

**Councillor Conduct Tribunal:
Councillor misconduct complaint –
Summary of decision and reasons
for department’s website**

Local Government Act 2009: Sections 150AS(2)(c)

1. Complaint:

| | |
|---------------------------|--|
| CCT Reference | F19/3139 |
| Subject Councillor | Councillor Rick Taylor (the councillor) |
| Council | Cassowary Coast Regional Council (the council) |

2. Decision (s150AQ):

| | |
|---|--|
| Date: | 23 April 2019 |
| Decision regarding Allegation 1: | <p>The Tribunal conducted a hearing on whether or not Cr Rick Taylor, a councillor of Cassowary Coast Regional Council, engaged in misconduct. It was alleged that between 18 April 2016 and 9 August 2017, Councillor Rick Taylor, engaged in misconduct as defined in section 176(3)(b)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009 in that his conduct involved a breach of the trust placed in him as a councillor.</p> <p>Particulars of the alleged conduct which could amount to misconduct are as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">In the lead up to the 2016 local government elections, Councillor Taylor campaigned for election as a member of a group of candidates called the Cassowary Coast Unity Alliance (the Alliance).The Cassowary Coast Unity Association Inc (the Association) was an incorporated association, which oversaw the administrative activities of the Alliance. The Association received donations on behalf of the Alliance.At the local government elections on 19 March 2016, Councillor Taylor was elected as a councillor of the Cassowary Coast Regional Council.Councillor Taylor’s membership with the Association was an interest that was required to be recorded in his register of interests at item 14, pursuant to section 171B of the Act.On 12 April 2016, Councillor Taylor submitted his first Form 2 – Register of interests of a councillor and their related persons |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>(Form 2). The Form 2 did not record Councillor Taylor’s membership with the Association.</p> <p>f. Councillor Taylor updated his register of interests via a Form 2 on 8 August 2017 and recorded his membership with the Association at item 14.</p> <p>The alleged conduct could amount to misconduct on the basis that it did not comply with local government principle 4(2)(e) being ‘ethical and legal behaviour of councillors and local government employees’.</p> <p>Councillor Taylor failed to comply with section 171B of the Act in that the Form 2, submitted on 12 April 2016, did not record his membership with the Association. As a result, Councillor Taylor’ register of interests was not an accurate reflection of his interests between 18 April 2016 and 9 August 2017.</p> <p>The Tribunal has determined, on the balance of probabilities, that the allegation has been sustained and that therefore the Councillor has engaged in misconduct.</p> |
| <p>Reasons:</p> | <p>Cr Taylor acknowledged that his register of interests was not an accurate reflection of his interests between 18 April 2016 and 9 August 2017. Following his election, he had enquired from an Association member whether it had been “wound up”. The advice he received was that it had been and therefore, following receipt of that advice, Councillor Taylor did not record the Association in his register of interests.</p> <p>After a complaint was received by Council from the complainants in relation to the omission of his membership of the Association in his register of interests, Cr Taylor made further enquiries with an executive member of the Association. He was advised that the advice provided earlier was incorrect and that the Association still existed. On 8 August 2017, Councillor Kremastos updated his register of interests via a Form 2 and recorded his membership with the Association at item 14.</p> <p>The conduct has contravened local government principle 4(2)(e), in that the councillor’s register of interests was not an accurate reflection of his interests between 18 April 2016 and 9 August 2017, thus breaching section 290 of the <i>Local Government Regulation 2012</i>, and that this constitutes non-compliance with the local government principle of ‘ethical and legal behaviour of councillors and local government employees – s4(2)(e)’.</p> |
| <p>Decision regarding Allegation 2:</p> | <p>The Tribunal conducted a hearing on whether or not Cr Rick Taylor, a councillor of Cassowary Coast Regional Council, engaged in misconduct.</p> |

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| | <p>It was alleged that on 8 November 2018, Councillor Rick Taylor, a councillor of Cassowary Coast Regional Council, engaged in misconduct as defined in section 176(3)(b)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009, in that his conduct involved a breach of the trust placed in him as a councillor.</p> <p>Particulars of the alleged conduct which could amount to misconduct are as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. On 8 November 2018, Councillor Taylor attended Council’s Asset Sustainability Committee meeting. b. A matter for consideration at the meeting was a report about the awarding of a contract for the construction of extensions to the Water Office at the Innisfail Depot. The report recommended the contract be awarded to [REDACTED]. c. The matter was not an ordinary business matter. d. The meeting resolved unanimously to adopt the recommendation. e. The Principal of [REDACTED] made a \$200 donation at the 2016 local government election to the Cassowary Coast Unity Association on behalf of the Cassowary Coast Unity Alliance, a registered group of candidates. Councillor Taylor was one of the candidates in the Alliance and was a member of the Association. f. Councillor Taylor did not inform the meeting of his personal interest in the matter <p>The conduct was not in accordance with local government principle 4(2)(e) being ‘ethical and legal behaviour of councillors and local government employees’, in that Councillor Taylor did not inform the meeting of his personal interest in the matter as required by section 175E of the Act.</p> |
| <p>Reasons:</p> | <p>Cr Taylor admitted that he had failed to declare the conflict of interest at the meeting. The day after the meeting on 8 November 2018, Councillor Kremastos realized that he should not have voted on this decision the day before. He advised the Acting CEO. The Acting CEO, contacted the CEO to seek his advice which led to the calling of a special council meeting at which the resolution of the Asset Sustainability Meeting on 8 November was repealed and the matter of awarding the contract was delegated to the Acting CEO.</p> <p>Upon returning from his holiday, the CEO reported the matter to the CCC and the Department.</p> <p>Section 175E(2) of the Act states that a councillor must inform the meeting about the councillor’s personal interests in a matter, including the following particulars about the interest:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The nature of the interests; b. The nature of the relationship or value and date of receipt of the gift; and |

Councillor Conduct Tribunal

GPO Box 10059, City East, Q 4002

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>c. The nature of the other person’s interest in the matter.</p> <p>No exclusions applied, therefore the councillor did have a mandatory obligation to inform the meeting of the conflict and manage it appropriately.</p> |
|--|--|

3. Orders and/or recommendations (s150AR - disciplinary action):

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Date of orders: | 27 April 2019 |
| Orders regarding Allegation 1: | <p>Pursuant to Section 150AR(1)(b)(i) & (iv) of the Act, the Tribunal orders that Cr Taylor:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Make a public admission that the councillor engaged in misconduct, at an ordinary meeting of the Cassowary Coast Regional Council within one month of the date of this Order; and b. Pay to the local council an amount of \$100, to be paid within 60 days of the date of this Order. |
| Reasons: | <p>The Tribunal considered the facts and circumstances relevant to any sanction along with the submissions on sanction. The Tribunal determined the following were aggravating circumstances:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • that Councillor Kremastos has received training on avoiding the alleged misconduct. <p>The Tribunal agreed that the following were mitigating factors and should be taken into consideration when determining any sanction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Councillor Taylor has no disciplinary history; • Councillor Taylor has demonstrated full and early co-operation which has spared the OIA the expense of fully investigating both matters; <p>The Tribunal accepts that the non-recording of the councillor’s interest in the Cassowary Coast Unity Association Inc. was not a deliberate attempt to hide this fact from his register or the public. The Tribunal has also noted where the councillor acted on the erroneous statement by [REDACTED] that the Association ‘had been wound up’ during the period of non-recording on the register of interests. The Tribunal notes that reportedly, the Association was inactive during this period.</p> <p>It may well be that Cr Taylor, in good faith, trusted the advice provided to him by [REDACTED], a fellow member of the Association. However, the Tribunal considers that when it comes to compliance with statutory requirements, it is incumbent upon a councillor, to be vigilant and make</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>appropriate enquiries to satisfy himself that he is meeting his legal obligations.</p> <p>Regardless of the reason, the end result was that an important factor of statutory integrity compliance for councillors was inaccurate for the period 18 April 2016 and 9 August 2017. The Tribunal views statutory compliance requirements more seriously than other non-statutory integrity conduct.</p> <p>The Tribunal considers that a public admission is an appropriate order in the circumstances but also believes that a small monetary sanction is appropriate, from the perspective that the conduct did involve a breach of a statutory compliance requirement, which is viewed quite seriously in the legislation.</p> |
| <p>Orders regarding Allegation 2:</p> | <p>Pursuant pursuant to s150AR(1)(b)(i) and (iv) of the Act, that Cr Taylor:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Make a public admission that the councillor engaged in misconduct, at an ordinary meeting of the Cassowary Coast Regional Council within one month of the date of this Order; b. Pay to the local council an amount of \$100, to be paid within 60 days of the date of this Order. |
| <p>Reasons:</p> | <p>The Tribunal considered the facts and circumstances relevant to any sanction along with the submissions on sanction. The Tribunal determined the following were aggravating circumstances:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • that Councillor Taylor has received training on avoiding the alleged misconduct. <p>The Tribunal agreed that the following were mitigating factors and should be taken into consideration when determining any sanction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Councillor Taylor has no disciplinary history; • Councillor Taylor has demonstrated full and early co-operation which has spared the OIA the expense of fully investigating both matters. <p>The Tribunal in considering whether the claimed ‘self-declaration by the Council’ was a mitigating factor, noted that it was Cr Kremastos who realised and reported that he should not have voted on this decision the day before. There is no evidence to suggest that Cr Taylor identified the breach himself. As such, the Tribunal does not consider it a mitigating factor in relation to Cr Taylor.</p> <p>With respect to previous orders made by the former Local Government Remuneration and Discipline Tribunal in relation to matters of a similar nature, the IA submitted a number of examples where non-declaration of a conflict of interest had been sustained and orders mainly requiring an admission of misconduct at a future council meeting was ordered. The Tribunal did consider each example from a relativity perspective and was</p> |

Councillor Conduct Tribunal

GPO Box 10059, City East, Q 4002

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>satisfied that there were factors which could distinguish each of those matters from the present matter.</p> <p>While the Tribunal agreed with the submissions that “an admission that the councillor has engaged in misconduct” was an appropriate sanction, the Tribunal also considered a small monetary sanction (s150(1)(b)(iv) would be appropriate.</p> <p>A breach of s175E is a statutory offence which can be prosecuted in the Magistrates Court, but in this case on the facts of the matter the IA has not considered it in the public interest to prosecute. Instead, pursuant to s150W of the Act, the IA has referred it to the Tribunal to deal with as misconduct.</p> <p>The Tribunal strongly recommends that Cr Taylor and the other councillors involved should have in place a robust process to enable them to identify potential conflicts of interest. The Tribunal strongly recommends that they work with the CEO to develop and adopt an appropriate process for ensuring that conflicts of interest are identified in the future.</p> |
|--|--|