Performance outcomes	Acceptable outcomes
Hazard considerations for development	
PO9	ΑΦ9
Development for land uses listed in Table 8.2.8-4 must be designed and constructed to avoid causing undue exposure to flood hazard. The application of this performance outcome to Residential	Development is to be designed and constructed so that the development does not give rise, or cause exposure, to more than the degree of flood hazard specified in Table 8.2.8-4 determined by applying the criteria and standards set out in Table 8.2.8-5 .
Uses is subject to the application of performance outcome PO16, which is to prevail.	The application of this acceptable outcome to Residential Uses is subject to the application of performance outcome PO16, which is to prevail.

(c) Inserting the following additional assessable development benchmarks into Part B Table 8.2.8-2 Flood overlay code – for assessable development:

Table 8.2.8-2: Flood overlay code - for assessable development

Performance outcomes	Acceptable outcomes
Hazard considerations for residential development	
P016	AO16
To ensure that development for Residential Uses is located so as to effectively mitigate risks to life and property, such development must not occur on land that is exposed to either or both of the following flood hazards: (a) Flood inundation depth exceeding 0.6 metres; and (b) Flood water velocity exceeding 0.8 metres per second.	No acceptable outcome is provided.
Note: This also applies to development elevated above Designated Flood Level.	

Performance outcomes	Acceptable outcomes		
Minimum area above Designated Flood Level			
P017	A017.1		
Development involving reconfiguring a lot must ensure that any lot created has a sufficient area of land above the Designated Flood Level to effectively accommodate the associated intended use while also adequately mitigating the risks and/or hazards associated with flooding.	Where development associated with reconfiguring a lot is for a Residential Use and involves lot sizes of 500m ² or greater, 50% of the area of each lot or 400m ² of each lot (whichever is greater) must be at or above the Designated Flood Level. AO17.2 Where development associated with reconfiguring a lot, is for a Residential Use and involves lot sizes of less than 500m ² , 70% of the area of each lot or 300m ² of each lot (whichever is greater) must be at or above the Designated Flood Level. AO17.3 Where development associated with reconfiguring a lot, is for a Commercial Use or an Industrial Use, 60% of the area of each lot must be at or above the Designated Flood Level.		

Schedule 1 – Dictionary (Section 2)

"Commercial Use" means the same as commercial use defined in the Planning Regulation 2017

"Flood Affected Land" means land any part of which is below the Designated Flood Level.

"Industrial Use" means activites listed in Schedule 1 Table SC1.1.2: Defined Activity Group, Column 1 Activity Group Industrial activities, Column 2 Uses

"Residential Use" means the use of land for a Dwelling House, Dwelling Unit, Multiple Dwelling or Dual Occupancy.

For more information P 1300 GOLDCOAST (1300 465 326) W cityotgoldcoast.com.au

GOLDCOAST.

ADOPTED REPORT 725

ITEM 9 FLOOD FREE LAND TLPI PD113/1303(P1)

CITY PLANNING

Refer 11 page attachments

1 BASIS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY

- 1.1 I recommend that this report be considered in Closed Session pursuant to section 275 (1) of the Local Government Regulation 2012 for the reason that the matter involves
 - (h) other business for which a public discussion would be likely to prejudice the interests of the local government or someone else, or enable a person to gain a financial advantage.
- 1.2 I recommend that the report/attachment be deemed non-confidential except for those parts deemed by the Chief Executive Officer to remain confidential in accordance with sections 171 (3) and 200 (5) of the *Local Government Act 2009*.

2 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report seeks Council's endorsement of the proposed Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 (TLPI No.5 2017). The proposed TLPI No.5 2017 has been prepared further to a Council resolution G17.1017.013 endorsing a new flood policy to ensure residential development is not exposed to:

- a flood inundation depth greater than 0.6 metres; and
- a flood water velocity greater than 0.8 metres per second.

In addition, the proposed TLPI No.5 2017 will also require Reconfiguring a Lot applications for residential, commercial and industrial uses to provide a sufficient area of land at or above the Designated Flood Level (DFL).

The purpose of the proposed TLPI No.5 2017 is to prevent the potential loss of the city's flood resilience and enable the sustainable mitigation of flood hazard on flood affected land. As such, the TLPI No.5 2017 will amend the operation of the Flood overlay code provided in City Plan by including new overall outcomes and assessment benchmarks to be applied during development assessment. It is envisaged that the TLPI No.5 2017 will have a life span of 2 years from the following proposed commencement date of 8 December 2017.

Section 9(4) of the *Planning Act 2016* allows Council, with the Minister's agreement, to make the TLPI take effect from the day Council resolved to give the TLPI and the request for an earlier effective day to the Minister for approval.

Council is requested to endorse the proposed TLPI No.5 2017 included in Attachment A which will allow Council to write to the Minister seeking its approval. Further to the Minister's approval, Council will be required to adopt the draft TLPI No.5 2017.

PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to:

3

(a) seek Council's endorsement of Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 provided in Attachment A of this report; and

ADOPTED REPORT 725

ITEM 9 FLOOD FREE LAND TLPI PD113/1303(P1)

CITY PLANNING

Refer 11 page attachments

1 BASIS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY

- 1.1 I recommend that this report be considered in Closed Session pursuant to section 275 (1) of the Local Government Regulation 2012 for the reason that the matter involves
 - (h) other business for which a public discussion would be likely to prejudice the interests of the local government or someone else, or enable a person to gain a financial advantage.
- 1.2 I recommend that the report/attachment be deemed non-confidential except for those parts deemed by the Chief Executive Officer to remain confidential in accordance with sections 171 (3) and 200 (5) of the *Local Government Act 2009*.

2 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report seeks Council's endorsement of the proposed Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 (TLPI No.5 2017). The proposed TLPI No.5 2017 has been prepared further to a Council resolution G17.1017.013 endorsing a new flood policy to ensure residential development is not exposed to:

- a flood inundation depth greater than 0.6 metres; and
- a flood water velocity greater than 0.8 metres per second.

In addition, the proposed TLPI No.5 2017 will also require Reconfiguring a Lot applications for residential, commercial and industrial uses to provide a sufficient area of land at or above the Designated Flood Level (DFL).

The purpose of the proposed TLPI No.5 2017 is to prevent the potential loss of the city's flood resilience and enable the sustainable mitigation of flood hazard on flood affected land. As such, the TLPI No.5 2017 will amend the operation of the Flood overlay code provided in City Plan by including new overall outcomes and assessment benchmarks to be applied during development assessment. It is envisaged that the TLPI No.5 2017 will have a life span of 2 years from the following proposed commencement date of 8 December 2017.

Section 9(4) of the *Planning Act 2016* allows Council, with the Minister's agreement, to make the TLPI take effect from the day Council resolved to give the TLPI and the request for an earlier effective day to the Minister for approval.

Council is requested to endorse the proposed TLPI No.5 2017 included in **Attachment A** which will allow Council to write to the Minister seeking its approval. Further to the Minister's approval, Council will be required to adopt the draft TLPI No.5 2017.

PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to:

(a) seek Council's endorsement of Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 provided in Attachment A of this report; and

ADOPTED REPORT 726

ITEM 9 (Continued) FLOOD FREE LAND TLPI PD113/1303(P1)

- (b) seek permission for Council to write to the Minister:
 - a. seeking approval of the Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 (TLPI No.5 2017);
 - b. providing the TLPI No.5 2017 and relevant supporting material identified in Schedule 3 of the Minister's Guidelines and Rules; and
 - c. seeking approval for the commencement of the TLPI No.5 2017, to be 8 December 2017.

Once the Minister provides a response, a further report will be presented to Council to seek endorsement to adopt the TLPI No.5 2017.

4 PREVIOUS RESOLUTIONS

On the 11 October 2017, Council resolved to (G17.1017.013):

- 2. To endorse the proposed minimum flood free land policy as identified in Attachment 1 to inform updates to the Flood overlay code as part of Major update 2 package.
- •••
- 5. To prepare a Temporary Local Planning Instrument to implement minimum flood free land and return a TLPI package for endorsement before making a submission to the Minister for Planning.

On the 22 November 2017, City Planning Committee resolved the Design for Flood package to be progressed to State Interest review. This package includes approval of the necessary changes to the proposed wording of the Flood overlay code to make it consistent with TLPI.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Background

Council resolved on 11 October 2017 (G17.1017.013) to prepare a Temporary Local Planning Instrument (TLPI) to implement the flood policy position described as 'Minimum flood free land'.

The 'Minimum flood free land' policy aims to ensure that development in flood affected areas of the city are exposed to no more than a medium flood hazard. A medium flood hazard includes, among other elements, development exposed to:

- a flood inundation depth of up to and less than 0.6 metres; and
- a flood water velocity of no more than 0.8 metres per second.

The City Plan Major update 2 amendment package includes updates to the Flood overlay code to implement the 'Minimum flood free land' policy.

REDACTED 5.2 Proposed TLPI

ADOPTED REPORT 727

ITEM 9 (Continued) FLOOD FREE LAND TLPI PD113/1303(P1)

REDACTED

Attachment A contains the proposed Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 and supporting Explanatory Statement.

The proposed TLPI will affect the operation of City Plan (version 4) Flood everlay code through:

- a) Inserting additional assessable development criteria PO16 and PO17 to ensure that a Reconfiguring a Lot application provides sufficient land above the designated flood level (DFL) for residential, commercial and industrial uses. In addition, ensuring land is above the DFL reduces flood risks to users of the site by minimising the possibility of a high flood hazard occurring adjacent to the developments building footprint.
- b) Amending PO9/AO9 to remove any inconsistency that may arise in the assessment of residential uses under the proposed PO16; and
- c) Inserting new additional overall outcomes (I), (m) and (n) to the Flood overlay code to ensure:
 - i) Residential development is not of a type or design nor occurs on land that is exposed to high or extreme flood hazards;
 - ii) Avoiding the development of lots on land which does not have a sufficient area of land above the DFL, and
 - iii) Discouraging of the proliferation of multi dwelling development on constructed platforms above flood affected land.





6 ALIGNMENT TO THE CORPORATE PLAN, CORPORATE STRATEGIES AND OPERATIONAL PLAN

Gold Coast 2022 outcome 3.1, "Our City is Safe".

7 GOLD COAST 2018 COMMONWEALTH GAMES IMPACT

No impact

9

8 FUNDING AND RESOURCING REQUIREMENTS

Budget/Funding Considerations

No additional budget or resources will be required.

RISK MANAGEMENT

Risk No CO000644.

Natural Hazards Resilience – The City is not adequately resilient to natural hazards shocks resulting in loss of life, cessation of Council business, reputational damage and economic downturn.

ADOPTED REPORT 729

ITEM 9 (Continued) FLOOD FREE LAND TLPI PD113/1303(P1)

10 STATUTORY MATTERS

Section 23 of the Planning Act 2016 provides the statutory basis for making or amending TLPIs.

This TLPI is required to address the State Planning Policy 2017, and in particular the Natural Hazards, Risk and Resilience interest.

11 COUNCIL POLICIES

Not applicable.

12 DELEGATIONS

Not Applicable.

13 COORDINATION & CONSULTATION

Name and/or Title of the Stakeholder Consulted	Directorate or Organisation	Is the Stakeholder Satisfied With Content of Report and Recommendations (Yes/No) (comment as appropriate)
Supervising Engineer Hydraulics & Water Quality	Planning and Environment	Yes
Coordinator City Plan	Planning and Environment	Yes
A/City Solicitor, Legal Services	Office of the Chief Operating Officer	Yes

14 STAKEHOLDER IMPACTS

External / community stakeholder impacts

The intention of this TLPI is to improve community safety through the provision of a viable solution for flood-cognisant development.

	REDACTED	
15	TIMING	

Upon Council resolving to adopt the TLPI, the proposed instrument with be forwarded to the Minister for approval. It is recommended that Council adopt the TLPI, with a commencement date of 8 December 2017.

CONCLUSION

16

Council have endorsed a flood policy position 'Minimum flood free land' and have resolved to prepare a TLPI. The TLPI No.5 2017 will amend the City Plan (version 4) Flood overlay code to ensure the abovementioned policy will be in place until City Plan Major update 2 is approved.

ADOPTED REPORT 730

ITEM 9 (Continued) FLOOD FREE LAND TLPI PD113/1303(P1)

The proposed TLPI No.5 2017 is provided in **Attachment A** and it is recommended that Council endorse the adoption of the TLPI and sending it to the Minister for approval REDACTED It is also recommended that the TLPI No.5 2017 has a commencement date of 8 December 2017.

17 RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that Council resolves as follows:

- 1 That the report/attachment be deemed non-confidential except for those parts deemed by the Chief Executive Officer to remain confidential in accordance with sections 171 (3) and 200 (5) of the Local Government Act 2009.
- 2 To prepare a Temporary Local Planning Instrument to implement minimum flood free land.
- 3 To endorse the proposed *Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Risk Reduction) 2017*, in the form of Attachment 1.
- 4 That the commencement date of *Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5* (Flood Free Land and Risk Reduction) 2017 be 8 December 2017.
- 5 That Council writes to the Minister to request approval of the Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 and consideration of a 8 December 2017 commencement date.
- 6 That Council provide the Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 and relevant supporting material in the form of Attachment B in accordance with Schedule 3 of the Minister's Guidelines and Rules.
- 7 Further to the Minister's response, a report will be brought back to Council seeking adoption of the Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017.

Author: Pradesh Ramiah Supervising Planner 29 November 2017 Authorised by: Dyan Currie Director Planning and Environment Pages 124 through 155 redacted for the following reasons: duplicate

ITEM 8 CITY PLANNING MAJOR UPDATE 2 – DESIGNING FOR FLOOD - MINIMUM FLOOD FREE LAND POLICY PD113/1303(P1)

ATTACHMENT 1 – EXAMPLE OF APPLICATION EMPLOYING THE BUILDING ON PLATFORM OUTCOME

1 BASIS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY

- 1.1 It is recommended that this report be considered in Closed Session pursuant to section 275 (1) of the Local Government Regulation 2012 for the reason that the matter involves
 - (h) other business for which a public discussion would be likely to prejudice the interests of the local government or someone else, or enable a person to gain a financial advantage.
- 1.2 It is recommended that the report/attachment be deemed non-confidential except for those parts deemed by the Chief Executive Officer to remain confidential in accordance with sections 171 (3) and 200 (5) of the Local Government Act/2009.

2 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The city's floodplains are critical in providing for significant flood storage, environmental values and open space requirements. Previously the Guragunbah (Merrimac/Carrara) Flood Plain Structure Plan and Hydraulic Master Plans were developed to provide an integrated approach for the planning and future management of the remaining undeveloped areas of the Merrimac/Carrara floodplain.

The overarching outcome of these two plans, which were implemented in the City's superseded Planning Schemes, was to allow for clusters of development to occur in floodplains through balanced cut and fill, without compromising the function of the floodplain and the safety of residents.

However, the current Flood overlay code under City Plan does not regulate a minimum requirement of flood free land. This has led to the creation of highly engineered development solutions, such as building on platforms,

To address this policy issue, it is recommended that the minimum requirement for flood free land policy is introduced in the Flood overlay code as part of Major update 2 and through a Temporary Local Planning Instrument. This policy is proposed to be triggered by the lodgment of both Material Change of Use (MCU) and Reconfiguring a Lot (ROL) development applications.

The introduction of the proposed policy will ensure that:

II.

a portion of the land for all development remains at or above the relevant design flood planning level; and

the potential risks associated with extensive platform development within t

This matter was identified as part of the 'Designing for Flood' item endorsed as part of the scope for the Major 2 update.

3 PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek Council's endorsement to introduce the "minimum flood free land" policy into the City Plan's Flood overlay code and to prepare a (Temperary Local Planning Instrument) TLPI.

4 PREVIOUS RESOLUTIONS

On 28 March 2017, Council resolved that the introductory paper, "update on natural hazard project" be noted. This report provided Council with an outline of the proposed updates to Council's flood free access policy set out in the Flood overlay code in City Plan (G17.0328.025).

On 30 May 2017, Council resolved to include 'Designing for Flood' updates within the scope for City Plan Major update 2 (G17.0530.018). This item included the investigation of flood free access, minimum requirement of flood free land and other administrative amendments to improve the workability of the code.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Background

The city's floodplains are critical to the flood resilience of the city and have been subject to extensive research, most notably the development of the Guragunbah (Merrimac/Carrara) Structure Plan and Hydraulic Master Plan in 1998. The Merrimac Carrara Floodplain Structure Plan produced planning measures for incorporation into the City of Gold Coast's planning instruments. The extent of the Merrimac/Carrara floodplain is illustrated in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Extent of the Merrimac/Carrara floodplain.

The outcome of these two strategic studies was to allow clusters of development to occur, within the Merrimac/Carrara floodplain. This was to be achieved through the use of a balanced cut-and-fill approach to elevate land within the floodplain without adversely impacting on the functionality of the floodplain and the safety of residents.

Consequently, the criteria for assessing development in these locations require consideration of cumulative impacts and the use of a balanced cut-and-fill approach. This approach meant that during a major flood event, the elevated portions of land within the floodplain would become islands of development within the floodplain, connected to each other and essential services.

There is a lack of clarity regarding minimum requirements for flood free land in City Plan, and more specifically in the Flood overlay code, which has led to highly engineered development solutions in the floodplain, such as building on platforms

Such solutions facilitate the expansion of the development footprint across the city's floodplains

5.2 Key differences in floodplain development approaches

The use of minimum flood free land and building on platform has been employed Each of these approaches is discussed in detail below.



5.2.1 Type 1 - Minimum flood free land approach

The area shown as Type 1 in **Figure 2** is the manifestation of the Guragunbah Hydraulic Master Plan, and as such, development has been designed as a cluster of islands, connected to each other and surrounded by an extensive waterbody, created through a

balance cut-and-fill approach, to protect the functionality of the floodplain and safety of residents.

An aerial view of the development within the identified Type 1 area is presented in Figure 3. It shows that buildings are on flood free land during defined flood events.



The area shown as Type 2 in Figure 2 represents the building on platform solution which avoids the balance cut-and-fill approach to earthworks.



To avoid the need to balance cut-and-fill, typically these developments create void spaces between the natural ground level and habitable floor levels, which serves as the required floodplain storage.

During major storm events, these void spaces become inundated as the floodplain performs its storage function. The use of the allowable floodplain storage within the void spaces was observed during ex-tropical cyclone Debbie in March 2017.





It is further noted that the development has buildings that are only partially on platform. However, Council is now in receipt of a number of applications that indicate a much greater extent of platform development as illustrated in Figure 7 with the location of the proposed development shown in Figure 8 below.



 supporting sustainable development within city's floodplains to accommodate projected population growth;

- 2) ensuring the flood absorption capacity of floodplains are maintained; and
- 3) managing community expectation relating to development in a floodplain.

In addition and in response to the building on platform approach, the following issues are summarised in Table 1.

Table 1 – Building on platform discussion

Issues	Discussion
Increase in development footprint in flood affected areas	The expansion of the development footprint across the city's floodplains impacts on the absorption capacity of the floodplain; waterways and environment; and the adaptive capacity of floodplains responding to future changes.
Asset renewal	Similar to other assets, platforms have a design life and will need to be renewed over a 50 or 70 year cycle, resulting in substantial costs to the community.
Safety	Building on platform provides habitable floors that are nonnally only a few meters above ground level with potential of full mundation of land under the building even during minor floods
Compliance ramifications	The use of building on platform requires that the area under the building will be maintained to function as floodplain storage and/or ovarland flow path (i.e. cannot be built in). Once built, this critical aspect will be difficult to verify to ensure the development is complying with the conditions of approval.
Potential environmental health impacts	Increased ponding of water and potential environmental health impacts. Based on the Guraganbah master plan vision, ponding of water would occur on the floodplain at a safe distance from buildings and not directly under the residential buildings.

A key driver for the recent emergence of the building on platform approach is associated with land valuation. As a result, it is becoming more economically feasible to engineer solutions on highly flood affected land.

It is important to note however, that these locations are also zoned limited development. This allows for concentrated development potential, where density outcomes and yield can be attained without extensive expansion into the flood plain (building on platform).

5.5 Proposed policy framework for "minimum flood free land"

Complying with the key intents of the State interest (with respect to natural hazards), it is considered that a policy position on the provision of minimum flood free land for development provides an appropriate solution that addresses the drivers and challenges identified in Section 5.4 of this report, reducing these risks to an acceptable and tolerable level.

In addition, the proposed reinstatement of the policy does not restrict land use intensification, but minimises an increase in the extent of development footprint across the floodplain, beyond the natural yield of land required for flood protection – the primary purpose. The natural yield of a parcel of land is defined as the maximum flood free building footprint, which can be created through balance cut and fill within the boundaries of the property.

In response to stakeholder consultation, an assessment of current best practice and the superseded flood policy framework was undertaken. It is recommended that the Flood overlay code is updated as follows:



5.6 Proposed pathway for implementation

The *Planning Act 2016* provides Council with two pathways for the City to implement the requirement for a "minimum of flood free land". This includes a long term and interim approach.

5.6.1 Amending City Plan

The long term approach is to amend the Flood overlay code, which Council has already resolved to do for City Plan Major update 2. It is anticipated this body of work will be presented to Council for endorsement for the first round of State Interest.

5.6.2 Temporary Local Planning Instrument

an interim TLPI approach is recommended.

Under *Planning Act 2016* where there are significant risks of serious adverse cultural, economic, environmental or social conditions happening in the local government area (s23, *Planning Act 2016*), Council and the Minister of Planning may decide to prepare a Temporary Local Planning Instrument (TLPI).

A TLPI can be in effect for 2 years and may suspend or affect the operation of City Plan but does not amend or repeal. Further, a TLPI is not an adverse planning change (i.e. there is no liability to Council for compensation) and does not create a superseded planning scheme. The Minister has 20 days to approve a local government submission to make a TLPI.

It is recommended that as part of the proposed pathway for implementation: (a) Council amend the Flood overlay code to provide for a minimum of flood free land as part of Major Update 2 and (b) resolve to prepare a TLPI to implement the requirement for a minimum of flood free land as an interim measure.

6 ALIGNMENT TO THE CORPORATE PLAN, CORPORATE STRATEGIES AND OPERATIONAL PLAN

Gold Coast 2020 outcome 3.1, "Our City is Safe".

7 GOLD COAST 2018 COMMONWEALTH GAMES IMPACT

Not Applicable.

8 FUNDING AND RESOURCING REQUIREMENTS

No additional budget or resources will be required,

9 RISK MANAGEMENT

Risk No CO000644.

Natural Hazards Resilience – The City is not adequately resilient to natural hazards shocks resulting in loss of life, cessation of Council business, reputational damage and economic downturn.

10 STATUTORY MATTERS

This proposed update is required to address the State Planning Policy 2017, and in particular the Natural Hazards, Risk and Resilience interest.

Major update 2 commenced under the previous statutory guideline, *Making or amending a local planning instrument* (MALPI) and will continue to progress under this guideline.

11 COUNCIL POLICIES

Not Applicable.

12 DELEGATIONS

Not Applicable.

13 COORDINATION & CONSULTATION

Name and/or Title of the Stakeholder Consulted	Directorate or Organisation	Is the Stakeholder Satisfied With Content of Report and Recommendations (Yes/No) (comment as appropriate)
Supervising Engineer Hydraulics & Water Quality	Planning and Environment	Yes
Coordinator City Plan	Planning and Environment	Yes
Executive Coordinator	Legal Services	Yes

14 STAKEHOLDER IMPACTS

External / community stakeholder Impacts

The ultimate outcome of this policy is community safety through the provision of a viable solution for flood-cognisant development.

Internal (Organisational) Stakeholder Impacts

This policy will assist the development assessment process.

15 TIMING

This matter will form part of the scope for the Major update 2 package as endorsed by Council on 30 May 2017. It is anticipated this body of work will be brought back to Council with the complete draft package prior to state interest review.

Council may resolve to make a TLPI immediately. Pursuant to this decision a TLPI package can be brought back to Council prior to submission to the Minister for Planning. A Minister has 20 days to either approve or not approve Council's submission.

16 CONCLUSION

The city's floodplains are critical to the flood resilience of the city. The policy and practice of sustainable flood risk management provides for community safety in balance with environmental and development outcomes.

The minimum flood free land policy position provides an acceptable solution to the abovementioned challenge of sustainable development within the city's floodplains.

The recommended policy framework is proposed to be implemented in the Flood overlay code as part of Major update 2. It is anticipated this body of work will be brought back to council with the complete drafted package prior to state interest review.

In response to the long-term amendment process via *Making or amending a local planning instrument* (MALPI) and the potential loss of city's flood resilience associated with building on platforms in high to extreme hazards areas, an interim TLPI approach is recommended.

17 RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that Council resolves as follows:

- 1 That the report be deemed non-confidential except for those parts deemed by the Chief Executive Officer to remain confidential in accordance with section 171 (3) and 200 (5) of the Local Government Act 2009.
- 2 To endorse the proposed minimum flood free land policy as identified in Attachment 1 to inform updates to the Flood overlay code as part of Major update 2 package.
- 3 That the Mayoral Technical Advisory Committee be consulted on the proposed content prior to progressing to State interest review.
- 4 Following review by the Mayoral Technical Advisory Committee, the Chief Executive Officer be authorised to make any administrative and consequential amendments prior to progressing to State interest review.
- 5. To prepare a Temporary Local Planning Instrument to implement minimum flood free land and return a TLPI package for endorsement before making a submission to the Minister for Planning.

Author: Hamid Mirfenderesk Coordinator Natural Hazards Tearn Authorised by: Dyan Currie Director Planning and Environment

Changed recommendation

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION moved Cr Caldwell CP17.1011.008 seconded Cr Gates

- 1 That the report be deemed non-confidential except for those parts deemed by the Chief Executive Officer to remain confidential in accordance with section 171 (3) and 200 (5) of the Local Government Act 2009.
- 2 To endorse the proposed minimum flood free land policy as identified to inform updates to the Flood overlay code as part of Major update 2 package.
- 3 To prepare a Temporary Local Planning Instrument to implement minimum flood free land and return a TLPI package for endorsement before making a submission to the Minister for Planning.

CARRIED

Attachment 1 - REDACTED

.

Pages 169 through 177 redacted for the following reasons: duplicate

Daniel Park

From:	Thomas Holmes
Sent:	Wednesday, 10 January 2018 4:12 PM
То:	Isaac Harslett
Cc:	Daniel Park
Subject:	Gold Coast City Plan - TLPI No. 5
Attachments:	Burchills CoGC TLPI No. 5 Submission Objection.pdf

Hi Isaac,

As discussed,

Re: Gold Coast City Plan – TLPI No. 5 – Flood correspondence received Source file: F17/13715 and corro file WR18/1597

It should be noted MC18/44 also had a letter addressed to the minister as attached and the signatory is the regional office.

Correspondence received:

Date received	Source	Constituent	Signatory
4/01/18	MC18/127	Michael Bale and Associates on behalf of numerous consultants on the Gold Coast	DDG
8/1/18	MC18/214	Arcadis	DDG
03/01/18	MC18/3	Property Council of Australia	Minister's office
20/12/17	MC18/44	Burchills Engineering Solutions on behalf of their clients on the Gold Coast	Manager
02/01/2018	DGC18/14		DG

Regards,



Thomas Holmes Senior Planning Officer

Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Queensland Government P 07 5644 3217 Level 1, 7 Short Street, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

The experience **you deserve** \geqslant

Our Ref: Our Ref Enquiries to:

Tuesday, 19 December 2017

Hon Cameron Dick MP Minister for State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning PO Box 15009 CITY EAST QLD 4002

Dear Minister

Re: City of Gold Coast Temporary Local Planning Instrument No. 5 (Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017

Submission Objecting to the Proposed Introduction of this Instrument

Reference is made to the City of Gold Coast's recent introduction of the *Temporary Local Planning Instrument No. 5 (Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction)* 2017 (from herein, the TLPI), which was formally released to the public on Friday 8 December 2017.

We thank you for the opportunity to present a submission objecting to the contents of this Instrument.

After extensive review of the contents of this TLPI, we are gravely concerned that the proposed regulatory controls for development projects within the floodplain will have an extremely adverse effect on the development and construction sectors across the City.

For example, we are confident that the TLPI's envisaged policy shift will render a range of pending projects as being unachievable. A selection of these key projects includes:

Project	Address	Land Size	Development Yield
67 Macadie Way, Merrimac	67 Macadie Way, Merrimac	3.6ha	56 Residential Units & 74 Town House Dwellings
The Italo Club Retirement Village	18 Fairway Drive, Clear Island Waters	3.86ha	94 Residential Units
Parkwood Golf Course	76-122 Napper Rd, Parkwood	56.49ha (Total lot area)	260 room Retirement Facility.
The Link Way, Mudgeeraba	lot 42 on SP184241, lot 30 on SP270379,	60.44ha	928 Units and 339 Townhouses

www.burchills.com.au

BURCHILL ENGINEERING SOLUTION

Page 1

Project	Address	Land Size	Development Yield
	lot 24 on 868214 and lot 25 on SP270379		
Green Heart Gardens	153 Gooding Drive, Merrimac	75.95ha	5,000 multi-residential units and 8,000m ² of commercia floor space
Robina Transit (Palmer Colonial)	57 Paradise Springs Avenue, Robina	70ha	2,500 residential units

As can be seen from the scale of the abovementioned projects, extensive economic impacts on the construction industry will be felt if they do not proceed. Furthermore, population growth targets for the City of Gold Coast will become harder to realise, thus further accentuating housing affordability issues.

Table 1 has been prepared below, which provides a technical review of the perceived issues that appear to have guided the development of the *Temporary Local Planning Instrument No. 5 (Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017.* As you will read in our review, we firmly believe that resilient development in the floodplain is achievable, subject to adherence with suitable development controls.

www.burchills.com.au

The experience you deserve 📎

X

Table 1 – Technical Review of Perceived Issues associated with Development in Flood Affected Areas

Perceived Issues	Discussion	Burchills' Feedback
(a) Increase in development footprint in flood affected areas	The expansion of the development footprint across the city's floodplains impacts on the absorption capacity of the floodplain; waterways and environment; and the adaptive capacity of floodplains responding to future changes.	 The proposed TLPI affects existing developed areas that experience flood event depths exceeding 0.6m and velocities exceeding 0.8m/s. This includes many suburbs that are earmarked for higher density "missing middle" redevelopment including many along the Light Rail corridor. Suburbs such as Budd's Beach, Chevron Island, Paradise Island, Carrara (namely the localities near Monaco St and Nerang Broadbeach Rd), Mermaid Beach, Miami, Burleigh are heavily impacted by this proposed regulatory shift. The proposed TLPI fails to appreciate that new proposals for development within the floodplain are required to prepare rigorous Flood Emergency Management Plans (FEMP), with the activation of these Plans during flood events often resulting in these developments having very little to no impace on emergency services resources. In fact, these contemporary development proposals in the floodplain may in fact contribute to reducing risks in neighbouring flood prone areas. The proposed Acceptable Outcome AO17.1 to PO17 from the TLPI may have an unintended consequence upon rural residential subdivisions, requiring 400m² or 50% of the site area (whichever is greater) to be at or above the Defined Flood Level for 'Residential' uses. Previous Rural Residential subdivisions required the provision of a 1,000m² building envelope to be provided at or above the DFL. This proposed Acceptable Outcome will require further refinement so that it does not affect specific zones. The proposed TLPI is based purely upon only two (2) independent hydraulic variables (depth and velocity), it has become best practice both nationally and internationally to categorise flood hydraulic hazard based upon the velocity x depth product, of which is omitted from the instrument. Reference is made below to the NSW Floodplain Development Manual figures that outline a sensible approach that all NSW Councils (and several Councils in other states) have adopted for assessing hazardous conditions:

www.burchills.com.au

The experience to deserve





Perceived Issues	Discussion	Burchills' Feedback
		 Determining hazard needs to include other factors in addition to just depth and velocity. For any development application that has a proposed footprint within a 'high hazard' zone, whether the flow is being transferred over the design surface or underneath a platform, a proper risk assessment needs to be undertaken in conjunction with a Multi Criteria Analysis and Cost Benefit Assessment to ensure that a rigorous decision is made based on a range of factors and not just independent velocity and depth variables. Flood mitigation measures (structural and non-structural) once assessed needs to be viewed in line with "what is the residual risk?" question and can the residual risk be adequately managed. A Flood Emergency Management Plan (FEMP) can greatly assist in reducing the risk such that the high hazard can be managed, as well as having a 'state of the at' flood warning and forecasting system in place. Developers that are seeking a development proposal within high flood hazard zones should commit to undertaking water level flood gauging at the sites upstream and downstream extents to confirm the actual flood mechanics that forms part of the hazard categorisation. Summarising Comments Burchills submits that the introduction of a TLPI in this circumstance is not warranted. Any planning instrument should be informed and considered for implementation on after Council has developed a detailed hydraulic and land use master plan for the City's floodplains. The hydraulic and land use master plan can then be used to guide what is and is not possible on a particular site, subject to a site-specific hydraulic assessment being prepared to support a development proposal. Furthermore, our view is that based on the above feedback, a potential alternative policy approach would be to protect major flow paths and to allow controlled podium development in backwater/storage areas.
	Similar to other assets, platforms have a design life and will need to be renewed over a 50 or 70 year cycle resulting in	 other type of built form, therefore this perceived lifecycle issue does not appear to relevant. Podiums and platform structures are designed by experienced and qualified engineers certified by the State Government under the Board of Professional Engineers. The costs associated with maintenance and replacement obligations are home by the property.

....

Page 5
The experience you deserve ≶

Perceived Issues	Discussion	Burchills' Feedback
	substantial costs to the community.	Summarising Comments Burchills submits that the technical query regarding the design life of platform and podium assets has no technical basis and should therefore be rejected.
(c) Safety	Building on platform provides habitable floors that are normally only a few metres above ground level with potential of full inundation of land under the building even during minor floods	 warning time for people to move or evacuate and for moveable property to be relocated or moved to higher ground. Furthermore, platform and podium developments are designed to ensure that the structural integrity of the structure is maintained during flood events. Accordingly, we are unable to understand what risks humans are being exposed to by flood inundation under buildings. Development with flood free access and evacuation routes – If fenced balconies overhang flood water, what is the safety issue? Development proposals in medium flood bazard areas under the current planning requirements are safety issue?

The experience you deserve ≶

Perceived Issues	Discussion Burchills' Feedback	
		Summarising Comments Burchills submits that based on the particular characteristics of flood events across the Gold Coast, that residents often receive extended warning periods to enable them to pack up and retreat to higher ground. Notwithstanding, the specific design criteria for developments within the floodplain, including the need to adhere to the requirements of Flood Emergency Management Plans, results in such projects being safe and resilient in cases of flood.
(d) Compliance ramifications	The use of building on platform requires that the area under the building will be maintained to function as floodplain storage and/or overland flow path (i.e. cannot be built in). Once built, this critical aspect will be difficult to verify to ensure the development is complying with the conditions of approval.	 It is acknowledged that some developments may not maintain undercript areas correctly, although it must be noted that non-compliance with development approval conditions is an issue that is confronted by Council with any development project. Council already operates a canal maintenance team which provides surveillance of unlawful land uses and construction activities. It is expected that such a team will be able to expand their reach to also regularly examine compliance of development projects within the floodplain. Summarising Comments Burchills submits that compliance ramifications are a potential issue needing to be managed, as they are with any development project. In order to remedy this perceived issue, Council may require via conditions of approval that developers prepare and submit annual reports demonstrating compliance with requirements relating to maintenance of these undercroft areas.
(e) Potential environmental health impacts	Increased ponding of water and potential environmental health impacts. Based on the Guraganbah master plan vision, ponding of water would occur on the floodplain at a	and fewer potential health concerns arising from development projects being carried out in the



Perceived Issues	Discussion	Burchills' Feedback
	safe distance from buildings and not directly under the residential buildings.	 The issues that have been raised can be addressed by the preparation and implementation of an Undercroft Management Plan and a Groundwater Management Plan. Burchills has worked on several such Plans and are happy to present examples if sought.
		Summarising Comments
		Burchills submits that a development project within the floodplain that is well-located, designed and managed will promote a style of development that reduces potential environmental health impacts on the surrounding ecosystem and on residents of the area.
		Through the preparation and implementation of technical reports such as Undercroft Management Plans and Groundwater Management Plans, an extensive range of environmental information is obtained which results in tailored mitigatory measures being employed for the life of the project.
Other Issues for Di	scussion	
(f) Land Use		Areas being developed in the floodplain are typically close to existing infrastructure and represent efficient infill development opportunities.
		• The majority of the subject sites seeking to be developed in the floodplain are generally privately- owned, are of low value and offer minimal use prospects.
		• Development of such prospects offers Council the opportunity to collect headworks charges and ongoing payments of rates from new residents.
		 Development of such prospects offers the opportunity to levy contributions to contribute to the proposed Green Heart open space initiative along with other Council initiatives in the future.
	R	• As part of the preparation of the TLPI, we are unsure as to whether visual amenity considerations are applicable. If so, examples of particular attributes of examined projects should be nominated and presented to the industry for broader examination.
\langle		• The introduction of the TLPI may be seen as a strategic approach to Council seeking to acquire the land within the floodplain. If this is the case, this approach needs to be presented and discussed in further detail with affected stakeholders.



Perceived Issues	Discussion	Burchills' Feedback		
		 Council policy relating to floodplain management and flood emergency management is flawed and the industry and community needs to be consulted to form a holistic masterplan that all parties are in agreement with. Summarising Comments 		
		Burchills submits that Council should embark upon the development of a holistic masterplan relating to development projects in the floodplain. Such a project should be driven by a collaborative working group that includes government and industry stakeholders, with its initial piece of work being to examine and assess the various perceived issues detailed within this document.		
(g) Process		Overall, the lack of consultation with industry stakeholders and affected parties regarding the contents and the release of the TLPI is of concern.		
		• No independent engineering or planning assessment appears to have been undertaken, with several potential unintended consequences of the TLPI being observed.		
		 The lack of transition period created by the introduction of the TLPI prejudices developers with significant financial exposure in current and pending development applications. 		
		 No guidance on the application of the TLPI has been provided, which results in uncertainty surrounding how the instrument affects development projects across the floodplain. 		
		• Council's information briefing (provided with only 24 hours' notice) was unclear in its guidance when numerous typical example development cases were discussed.		
		Questions remain as to whether the State Government's 0.8m sea level rise figure has been used to support the introduction of the TLPI. This is a separate issue which will be addressed via updated flood mapping, which is yet to be released by Council.		
		Summarising Comments		
	$\int D$	Burchills submits that the process by which the TLPI has been prepared and introduced into the public sphere has not enabled the forms of rigorous discussion required to better understand the rationale behind its implementation and to better investigate the true implications of it becoming Council policy.		

Page 9

The experience you deserve

1

Perceived Issues	Discussion	Burchills' Feedback			
		We firmly believe that the State Government, working with Council, should seek to establish a collaborative working group including government and industry stakeholders to advance discussions around how flood-resilient development should be sustainably located and designed across the City.			

 $\langle \rangle$

www.burchills.com.au

The experience

We kindly request the opportunity to meet with yourself and stakeholders from SARA and the Queensland State Government to discuss the abovementioned information in further detail.

Further, we look forward to working in collaboration with government and industry stakeholders to advance discussions around how flood-resilient development should be sustainably located and designed across the City.

Should you have any <u>queries or require any additional information relating to the above</u>, please do not hesitate to contact or via mobile,



cc: Kim Kirstein Manager Planning & Development Services – SEQ South Department of Infrastructure, Local Government and Planning Pages 190 through 192 redacted for the following reasons: Sch. 4(4)(4) - Disclosing deliberative processes

</

From:Daniel ParkSent:Tuesday, 9 January 2018 3:01 PMTo:Thomas HolmesSubject:HIBAttachments:HIB - Gold Coast City Council TLPI No. 5.docx



Daniel Park Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Government Level 1, 7 Short Streert, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au Pages 194 through 198 redacted for the following reasons: Sch. 3(6)(c)(i) - Infringe the privileges of Parliament

From:	Thomas Holmes
Sent:	Tuesday, 16 January 2018 11:26 AM
То:	Sophie Smith
Cc:	Planning Group Correspondence; Adam Norris; Daniel Park
Subject:	HPE Content Manager Work Request : WR17/51109 : Hot Issues Brief - Gold Coast City Council TLPI No. 5
Attachments:	Hot Issues Brief - Gold Coast City Council TLPI No. 5.tr5

Hi Sophie,

Just giving you a heads up that we have made amendments to the attached Hot Issues Brief as the details of the TLPI (MC18/175) has changed.

All changes have been made in yellow highlight.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Regards,



Thomas Holmes Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Queensland Government P

Level 1, 7 Short Street, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

Pages 200 through 201 redacted for the following reasons: Sch. 4(4)(4) - Disclosing deliberative processes

From:	Thomas Holmes
Sent:	Monday, 12 February 2018 5:24 PM
То:	Daniel Park
Subject:	MBN18 391 Minister noting brief - Standard Response - Gold Coast City Council s proposed
	TLPI No 5(3)
Attachments:	MBN18 391 Minister noting brief - Standard Response - Gold Coast City Council s proposed
	TLPI No 5(3).DOCX

Let me know what you think. If you don't agree, that's fine, let's just have a chat.

I have shortened it and tried to take out the planning jargon given our new planning minister.

I have tried to link it back to the actual corro and not necessarily the TLPI.

Cheers,



Thomas Holmes Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

nt Level 1, 7 Short Street, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

1

Pages 203 through 215 redacted for the following reasons: Sch. 4(4)(4) - Disclosing deliberative processes

From:	Adam Norris
Sent:	Tuesday, 16 January 2018 8:29 AM
То:	KIRWAN Camille
Cc:	Thomas Holmes; Daniel Park
Subject:	RE: Proposed Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 - Notice of request for further
	information and to pause a timeframe

Hi Camille

Yes that is fine to add to the agenda for discussion.

Regards



Adam Norris A/Manager

Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Level 1, 7 Short Street, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: KIRWAN Camille [mailto:CKIRWAN@goldcoast.qld.gov.au] Sent: Monday, 15 January 2018 5:28 PM To: Adam Norris <Adam.Norris@dilgp.qld.gov.au Subject: RE: Proposed Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 - Notice of request for further information and to pause a timeframe

Hi Adam

Thanks for your email.

We have a meeting booked in this Thursday – would you be ok with including this on the agenda for further discussion and answer any questions we may have? If you could let me know – that would be greatly appreciated.

Thanks

Camille

Camille Kirwan Acting Coordinator City Plan City and Regional Planning City of Gold Coast

T:

PO Box 5042 Gold Coast Mail Centre Qld 9729 cityofgoldcoast.com.au



From: Adam Norris [mailto:Adam.Norris@dilgp.qld.gov.au] Sent: Monday, 15 January 2018 5:10 PM

To: KIRWAN Camille

Cc: ADAIR Kelli; BENNETTS Nicole; PARKER Kellie; Thomas Holmes; Best Planning SEQ South; Kim Kirstein; Daniel Park; Rebecca De Vries

Subject: Proposed Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 - Notice of request for further information and to pause a timeframe

Hi Camille

I refer to the Gold Coast City Council's (the council) letter received on 4 January 2018 to the Honourable Cameron Dick MP, Minister for State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning submitting the proposed Temporary Local Planning Instrument No. 5 (Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 (the proposed TLPI) under chapter 3 of *Minister's Guidelines and Rules 2017* (MGR).

The Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning (the department) has identified matters that require further information to progress the proposed TLPI to the Planning Minister.

In regard to the assessment timeframes in the MGR, the department has paused the timeframes until 30 January 2018 and the timeframes will resume on 31 January 2018.

If you require further information, I encourage you to contact Daniel Park in the department on or by email at Daniel.Park@dilgp.gld.gov.au.

Regards, Adam Norris A/Manager Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning P Oueensland Level 1, 7 Short Street, Southport QLD 4215 Government PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

This email and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information and may be protected by copyright. You must not use or disclose them other than for the purposes for which they were supplied. The confidentiality and privilege attached to this message and attachment is not waived by reason of mistaken delivery to you. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not use, disclose, retain, forward or reproduce this message or any attachments. If you receive this message in error please notify the sender by return email or telephone, and destroy and delete all copies. The Department does not accept any responsibility for any loss or damage that may result from reliance on, or use of, any information contained in this email and/or attachments.



x

Be part of the celebrations that unite our nation at the Gold Coast Australia Day event at Broadwater Parklands! The event will offer an all-nations food truck feast, live music, the JJ Richards Adventure Zone and a spectacular fireworks display set to rival New Years Eve. For more information: <u>www.cityofgoldcoast.com.au/australiaday</u>

Council of the City of Gold Coast - confidential communication This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this email and any file attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify us. You must destroy the original transmission and its contents. Before opening or using attachments, check them for viruses and defects. The contents of this email and its attachments may become scrambled, truncated or altered in transmission. Please notify us of any anomalies. Our liability is limited to resupplying the email and attached files or the cost of having them resupplied.

From:Rebecca De VriesSent:Wednesday, 7 February 2018 11:57 AMTo:Daniel Park; Kim KirsteinSubject:PPQ18 293 Gold Coast Temporary Local Planning Instrument FloodingAttachments:PPQ18 293 Gold Coast Temporary Local Planning Instrument Flooding.DQCX

Hi Dan – I have only gotten through the response so far. Please make changes before progressing to Kim.

Kim – FYI.

Pages 220 through 226 redacted for the following reasons: Sch. 3(6)(c)(i) - Infringe the privileges of Parliament

From:Daniel ParkSent:Tuesday, 9 January 2018 1:07 PMTo:Thomas Holmes; Isaac HarslettSubject:RE: 20180108 - Notice of request for further information and to pause a timeframe - TLPIAttachments:20180108 - Notice of request for further information and to pause a timeframe - TLPI (2),docx

Update as discussed. I have also revised a section relating to contours and defined flood level.



Daniel Park Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Queensland Government

Level 1, 7 Short Streert, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: Thomas Holmes

Sent: Tuesday, 9 January 2018 12:50 PM To: Isaac Harslett <Isaac.Harslett@dilgp.qld.gov.au> Cc: Daniel Park <Daniel.Park@dilgp.qld.gov.au> Subject: 20180108 - Notice of request for further information and to pause a timeframe - TLPI

Yellow highlight for consideration



Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Our reference: MC18/175 Your reference: PD113/1303(P1)

9 January 2018

Mr Dale Dickson Chief Executive Officer Gold Coast City Council PO Box 5042 GCMC QLD 9729

Dear Mr Dickson,

Notice of request for further information and to pause a timeframe

(Given under chapter 3, part 2, section 8.2 and chapter 3, part 3, section 10.1 of the Minister's Guidelines and Rules)

Thank you for submitting the proposed Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 (the proposed TLPI) to the Plonourable Cameron Dick MP, Minister for State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning on 4 January 2018 for consideration under chapter 3 of the *Minister's Guidelines and Rules 2017* (MGR).

The Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning (the department) has carried out a review of the proposed TLPI and determined that additional information is needed.

Under chapter 3, part 2, section 8.2 of the MGR, I hereby give notice requesting the following information:

1. Compliance with section 23(1)(a) and (b) of the Planning Act 2016

The material submitted with the proposed TLPI has not adequately demonstrated compliance with section 23(1)(a) and (b) of the *Planning Act 2016* (the Planning Act).

The explanatory statement submitted with the proposed TLPI states that the proposed TLPI is required due to:

- an increase in the extent of the development footprint across the floodplain beyond the natural yield of the land required for flood protection; and
- negative impacts on residents' sense of safety and expectations relating to development in a floodplain.

Page 1

Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) PO Box 3290 Australia Fair, QLD 4215 No additional information has been provided to support these as significant and immediate risks that require a temporary local planning instrument.

Please provide supporting information demonstrating:

- That the proposed TLPI is responding to a risk of serious adverse cultural, economic, environmental or social conditions happening in the local government area.
- That the delay involved in using the process in sections 18 and 22 of the Planning Act to make or amend another local planning instrument would increase the risk.

To assist in providing the required supporting information, it is recommended that you provide:

- A fit-for-purpose risk assessment in accordance with state interest policy 2 of the State Planning Policy July 2017, Natural Hazards, Risk and Resilience that demonstrates that the current requirements of the planning scheme do not achieve an acceptable or tolerable level of risk to people and property and that the proposed TLPI will achieve an acceptable or tolerable level of risk to people and property.
- A hydraulic report or similar that provides detail on why the construction methodologies commonly used in addressing the existing requirements of the planning scheme, including pier or pole construction, are not sufficient to address flood hazards.
- Supporting maps showing those properties, including their zoning under the planning scheme:
 - that are exposed to a flood inundation depth exceeding 0.6 metres and flood water velocity exceeding 0.8 metres per second
 - o and their defined flood level and contours (in Australian Height Datum).

Under chapter 3, part 3, section 10.1 of the MGR, notice is hereby given that the timeframe for the proposed TLPI has been paused. Under chapter 3, part 3, section 10.2 of the MGR, the timeframe is paused until 23 January 2018. On

24 January 2018, the process will resume at section 8.3, chapter 3, part 3 of the MGR.

If you require further information, I encourage you to contact Daniel Park, Senior Planning Officer, Planning and Development Services, of the department on or by email at bestplanning-SEQS@dilgp.qld.gov.au.

Yours sincerely

Isaac Harslett A/Manager, Planning and Development Services (SEQ South)

From:Daniel ParkSent:Tuesday, 9 January 2018 12:06 PMTo:Thomas HolmesSubject:RE: 20180108 - Notice of request for further information and to pause a timeframe TLPI.Attachments:20180108 - Notice of request for further information and to pause a timeframe TLPI.docx

Hi Thomas,

Amended version for review. I'm not sure on whether the information we request should go under the PA or just reference the related requirements under the MGR.

Let me know what you think.



Daniel Park

Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Queensland Government

Level 1, 7 Short Streert, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: Thomas Holmes

Sent: Monday, 8 January 2018 1:26 PM

To: Daniel Park <Daniel.Park@dilgp.qld.gov.au>

Cc: Isaac Harslett <Isaac.Harslett@dilgp.qld.gov.au>; Adam Norris <Adam.Norris@dilgp.qld.gov.au> Subject: 20180108 - Notice of request for further information and to pause a timeframe - TLPI

Hi Dan,

Few comments. Let me know what you think.

Keen to have a look at it before it goes back to Isaac as well, just to check grammar etc before going again. I won't bring up anything new.

Cheers,

Oueensiand

Government

Thomas Holmes Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au Pages 231 through 233 redacted for the following reasons: Sch. 4(4)(4) - Disclosing deliberative processes

From:	Daniel Park	
Sent:	Friday, 12 January 2018 3:53 PM	
То:	Sophie Smith	
Cc:	Thomas Holmes; Isaac Harslett	
Subject:	RE: Corro received for TLPI No. 5	
Attachments:	TLPI No.5 - standard response (002).docx	

Hi Sophie,

Please find attached our draft standard wording for corro relating to TLPI No.5

Kindest regards,



Daniel Park Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development,

Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Queensland Government

Level 1, 7 Short Streert, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: Isaac Harslett

Sent: Thursday, 11 January 2018 11:54 AM

To: Daniel Park <Daniel.Park@dilgp.qld.gov.au>; Thomas Holmes <Thomas.Holmes@dilgp.qld.gov.au> Subject: FW: Corro received for TLPI No. 5



Isaac Harslett A/Manager

Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Queensland Government

Level 1, 7 Short Street, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: Sophie Smith Sent: Thursday, 11 January 2018 10:55 AM To: Isaac Harslett <<u>Isaac.Harslett@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>> Cc: Planning Group Correspondence <<u>PlanningGroupCorrespondence@dsdip.qld.gov.au</u>>; Meaghan Dwyer <<u>Meaghan.Dwyer@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>> Subject: RE: Corro received for TLPI No. 5 Hi Isaac

Thanks for that.

We will ensure we make the source container for these WR18/1597 and have the standard name as:

- Concerns - Proposed TLPI No 5 - Gold Coast City Council - (NAME)

I will email the DLO to get confirmation that we can respond at DDG level for all of these today. Please send through our proposed standard response when it is ready so I can work on getting the a OK for this too. It is possible we will need to brief that up but I will get back to you on that.

Regards



Sophie Smith Correspondence Officer Planning Group Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Queensland Government

Level 13, 1 William Street, Brisbane QLD 4000 PO Box 15009, City East QLD 4002 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: Isaac Harslett Sent: Wednesday, 10 January 2018 3:55 PM To: Sophie Smith <<u>Sophie.Smith@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>> Subject: FW: Corro received for TLPI No. 5

FYI table below in relation to TLPI flood corro



Isaac Harslett A/Manager

Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Queensland Government

Level 1, 7 Short Street, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: Daniel Park

Sent: Wednesday, 10 January 2018 3:39 PM To: Thomas Holmes <u>Thomas.Holmes@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>> Cc: Isaac Harslett <u>Saac.Harslett@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u> Subject: RE: Corro received for TLPI No. 5

Hi Thomas

The table has been filled out.

Regards,



Daniel Park Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning P 07 5644 3214

Government

Level 1, 7 Short Streert, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: Thomas Holmes Sent: Wednesday, 10 January 2018 3:18 PM To: Daniel Park < Daniel.Park@dilgp.qld.gov.au> Cc: Isaac Harslett < Isaac. Harslett@dilgp.qld.gov.au> Subject: Corro received for TLPI No. 5

Hi Dan,

When you get a moment, no rush, do you mind filling out the below table with the corro you have received for TLPI no. 5. Our corro team just wants a consolidated list of the corro items as there are different levels of signatory and we want them all changed to be approved by our DDG.

Re: Gold Coast City Plan - TLPI No. 5 - Flood Source file: F17/13715

Correspondence received:

Date received	Source	Constituent
4/01/18	MC18/127	Michael Bale and Associates on behalf of numerous consultants on the Gold Coast
Unclear, 8/1/18 or 9/1/18	MC18/214	Arcadis
03/01/18	MC18/3	Property Council of Australia
20/12/17	MC18/44	Burchills Engineering Solutions on behalf of their clients on the Gold Coast
02/01/2018	DGC18/14	



Thomas Holmes Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Queensland Government

Р Level 1, 7 Short Street, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

Pages 237 through 239 redacted for the following reasons: Sch. 4(4)(4) - Disclosing deliberative processes

From:	Daniel Park	
Sent:	Wednesday, 10 January 2018 3:39 PM	
То:	Thomas Holmes	
Cc:	Isaac Harslett	
Subject:	RE: Corro received for TLPI No. 5	

Hi Thomas

The table has been filled out.

Regards,

Oueensland P

Daniel Park

Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Queensland Government

Level 1, 7 Short Streert, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: Thomas Holmes

Sent: Wednesday, 10 January 2018 3:18 PM To: Daniel Park <Daniel.Park@dilgp.qld.gov.au> Cc: Isaac Harslett <Isaac.Harslett@dilgp.qld.gov.au> Subject: Corro received for TLPI No. 5

Hi Dan,

When you get a moment, no rush, do you mind filling out the below table with the corro you have received for TLPI no. 5. Our corro team just wants a consolidated list of the corro items as there are different levels of signatory and we want them all changed to be approved by our DDG.

Re: Gold Coast City Plan - TLPI No. 5 – Flood Source file: F17/13715

Date received	Source	Constituent
4/01/18	MC18/127	Michael Bale and Associates on behalf of numerous consultants on the Gold Coast
Unclear, 8/1/18 or 9/1/18	MC18/214	Arcadis
03/01/18	MC18/3	Property Council of Australia
20/12/17	MC18/44	Burchills Engineering Solutions on behalf of their clients on the Gold Coast
02/01/2018	DGC18/14	

Correspondence received:



Thomas Holmes Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning P

Government Level 1, 7 Short Street, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: Daniel Park Sent: Tuesday, 9 January 2018 4:35 PM To: Thomas Holmes RE: HIB - Gold Coast City Council TLPI No. 5 Subject: **Attachments:** HIB - Gold Coast City Council TLPI No. 5.docx

For review.

I'm out this morning so just send it on to Adam once you're done.



Daniel Park Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Queensland Government

P

Level 1, 7 Short Streert, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: Thomas Holmes Sent: Tuesday, 9 January 2018 3:21 PM To: Daniel Park < Daniel.Park@dilgp.qld.gov.au> Subject: HIB - Gold Coast City Council TLPI No. 5

For your review.

Pages 243 through 249 redacted for the following reasons: Sch. 3(6)(c)(i) - Infringe the privileges of Parliament

From:	Daniel Park
Sent:	Tuesday, 13 February 2018 9:16 AM
То:	Thomas Holmes
Subject:	RE: MBN18 391 Minister noting brief - Standard Response - Gold Coast City Council's proposed TLPI No 5(3)
Attachments:	MBN18 391 Minister noting brief - Standard Response - Gold Coast City Council's proposed TLPI No 5(3).docx

Hi Thomas,

No issue with most of your changes. I have made some further changes:

- using retrospectively so the Minister knows what a past commencement date means
- added an extra piece of corro that was NRN'd
- changed one correspondent to a member of the public*.

*He has an interest in developable land at the Gold Coast but from his letters it appears he is ex-industry.

I'm not sure if your comment at the start of the corro regarding 'spelling it out' was a note for you or for me.

Let me know if you have any further edits, otherwise I will have Bec take a look before progressing it to Kim.

Regards,



Daniel Park Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development,

Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Queensland Government P 07 5644 3214 Level 1, 7 Short Streert, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.gld.gov.au

From: Thomas Holmes Sent: Monday, 12 February 2018 5:24 PM To: Daniel Park <Daniel.Park@dilgp.qld.gov.au> Subject: MBN18 391 Minister noting brief - Standard Response - Gold Coast City Council s proposed TLPI No 5(3)

Let me know what you think. If you don't agree, that's fine, let's just have a chat.

I have shortened it and tried to take out the planning jargon given our new planning minister.

I have tried to link it back to the actual corro and not necessarily the TLPI.

Cheers,



Thomas Holmes Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

P Level 1, 7 Short Street, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au Pages 252 through 253 redacted for the following reasons: Sch. 4(4)(4) - Disclosing deliberative processes

From:	Thomas Holmes
Sent:	Tuesday, 13 February 2018 11:22 AM
То:	Daniel Park
Subject:	RE: MBN18 391 Minister noting brief - Standard Response - Gold Coast City Council's proposed
	TLPI No 5(3)
Attachments:	MBN18 391 Minister noting brief - Standard Response - Gold Coast City Council's proposed
	TLPI No 5(3).docx

See attached.

Thanks! Can you progress via bec etc.

I also show bec the differences between for example what you or I have written and the other boys, just so she is aware too. If she has the time, she may tie stuff together.

Cheers,

Thomas Holmes

Senior Planning Officer

Planning and Development Services (SEQ South)

Department of State Development,

Queensland Government

Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Level 1, 7 Short Street, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: Daniel Park

Sent: Tuesday, 13 February 2018 9:16 AM To: Thomas Holmes <Thomas.Holmes@dilgp.qld.gov.au> Subject: RE: MBN18 391 Minister noting brief - Standard Response - Gold Coast City Council s proposed TLPI No 5(3)

Hi Thomas,

No issue with most of your changes. I have made some further changes:

- using retrospectively so the Minister knows what a past commencement date means
- added an extra piece of corro that was NRN'd
- changed one correspondent to a member of the public*.

*He has an interest in developable land at the Gold Coast but from his letters it appears he is ex-industry.

I'm not sure if your comment at the start of the corro regarding 'spelling it out' was a note for you or for me.

Let me know if you have any further edits, otherwise I will have Bec take a look before progressing it to Kim.

Regards,



Daniel Park Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Queensland Government

Level 1, 7 Short Streert, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: Thomas Holmes
Sent: Monday, 12 February 2018 5:24 PM
To: Daniel Park <<u>Daniel.Park@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>>
Subject: MBN18 391 Minister noting brief - Standard Response - Gold Coast City Council s proposed TLPI No 5(3)

Let me know what you think. If you don't agree, that's fine, let's just have a chat.

I have shortened it and tried to take out the planning jargon given our new planning minister.

I have tried to link it back to the actual corro and not necessarily the TLPI.

Cheers,



Thomas Holmes Senior Planning Officer

Planning and Development Services (SEQ South)

Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Queensland Government P Level 1, 7 Short Street, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au
Pages 256 through 257 redacted for the following reasons: Sch. 4(4)(4) - Disclosing deliberative processes

From:	Daniel Park	
Sent:	Tuesday, 13 February 2018 11:55 AM	
То:	Rebecca De Vries	
Cc:	Thomas Holmes	
Subject:	RE: MBN18 391 Minister noting brief - Standard Response - Gold Coast City Council s proposed TLPI No 5(3)	
Attachments:	MBN18 391 Minister noting brief - Standard Response - Gold Coast City Council's proposed TLPI No 5.docx	

Hi Bec,

As discussed please find attached for your review the draft Minister noting brief for the standard correspondence on the proposed TLPI No.5.

Regards,



Daniel Park Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Level 1, 7 Short Streert, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: Thomas Holmes Sent: Tuesday, 13 February 2018 11:22 AM To: Daniel Park <Daniel.Park@dilgp.qld.gov.au> Subject: RE: MBN18 391 Minister noting brief - Standard Response - Gold Coast City Council s proposed TLPI No 5(3)

See attached.

Thanks! Can you progress via bec etc.

I also show bec the differences between for example what you or I have written and the other boys, just so she is aware too. If she has the time, she may tie stuff together.

Cheers,



Thomas Holmes Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Government

P

Level 1, 7 Short Street, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.gld.gov.au

From: Daniel Park

Sent: Tuesday, 13 February 2018 9:16 AM To: Thomas Holmes < Thomas. Holmes@dilgp.qld.gov.au> Subject: RE: MBN18 391 Minister noting brief - Standard Response - Gold Coast City Council's proposed TLPI No 5(3)

Hi Thomas,

No issue with most of your changes. I have made some further changes;

- using retrospectively so the Minister knows what a past commencement date means
- added an extra piece of corro that was NRN'd
- changed one correspondent to a member of the public*. .

*He has an interest in developable land at the Gold Coast but from his letters it appears he is ex-industry.

I'm not sure if your comment at the start of the corro regarding /speiling it out' was a note for you or for me.

Let me know if you have any further edits, otherwise will have Bec take a look before progressing it to Kim.

Regards,



Daniel Park Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

P Queensland Government

Level 1, 7 Short Streert, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.gld.gov.au

From: Thomas Holmes Sent: Monday, 12 February 2018 5:24 PM To: Daniel Park < Daniel.Park@dilgp.qld.gov.au> Subject: MBN18 391 Minister noting brief - Standard Response - Gold Coast City Council s proposed TLPI No 5(3)

Let me know what you think. If you don't agree, that's fine, let's just have a chat.

I have shortened it and tried to take out the planning jargon given our new planning minister.

I have tried to link it back to the actual corro and not necessarily the TLPI.

Cheers,



Thomas Holmes

Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Government Level 1, 7 Short Street, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au Pages 261 through 262 redacted for the following reasons: Sch. 4(4)(4) - Disclosing deliberative processes

From:	Rebecca De Vries	
Sent:	Tuesday, 13 February 2018 1:18 PM	
То:	Daniel Park	
Cc:	Thomas Holmes	
Subject:	RE: MBN18 391 Minister noting brief - Standard Response - Gold Coast City Council s proposed TLPI No 5(3)	
Attachments:	MBN18 391 Minister noting brief - Standard Response - Gold Coast City Council s proposed TLPI No 5.docx	

Hey Dan, I have just corrected two typo's - attached.

It's nice and short!



Rebecca de Vries Principal Planning Officer Planning and Development Services – SEQ South Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Lever 1, 7 Snort Street, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: Daniel Park

Sent: Tuesday, 13 February 2018 11:55 AM

To: Rebecca De Vries < Rebecca. De Vries@dilgp.gld.gov.au>

Cc: Thomas Holmes <Thomas.Holmes@dilgp.qld.gov.au>

Subject: RE: MBN18 391 Minister noting brief - Standard Response - Gold Coast City Council s proposed TLPI No 5(3)

Hi Bec,

As discussed please find attached for your review the draft Minister noting brief for the standard correspondence on the proposed TLPI No.5.

Regards,



Daniel Park Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

 Queensland
 P

 Government
 Level 1, 7 Short Streert, Southport QLD 4215

 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215

 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: Thomas Holmes
Sent: Tuesday, 13 February 2018 11:22 AM
To: Daniel Park <<u>Daniel.Park@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>>
Subject: RE: MBN18 391 Minister noting brief - Standard Response - Gold Coast City Council s proposed TLPI No 5(3)

See attached.

Thanks! Can you progress via bec etc.

I also show bec the differences between for example what you or I have written and the other boys, just so she is aware too. If she has the time, she may tie stuff together.

Cheers,



Thomas Holmes Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Level 1, 7 Short Street, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: Daniel Park

Sent: Tuesday, 13 February 2018 9:16 AM To: Thomas Holmes <<u>Thomas.Holmes@dilgp.gld.gov.au</u>> Subject: RE: MBN18 391 Minister noting brief - Standard Response - Gold Coast City Council s proposed TLPI No 5(3)

Hi Thomas,

No issue with most of your changes. I have made some further changes:

- using retrospectively so the Minister knows what a past commencement date means
- added an extra piece of corro that was NRN'd
- changed one correspondent to a member of the public*.

*He has an interest in developable land at the Gold Coast but from his letters it appears he is ex-industry.

I'm not sure if your comment at the start of the corro regarding 'spelling it out' was a note for you or for me.

Let me know if you have any further edits, otherwise I will have Bec take a look before progressing it to Kim.

Regards,

Daniel Park Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning Queensland Government Level 1, 7 Short Streert, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: Thomas Holmes Sent: Monday, 12 February 2018 5:24 PM To: Daniel Park <<u>Daniel.Park@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>> Subject: MBN18 391 Minister noting brief - Standard Response - Gold Coast City Council's proposed TLPI No 5(3)

Let me know what you think. If you don't agree, that's fine, let's just have a chat.

I have shortened it and tried to take out the planning jargon given our new planning minister.

I have tried to link it back to the actual corro and not necessarily the TLPIA

Cheers,



Thomas Holmes Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Queensland Government

P 07 5644 3217 Level 1, 7 Short Street, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au Pages 266 through 267 redacted for the following reasons: Sch. 4(4)(4) - Disclosing deliberative processes

From:	BENNETTS Nicole <nbennetts@goldcoast.qld.gov.au></nbennetts@goldcoast.qld.gov.au>	
Sent:	Wednesday, 31 January 2018 6:07 PM	
То:	Daniel Park	
Subject:	ect: RE: Proposed Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 - Notice to pause a timeframe	

Thanks Daniel.

Nic

From: Daniel Park [mailto:Daniel.Park@dilgp.qld.gov.au] Sent: Tuesday, 30 January 2018 5:27 PM To: ADAIR Kelli Cc: Kim Kirstein; BENNETTS Nicole; Thomas Holmes; Best Planning SEQ South; Rebecca De Vries; PARKER Kellie Subject: Proposed Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 - Notice to pause a timeframe

Hi Kelli

I refer to the Gold Coast City Council's (the council) email of 30 January 2018 to the Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning (the department) requesting an extension of the pause period for the proposed Temporary Local Planning Instrument No. 5 (Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 (the proposed TLPI).

Please find attached a notice confirming that the department has agreed to pause the timeframe until 16 February 2018 under chapter 3, part 3, section 10.1 of the *Minister's Guidelines and Rules*. The department's assessment of the proposed TLPI will resume on 19 February 2018.

If you require further information, I encourage you to contact either myself, Thomas Holmes or Rebecca De Vries in the department on respectively.

Regards,



Daniel Park Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Queensland Government

Level 1, 7 Short Streert, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: BENNETT'S Nicole [mailto:NBENNETTS@goldcoast.qld.gov.au] Sent: Tuesday, 30 January 2018 3:54 PM To: Kim Kirstein <<u>Kim.Kirstein@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>> Cc: ADAIR Kelli <<u>KADAIR@goldcoast.qld.gov.au</u>>; Thomas Holmes <<u>Thomas.Holmes@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>>; Best Planning SEQ South <<u>bestplanning-SEQS@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>>; Daniel Park <<u>Daniel.Park@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>>; Rebecca De Vries <<u>Rebecca.DeVries@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>>; Adam Norris <<u>Adam.Norris@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>>; KIRWAN Camille <<u>CKIRWAN@goldcoast.qld.gov.au</u>>

Subject: RE: Proposed Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 - Notice of request for further information and to pause a timeframe

Hi Kim,

As discussed with Kelli earlier today, we are formally seeking an extension to the response period for the stop the clock notice for TLPI-5.

We are seeking an extension until Friday 16th February 2018.

Given the response is currently due by COB today, we respectfully request your urgent consideration and response.

Any questions, please let me know.

Kind Regards,

Nicole Bennetts RPIA Senior Planning Advisor Director's Office Planning & Environment City of Gold Coast

T: M: PO Box 5042 GCMC QId 9729 W: cityofgoldcoast.com.au

GOLDCOAST.

From: Adam Norris [mailto:Adam.Norris@dilgp.qld.qov.au] Sent: Monday, 15 January 2018 5:10 PM

To: KIRWAN Camille

Cc: ADAIR Kelli; BENNETTS Nicole; PARKER Kellie; Thomas Holmes; Best Planning SEQ South; Kim Kirstein; Daniel Park; Rebecca De Vries

Subject: Proposed Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 - Notice of request for further information and to pause a timeframe

Hi Camille

I refer to the Gold Coast City Council's (the council) letter received on 4 January 2018 to the Honourable Cameron Dick MP, Minister for State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning submitting the proposed Temporary Local Planning Instrument No. 5 (Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 (the proposed TLPI) under chapter 3 of *Minister's Guidelines and Rules 2017* (MGR).

The Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning (the department) has identified matters that require further information to progress the proposed TLPI to the Planning Minister.

In regard to the assessment timeframes in the MGR, the department has paused the timeframes until 30 January 2018 and the timeframes will resume on 31 January 2018.

If you require further information, I encourage you to contact Daniel Park in the department or email at Daniel.Park@dilgp.gld.gov.au.

Regards,



A/Manager Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Queensland P 07 5 Government Level PO Bo

Level 1, 7 Short Street, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

This email and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information and may be protected by copyright. You must not use or disclose them other than for the purposes for which they were supplied. The confidentiality and privilege attached to this message and attachment is not waived by reason of mistaken delivery to you. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not use, disclose, retain, forward or reproduce this message or any attachments. If you receive this message in error please notify the sender by return email or telephone, and destroy and delete all copies. The Department does not accept any responsibility for any loss or damage that may result from reliance on or use of, any information contained in this email and/or attachments.

×

Be part of the celebrations that unite our nation at the Gold Coast Australia Day event at Broadwater Parklands! The event will offer an all-nations food truck feast, live music, the J Richards Adventure Zone and a spectacular fireworks display set to rival New Years Eve. For more information: www.cityofgoldcoast.com.au/australiaday

Council of the City of Gold Coast - confidential communication This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this email and any file attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify us. You must destroy the original transmission and its contents. Before opening or using attachments, check them for viruses and defects. The contents of this email and its attachments may become scrambled, truncated or altered in transmission. Please notify us of any anomalies. Our liability is limited to resupplying the email and attached files or the cost of having them resupplied.

This Email originates from outside the City of Gold Coast

Be part of the celebrations that unite our nation at the Gold Coast Australia Day event at Broadwater Parklands! The event will offer an all-nations food truck feast, live music, the JJ Richards Adventure Zone and a spectacular fireworks display set to rival New Years Eve. For more information: www.cityofgoldcoast.com.au/australiaday

Council of the City of Gold Coast - confidential communication This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this email and any file attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify us. You must destroy the original transmission and its contents. Before opening or using attachments, check them for viruses and defects. The contents of this email and its attachments may become scrambled, truncated or altered in transmission. Please notify us of any anomalies. Our liability is limited to resupplying the email and attached files or the cost of having them resupplied.

From:	Thomas Holmes	
Sent:	Monday, 12 February 2018 2:24 PM	
То:	BEATTIE James	
Cc:	MELLISH Raoul; Daniel Park	
Subject:	RE: Gold Coast City Council - Proposed TLPI No.5 (minimum land above designated flood level and residential risk reduction) - Response to information request	

Hi James,

As discussed, there is no new planning instrument as a result of council's response to the "information request", just material to why the council considers they should progress it through this type of planning instrument.

I understand that you have previously provided comments on the actual planning instrument.

Can you please confirm that given the planning instrument has not changed, HPWs comments on the Proposed TLPI No.5 (minimum land above designated flood level and residential risk reduction) will not change?

Regards,



Thomas Holmes Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Queensland Government P

t Level 1, 7 Short Street, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: BEATTIE James [mailto:James.BEATTIE@hpw.qld.gov.au] Sent: Monday, 12 February 2018 12:08 PM To: Thomas Holmes <Thomas.Holmes@dilgp,qld.gov.au> Cc: MELLISH Raoul <Raoul.MELLISH@hpw.qid.gov.au> Subject: RE: Gold Coast City Council - Proposed TLPI No.5 (minimum land above designated flood level and residential risk reduction) - Response to information request

Hi Thomas

I've just had a look over this "Response to information request" and I'm trying to determine what we need to review and why? There seems to be a lot of information here and no actual draft amendment to a planning instrument for review. Is our input sought on the recommendations contained in the 11 October presentation PDF? If so we would request more time to undertake a proper review.

Kind regards

James Beattie Advisor Building Industry and Policy Department of Housing and Public Works Queensland Government www.hpw.gld.gov.au tel _______mail james.beattie@hpw.qld.gov.au Customers first | Ideas into action | Unleash potential | Be courageous | Empower people | Healthy and safe workforce

From: Thomas Holmes [mailto:Thomas.Holmes@dilgp.qld.gov.au]

Sent: Thursday, 8 February 2018 5:01 PM

To: Natural Hazards <<u>NaturalHazards@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>>; <u>hicb@oir.qld.gov.au</u>; HHS CA Town Planning <<u>HHSCATownPlanning@hpw.qld.gov.au</u>>; BCQ Stateinterest <<u>bcqstateinterest@hpw.qld.gov.au</u>>; Cc: Rebecca De Vries <<u>Rebecca.DeVries@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>>; Daniel Park <<u>Daniel.Park@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>>; Kim Kirstein <<u>Kim.Kirstein@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>>; Best Planning SEQ South <<u>bestplanning-SEQS@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>>; Subject: Gold Coast City Council - Proposed TLPI No.5 (minimum land above designated flood level and residential risk reduction) - Response to information request

Good afternoon,

Your state agency recently provided an assessment of the Gold Coast City Council's (the council) Temporary Local Planning Instrument (minimum land above designated flood level and residential risk reduction) No.5 (the proposed TLPI).

- On 15 January 2018, the Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning (the department) requested further justification from the council.
- On 7 February 2018, the council provided further information (attached) on the proposed TLPI in response to the department's request. The department is requesting your agency's assessment of the proposed TLPI, including the additional information provided by the council. All documents have been attached to this email and are also available through the Ezi-Scheme online portal (reference TLPI-00046).

Can you please email your response to <u>bestplanning-SEQS@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u> AND <u>daniel.park@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u> AND <u>thomas.holmes@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u> by **COB Wednesday**, **14** February 2018. If you have any concerns with achieving this timeframe, please do not hesitate to contact me to discuss.

If you are not the appropriate contact in your department, can you please contact either myself, or Daniel Park on as soon as possible to confirm the correct contact.

Thank you, should you have any further queries please do not hesitate to call.

Regards,



Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Queensland P Government Level 1, 7 Short Street, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

Thomas Holmes

This email and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information and may be protected by copyright. You must not use or disclose them other than for the purposes for which they were supplied. The confidentiality and privilege attached to this message and attachment is not waived by reason of mistaken delivery to you. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not use, disclose, retain, forward or reproduce this message or any attachments. If you receive this message in error please notify the sender by return email or telephone, and destroy and delete all copies. The Department does not accept any responsibility for any loss or damage that may result from reliance on, or use of, any information contained in this email and/or attachments.

The contents of this electronic message and any attachments are intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged or confidential information. They may only be used for the purposes for which they were supplied. If you are not the addressee, you are notified that any transmission, distribution, downloading, printing or photocopying of the contents of this message or attachments is strictly prohibited. The privilege or confidentiality attached to this message and attachments is not waived, lost or destroyed by reason of mistaken delivery to you. If you receive this message in error please notify the sender by return e-mail or telephone.

Please note: the Department of Housing and Public Works carries out automatic software scanning, filtering and blocking of E-mails and attachments (including emails of a personal nature) for detection of viruses, malicious code, SPAM, executable programs or content it deems unacceptable. All reasonable precautions will be taken to respect the privacy of individuals in accordance with the Information Privacy Act 2009 (Qld). Personal information will only be used for official purposes, e.g. monitoring Departmental Personnel's compliance with Departmental Policies. Personal information will not be divulged or disclosed to others, unless authorised or required by Departmental Policy and/or law.

Thank you.

From:	Daniel Park
Sent:	Monday, 8 January 2018 10:51 AM
То:	Isaac Harslett; Adam Norris
Cc:	Thomas Holmes
Subject:	RE: TLPI and an early effective date
Attachments:	Attachment 1 - Local Government resolve to send to Minister and seek early effective date.pdf;
	Attachment 2 - COMMITTEE REPORT
	DEC_MINIMUM_FLOOD_FREE_LAND_TLPI_AGENDA_REPORT (003).pdf

Gents, can you please review the email below prior to me sending it to Planning Group. Thomas has stated that we might want to include Nathan in the reply.

Good Morning Katherine,

Thank you very much for your email. I was hoping to seek some additional clarification on section 9(4).

Under section 9(4) of the Planning Act 2016, it appears that it is only the local government resolving to give the TLPI to the Minister and seek an early effective date that needs to be had in a public meeting. On 8 December 2017, at an open portion of a public meeting, Gold Coast City Council specifically resolved recommendations of a confidential report (see bottom of Attachment 1). These recommendations were to give the TLPI to the Minister and seek an early effective date (see section 17 of Attachment 2). As such it appears that the local government has met the requirements of 9(4) under a strict reading.

The explanatory notes to the Planning Act state that section 9(4) is an important transparency measure to make the early effective date known to anyone who attended the meeting or has access to a record of the meeting. It could be argued that what the local government did does not meet the intent of section 9(4), specifically that as the resolved recommendations were not available to the public it was not transparent. However, on 8 December 2017, the local government did publish a <u>webpage</u> on their website which stated that the local government had resolved to prepare and endorse the TLPI and seek an earlier effective date. In addition, the webpage provided an explanation of the TLPI and a copy of the TLPI itself. The TLPI was also made aware to the public through the local governments Planning Alert system, an opt-in system available to the public. While this was not done in the public meeting, it does make the public aware of what they have resolved to do.

Based on the above information, is the department satisfied that section 9(4) of the Planning Act 2016 has been met with regards to TLPI No.5 in both its wording and intent?

If Planning Group is not able to provide this can you please let me know immediately so that I can consider a request for legal advice.

Kind regards,



Daniel Park Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEQ South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning P 07 5644 3218

Vevel 1, 7 Short Streert, Southport QLD 4215 PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au From: Katherine Chuan
Sent: Friday, 5 January 2018 5:01 PM
To: Thomas Holmes <<u>Thomas.Holmes@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>>
Cc: Dominique Gallagher <<u>Dominique.Gallagher@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>>; Upendo Kowero
<<u>Upendo.Kowero@dilgp.qld.gov.au</u>>
Subject: TLPI and an early effective date

Hi Thomas,

As discussed, I've had a look into the provisions relating to TLPIs and early effective dates.

Section 9(4) of the Planning Act prescribes when a TLPI can have an early effective date. An extract of this section can be found below:

(4) However, with the Minister's agreement in writing, the effective day for the making or amendment of a TLPI is the day when the local government, at a public meeting, resolved to give the TLPI or amendment, and the request for an earlier effective day, to the Minister for approval.

This means that a TLPI can only have an early effective date if:

- 1. The local government, at a public meeting, resolves to give the Minister the TLPI and the request for an early effective date (ie the date that the public meeting was held), and
- 2. The Minister agrees to an early effective date.

Since the council made the resolution at a closed meeting, it is not eligible for an early effective date.

Please let me know if there's anything else I can help you with.

Kind regards



Planner Planning Group Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

P M Cli. 4(4)(0) - Dis/d Level 13, 1 William Street, Brisbane QLD 4000 PO Box 15009, City East QLD 4002 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

CITY PLANNING

CONFIDENTIAL

Refer 11 page attachments

1 BASIS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY

- 1.1 I recommend that this report be considered in Closed Session pursuant to section 275 (1) of the *Local Government Regulation 2012* for the reason that the matter involves
 - (h) other business for which a public discussion would be likely to prejudice the interests of the local government or someone else, or enable a person to gain a financial advantage.
- 1.2 I recommend that the report/attachment be deemed non-confidential except for those parts deemed by the Chief Executive Officer to remain confidential in accordance with sections 171 (3) and 200 (5) of the *Local Government Act 2009*.

2 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report seeks Council's endorsement of the proposed Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 (TLPI No.5 2017). The proposed TLPI No.5 2017 has been prepared further to a Council resolution G17.1017.013 endorsing a new flood policy to ensure residential development is not exposed to:

- a flood inundation depth greater than 0.6 metres; and
- a flood water velocity greater than 0.8 metres per second.

In addition, the proposed TLPI No.5 2017 will also require Reconfiguring a Lot applications for residential, commercial and industrial uses to provide a sufficient area of land at or above the Designated Flood Level (DFL).

The purpose of the proposed TLPI No.5 2017 is to prevent the potential loss of the city's flood resilience and enable the sustainable mitigation of flood hazard on flood affected land. As such, the TLPI No.5 2017 will amend the operation of the Flood overlay code provided in City Plan by including new overall outcomes and assessment benchmarks to be applied during development assessment. It is envisaged that the TLPI No.5 2017 will have a life span of 2 years from the following proposed commencement date of 8 December 2017.

Section 9(4) of the *Planning Act 2016* allows Council, with the Minister's agreement, to make the TLPI take effect from the day Council resolved to give the TLPI and the request for an earlier effective day to the Minister for approval.

Council is requested to endorse the proposed TLPI No.5 2017 included in **Attachment A** which will allow Council to write to the Minister seeking its approval. Further to the Minister's approval, Council will be required to adopt the draft TLPI No.5 2017.

PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to:

3

UNAUTHORISED RELEASE OF CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS OR INFORMATION may be an offence under the Local Government Act 2009 and other legislation and could result in disqualification from office and a penalty of up to 100 units.

- (a) seek Council's endorsement of Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 provided in Attachment A of this report; and
- (b) seek permission for Council to write to the Minister:
 - a. seeking approval of the Temporary Local Planning Instrument No 5 (Flood Free Land and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 (TLPI No.5 2017);
 - b. providing the TLPI No.5 2017 and relevant supporting material identified in Schedule 3 of the Minister's Guidelines and Rules; and
 - c. seeking approval for the commencement of the TLPI No.5 2017, to be 8 December 2017.

Once the Minister provides a response, a further report will be presented to Council to seek endorsement to adopt the TLPI No.5 2017.

4 PREVIOUS RESOLUTIONS

On the 11 October 2017, Council resolved to (G17.1017.013):

- ...
- 2. To endorse the proposed minimum flood free land policy as identified in Attachment 1 to inform updates to the Flood overlay code as part of Major update 2 package.
- •••
- 5. To prepare a Temporary Local Planning Instrument to implement minimum flood free land and return a TLPI package for endorsement before making a submission to the Minister for Planning.

On the 22 November 2017, City Planning Committee resolved the Design for Flood package to be progressed to State Interest review. This package includes approval of the necessary changes to the proposed wording of the Flood overlay code to make it consistent with TLPI.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Background

Council resolved on 11 October 2017 (G17.1017.013) to prepare a Temporary Local Planning Instrument (71.PI) to implement the flood policy position described as 'Minimum flood free land'.

The 'Minimum flocd free land' policy aims to ensure that development in flood affected areas of the city are exposed to no more than a medium flood hazard. A medium flood hazard includes, among other elements, development exposed to:

a flood inundation depth of up to and less than 0.6 metres; and a flood water velocity of no more than 0.8 metres per second.

The City Plan Major update 2 amendment package includes updates to the Flood overlay code to implement the 'Minimum flood free land' policy. However, at the time of preparing this report, Major 2 update is in the process of being sent to the minister for the State Interest

UNAUTHORISED RELEASE OF CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS OR INFORMATION may be an offence under the *Local Government Act 2009* and other legislation and could result in disqualification from office and a penalty of up to 100 units.

review. Given that the plan making process is a long-term process, it is considered that enacting the policy through a TLPI will provide for the maintenance of the City's floed resilience while Major update 2 is being processed through the required statutory process.

5.2 Proposed TLPI

The resolution (G17.1017.013) to prepare the TLPI, included proposed wording to implement the 'Minimum flood free land' policy. In preparing the TLPI, this wording was refined. It is therefore necessary under s 9(4) of the *Planning Act 2016* for Council to again resolve to make the TLPI with the proposed commencement date of the 8 December 2017

Attachment A contains the proposed *Temporary Local Planning* Instrument No.5 (Minimum Land Above Designated Flood Level and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 and supporting Explanatory Statement.

The proposed TLPI will affect the operation of City Plan (version 4) Flood overlay code through:

- a) Inserting additional assessable development criteria PO16 and PO17 to ensure that a Reconfiguring a Lot application provides sufficient land above the designated flood level (DFL) for residential, commercial and industrial uses. In addition, ensuring land is above the DFL reduces flood risks to users of the site by minimising the possibility of a high flood hazard occurring adjacent to the developments building footprint.
- b) Amending PO9/AO9 to remove any inconsistency that may arise in the assessment of residential uses under the proposed PO16; and
- c) Inserting new additional overall outcomes (I), (m) and (n) to the Flood overlay code to ensure:
 - i) Residential development is not of a type or design nor occurs on land that is exposed to high or extreme flood hazards;
 - ii) Avoiding the development of lots on land which does not have a sufficient area of land above the DFL, and
 - iii) Discouraging of the proliferation of multi dwelling development on constructed platforms above flood affected land.

5.3 The need for a TLPI

Attachment B contains the Explanatory Statement that Council is required to provide to the Minister with our request to (a) approve the TLPI and (b) seek a commencement date from the 8 December 2017. In the Explanatory Statement the following points are made in support of making the TLPI.

Section 23(1) of the Planning Act 2016 says that a local government may make a TLPI if the local government and Minister decide —

- (a) there is significant risk of serious adverse cultural, economic, environmental or social conditions happening in the local government area; and;
- (b) the delay involved in using the process in sections 18 to 22 to make or amend another local planning instrument would increase the risk; and
- (c) the making of the TLPI would not adversely affect State interests.

UNAUTHORISED RELEASE OF CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS OR INFORMATION may be an offence under the *Local Government Act 2009* and other legislation and could result in disqualification from office and a penalty of up to 100 units.

The proposed TLPI is considered to satisfy each of these requirements.

- (a) The city's floodplains are critical in providing for significant flood storage, environmental values and open space requirements. It is essential that the flood absorption capacity of floodplains is maintained. As discussed above in section 2 of this statement, there are significant risks if the local government does not implement a requirement for a sufficient area of land above the Designated Flood Level and does not regulate building on platforms on highly flood affected land, namely:
 - *i.* an increase in the extent of the development footprint across the floodplain beyond the natural yield of the land required for flood protection; and
 - *ii.* negative impacts on residents' sense of safety and expectations relating to development in a floodplain.
- (b) Given the importance of maintaining the long-term function and resilience of the city's floodplains, it is proposed that this immediate risk be addressed by way of the proposed TLPI as an effective tool that can apply in the interim period while an amendment to the City Plan is finalised
- (c) The proposed TLPI would not adversely affect State interests as the maintenance of the flood absorption capacity and the management of community expectations relating to development in a floodplain are matters currently regulated by the Flood Overlay Code in the City Plan. The proposed TLPI is consistent with the State interest guideline – Natural hazards, risk and resilience dated April 2016 which contemplates local government including development requirements in planning schemes with respect to development within an area affected by a natural hazard such as flood. '

By seeking the Minister's support for a commencement date being the 8 December 2017, Council will be better able to provide advice to applicants as to how the TLPI is to be addressed in development applications. The alternative to commencing the TLPI on the 8 December is to await the following steps to be completed:

- 1. The Minister considers our proposal to make a TLPI and issues a letter of approval;
- 2. Upon receiving a letter of approval, Council resolves to adopt the TLPI; and
- 3. The TLPI commences on the day it is gazetted (estimated to be early to mid 2018).

Notwithstanding the above the Minister may decide to approve the TLPI and not support our request for an earlier commencement date.

ALIGNMENT TO THE CORPORATE PLAN, CORPORATE STRATEGIES AND OPERATIONAL PLAN

Gold Coast 2022 outcome 3.1, "Our City is Safe".

UNAUTHORISED RELEASE OF CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS OR INFORMATION may be an offence under the *Local Government Act 2009* and other legislation and could result in disqualification from office and a penalty of up to 100 units.

7 GOLD COAST 2018 COMMONWEALTH GAMES IMPACT

No impact

8 FUNDING AND RESOURCING REQUIREMENTS

Budget/Funding Considerations

No additional budget or resources will be required.

9 RISK MANAGEMENT

Risk No CO000644.

Natural Hazards Resilience – The City is not adequately resilient to natural hazards shocks resulting in loss of life, cessation of Council business, reputational damage and economic downturn.

10 STATUTORY MATTERS

Section 23 of the *Planning Act 2016* provides the statutory basis for making or amending TLPIs.

This TLPI is required to address the State Planning Policy 2017, and in particular the Natural Hazards, Risk and Resilience interest.

11 COUNCIL POLICIES

Not applicable.

12 DELEGATIONS

Not Applicable.

13 COORDINATION & CONSULTATION

Name and/or Title of the Stakeholder Consulted	Directorate or Organisation	Is the Stakeholder Satisfied With Content of Report and Recommendations (Yes/No) (comment as appropriate)
Supervising Engineer Hydraulics & Water Quality	Planning and Environment	Yes
Coordinator City Plan	Planning and Environment	Yes
A/City Solicitor, Legal Services	Office of the Chief Operating Officer	Yes

14 STAKEHOLDER IMPACTS

External / community stakeholder Impacts

UNAUTHORISED RELEASE OF CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS OR INFORMATION may be an offence under the <u>Local Government Act 2009</u> and other legislation and could result in disqualification from office and a penalty of up to 100 units.

The intention of this TLPI is to improve community safety through the provision of a viable solution for flood-cognisant development.

Internal (Organisational) Stakeholder Impacts

This TLPI will assist the development assessment process, in the interim until Major update 2 to be adopted

15 TIMING

Upon Council resolving to adopt the TLPI, the proposed instrument and explanatory document will be forwarded to the Minister for approval. It is recommended that Council adopt the TLPI, with a commencement date of 8 December 2017.

16 CONCLUSION

Council have endorsed a flood policy position 'Minimum flood free land' and have resolved to prepare a TLPI. The TLPI No.5 2017 will amend the City Plan (version 4) Flood overlay code to ensure the abovementioned policy will be in place until City Plan Major update 2 is approved.

The proposed TLPI No.5 2017 is provided in **Attachment A** and it is recommended that Council endorse the adoption of the TLPI and sending it to the Minister for approval **with the material in Attachment B.** It is also recommended that the TLPI No.5 2017 has a commencement date of 8 December 2017.

17 RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that Council resolves as follows:

- 1 That the report/attachment be deemed non-confidential except for those parts deemed by the Chief Executive Officer to remain confidential in accordance with sections 171 (3) and 200 (5) of the Local Government Act 2009.
- 2 To prepare a Temporary Local Planning Instrument to implement minimum flood free land.
- 3 To endorse the proposed *Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Risk Reduction)* 2017, in the form of Attachment 1.
- 4 That the commencement date of *Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5* (Flood Free Land and Risk Reduction) 2017 be 8 December 2017.
- 5 That Council writes to the Minister to request approval of the *Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017* and consideration of a 8 December 2017 commencement date.
- 6 That Council provide the *Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5* (Flood Free Land and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017 and relevant supporting material in the form of Attachment B in accordance with Schedule 3 of the Minister's Guidelines and Rules.

UNAUTHORISED RELEASE OF CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS OR INFORMATION may be an offence under the <u>Local Government Act 2009</u> and other legislation and could result in disqualification from office and a penalty of up to 100 units.

ITEM 9 (Continued) FLOOD FREE LAND TLPI PD113/1303(P1)

CONFIDENTIAL

7 Further to the Minister's response, a report will be brought back to Council seeking adoption of the *Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.5 (Flood Free Land and Residential Risk Reduction) 2017.*

Author: Pradesh Ramiah Supervising Planner 29 November 2017 Authorised by: Dyan Currie Director Planning and Environment

UNAUTHORISED RELEASE OF CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS OR INFORMATION may be an offence under the *Local Government Act 2009* and other legislation and could result in disqualification from office and a penalty of up to 100 units.

From:	Thomas Holmes	
Sent:	Wednesday, 7 February 2018 4:01 PM	
То:	Daniel Park	
Cc:	Rebecca De Vries; Kim Kirstein	
Subject:	RE: TLPI No. 5 - attached material.	

Hey Dan,

Given the lodgement and following the urgent stuff below, can you please:

- ASAP Send the material to agencies for their review.
- ASAP Update source/MALPI.
- Start preparing the report/briefing with the background material. i.e. not the decision outcome yet.

As discussed, Kim is identifying whether we will be obtaining legal.

Also, we will be reviewing timeframes given it is formally paused.

I will be preparing an email to Kim to give an overview of the lodgement and the response to the pause notice.



Government

Thomas Holmes Senior Planning Officer Planning and Development Services (SEO South) Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

Level 1, 7 Short Street, Southport QLD 4215, PO Box 3290, Australia Fair QLD 4215 www.dsdmip.qld.gov.au

From: Rebecca De Vries Sent: Wednesday, 7 February 2018 3:39 PM To: Thomas Holmes <Thomas.Holmes@dilgp.qld.gov.au>; Kim Kirstein <Kim.Kirstein@dilgp.qld.gov.au> Cc: Daniel Park <Daniel.Park@dilgp.qld.gov.au> Subject: RE: TLPI No. 5 - attached material.

Hi Kim,

We have updated the PPQ to reflect that we have received further information and that this is being considered by the department. I don't think we can say anything else at this point.

Let me know if you want anything different.

Thanks!