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## Executive Summary

To be included in final report.

## Abbreviations

Table 1: Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| AADT | Annual Average Daily Traffic |
| ASD | Approach Sight Distance |
| CSC | Cloncurry Shire Council |
| CTRC | Charters Towers Regional Council |
| EDD | Extended Design Domain |
| ESA | Equivalent Standard Axles |
| FFS | Free Flow Speed |
| FSC | Flinders Shire Council |
| GN | Granular Pavement |
| HML | Higher Mass Limit |
| HV | Heavy Vehicle |
| JV | UGL/CPB Joint Venture |
| LGA | Local Government Authority |
| LOS | Level of Service |
| MICC | Mount Isa City Council |
| MID | Major Infrastructure Development |
| MSC | McKinlay Shire Council |
| NDD | Normal Design Domain |
| NEM | National Electricity Market |
| NQCEH | North Queensland Clean Energy Hub |
| NWMP | North West Minerals Province |
| OSOM | Oversize Overmass |
| PIA | Pavement Impact Assessment |
| PTSF | Percentage Time Spent Following |
| RIAR | Road Impact Assessment Report |
| RSC | Richmond Shire Council |
| RUMP | Road User Management Plan |
| SAR | Standard Axle Repetition |
| SC | State Controlled |
| SISD | Safe Intersection Sight Distance |


| Abbreviation | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| SSD | Stopping Sight Distance |
| TCC | Townsville City Council |
| TIA | Traffic Impact Assessment |
| TMR | Department of Transport and Main Roads (Queensland) |
| Veris | Veris Ltd |
| VPD | Vehicles Per Day |
| VPH | Vehicles Per Hour |

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Project scope

The purpose of this Road Impact Assessment Report (RIAR) for the CopperString 2032 project is to assess the risk and impact of the project-related construction vehicles to the operation, condition and safety of the Queensland Department of Transport and Main Roads (TMR) road network, between Townsville and Mt Isa.

The risks from project-generated traffic to the road network have been assessed and quantified based on site visits, available information from the UGL/CPB Joint Venture (JV) and publicly available data. Mitigation measures and ongoing monitoring are proposed in response to identified issues.

The report evaluates the impact on the public road network using Australian Standards and Austroads Guidelines. Details of the road network assessed are provided in Section 3.1 of this report and were based on the construction vehicle access route data provided by the JV.

### 1.2 Project Description

The CopperString 2032 Project will connect the North West Minerals Province (NWMP) of Queensland to the National Electricity Market (NEM) to reduce the cost of power supply and facilitate the large-scale development of the Hughenden wind resource and solar resources within the North Queensland Clean Energy Hub (NQCEH).

The project will traverse a region of significant potential renewable energy resources that are currently constrained by the lack of access to the state electricity grid. The project is expected to unlock potential areas for renewable energy generation in the Northern Queensland Renewable Energy Zone between Townsville and Hughenden, particularly wind resources, and in the North West Minerals Province.

The scope of work, traversing east to west, consists of the following sections:

- Mulgrave Substation and 275 kV line augmentation as the CopperString 275 kV connection point to the NEM
- Woodstock Substation as the CopperString 2032500 kV connection point to the Queensland SuperGrid
- Pentland Substation to support the NQCEH expansion and as the core for future load connections in the area
- Flinders Substation (Hughenden) as the core for the NQCEH
- Dajarra Road Substation (Cloncurry) as the core for distributions to larger load centres
- The primary CopperString 2032 transmission backbone; and
- Termination via the Mount Isa augmentation.

The North West Minerals Province is one the world's richest producing mineral regions and is emerging as an exploration area for new economy minerals and metals, such as vanadium, that are critical to the production of renewable energy technologies such as solar panels, wind turbines and large scale batteries. The project is predicted to reduce electricity prices in the North West Power System and has the potential to stimulate investment in the North West Minerals Province.

### 1.3 Project Location

The Project is to be undertaken in seven stages running east to west between Townsville and Mount Isa. The transmission line will run approximately parallel to the Flinders Highway at an average of 15 km south of the Highway for its length.

The Project traverses 7 Local Government Areas (LGAs):

- City of Townsville
- Charters Towers Regional Council
- Flinders Shire
- Richmond Shire
- McKinlay Shire
- Shire of Cloncurry; and
- City of Mount Isa.

It is noted that the project also traverses through the Burdekin Shire Council LGA but only uses TMR roads through this LGA.

The major towns within proximity to the Project are Townsville, Charters Towers, Hughenden, Richmond, Julia Creek, Cloncurry and Mount Isa.

The project traverses the traditional lands of the Birriah, Jangga, Yirendali, Wanamara, Mitakoodi, Kalkadoon and Yulluna Peoples, Traditional Custodians of the land.


Figure 1 - Project Map Geographic Location (source document https://www.powerlink.com.au/projects/copperstring-2032)
The CopperString 2032 Project is divided into eight logistics hubs, essentially creating Sub-Projects which have a defined scope based on the elements within their defined geographical area. Each hub has a geographical area defined by the minimisation of travel time from the camp to the tower location.

Work zones are based around the construction hubs and intended to limit travel time to tower sites to no more than 90 minutes.

Table 2: Logistics hubs

| \# | Hub | Camp | Substation | Towers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Mt Isa | Local accommodation | Mt Isa Substation | Mt Isa Sub to "Cloncurry \& Mt Isa midpoint |
| 2 | Cloncurry | Major Camp | Dajarra Rd <br> Substation | Darjarra Sub to Cloncurry River <br> Dajarra Sub to Cloncurry \& Mt Isa midpoint <br> Dajarra Sub to Cloncurry \& Julia Creek Midpoint |
| 3 | Julia Creek | Camp |  | Cloncurry \& Julia Creek midpoint to Julia Creek \& Richmond midpoint |
| 4 | Richmond | Camp |  | Julia Creek \& Richmond midpoint to Richmond \& Hughenden midpoint |
| 5 | Hughenden | Major Camp | Flinders <br> Substation <br> Mt James <br> Substation | Flinders Sub to Richmond \& Hughenden midpoint <br> Finders Sub to Mt James <br> Flinders Sub to Hughenden \& Pentland midpoint |
| 6 | Penland | Camp |  | Hughenden \& Pentland midpoint to Pentland \& Charters Towers midpoint |
| 7 | Charters Towers | Camp | Nil | Pentland \& Charters Towers midpoint to Burdekin River |
| 8 | Woodstock | Local accommodation | Woodstock <br> Substation <br> Mulgrave <br> Substation | Burdekin River to Woodstock Sub |

The location of camps proposed to be utilised during the Project is shown below in Table 3.
Table 3: Camp Locations

| Location | Council | Distance from Nearest Town |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Charters Towers | Charters Towers Regional Council | 3 km |
| Pentland | Charters Towers Regional Council | 2 km |
| Hughenden | Flinders Shire | 2 km |
| Richmond | Richmond Shire | 1 km |
| Julia Creek | McKinlay Shire | 1 km |
| Cloncurry | Cloncurry Shire | 4 km |
| Woodstock | Townsville | In south Townsville |
| Mt isa | Mt Isa | In Mount Isa |

### 1.4 Project generated traffic

The following construction/ operational items generated project related traffic

- Construction/ demobilisation of the CopperString camps
- Construction of the transmission line between Woodstock and Mt Isa including traffic generated by the camps and from the Flinders and Barkly Highways; and
- Construction of the substations.


### 1.5 Project timing and duration

A detailed project program for the CopperString 2032 project, as supplied by the JV is included in Appendix A.

### 1.6 Other reports for reference

There are several other reports being completed by pitt\&sherry for the CopperString 2032 projects that may provide more detail as follows:

| Client reference number | Report title | Completion Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CopperString Camps |  |  |
| CU2-PW00-REP-PAS-1000001 | CopperString 2032 Early Works Package Camp Hubs MID Submission Support | 25 August 2023 (Draft) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CU2-CT00-REP-PAS-100- } \\ & 0001 \end{aligned}$ | CopperString Charters Towers Camp Traffic Impact Assessment | 1 September 2023 (Draft) |
| CU2-PE00-REP-PAS-1000001 | Copperstring 2032 Pentland Camp Traffic Impact Assessment | 1 September 2023 (Draft) |
| CU2-HU00-REP-PAS-1000001 | CopperString Hughenden Camp Traffic Impact Assessment | 1 September 2023 (Draft) |
| CU2-RI00-REP-PAS-1000001 | CopperString Richmond Camp Traffic Impact Assessment | 1 September 2023 (Draft) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CU2-JC00-REP-PAS-100- } \\ & 0001 \end{aligned}$ | CopperString Julia Creek Camp Traffic Impact Assessment | 1 September 2023 (Draft) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CU2-CL00-REP-PAS-100- } \\ & 0001 \end{aligned}$ | CopperString Cloncurry Camp Traffic Impact Assessment | 1 September 2023 (Draft) |
| CopperString RIARs (Councils) |  |  |
| CU2-CT00-REP-PAS-1000003 | CopperString 2032 Road Impact Assessment Report TCC | 8 September 2023 (Draft) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CU2-PE00-REP-PAS-100- } \\ & 0003 \end{aligned}$ | CopperString 2032 Road Impact Assessment Report CTRC | 15 September 2023 (Draft) |
| CU2-HU00-REP-PAS-1000003 | CopperString 2032 Road Impact Assessment Report FSC | 22 September 2023 <br> (Draft) |
| CU2-RI00-REP-PAS-100- $0003$ | CopperString 2032 Road Impact Assessment Report MSC | 29 September 2023 <br> (Draft) |


| Client reference number | Report title | Completion Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CU2-JC00-REP-PAS-100- <br> 0003 | CopperString 2032 Road Impact Assessment Report - <br> RSC | 6 October 2023 (Draft) |
| CU2-CL00-REP-PAS-100- <br> 0003 | CopperString 2032 Road Impact Assessment Report - <br> CSC | 13 October 2023 (Draft) |
| CU2-CT00-REP-PAS-100- <br> 0003 | CopperString 2032 Road Impact Assessment Report - <br> MICC | 20 October 2023 (Draft) |
| CopperString RUMPs | CopperString 2032 Road Use Management Plan - TMR | 25 August 2023 (Draft) |
| CU2-PW00-REP-PAS-100- <br> 0002 | CopperString 2032 - Road Use Management Plan - TCC | 8 September 2023 <br> (Draft) |
| CU2-CT00-REP-PAS-100- <br> 0002 | 15 September 2023 <br> (Draft) |  |
| CU2-PE00-REP-PAS-100- <br> 0002 | CopperString 2032 - Road Use Management Plan - <br> CTRC | 22 September 2023 <br> (Draft) |
| CU2-HU00-REP-PAS-100- <br> 0002 | CopperString 2032 - Road Use Management Plan - FSC |  |

### 1.7 Legislative context and standards

The following Australian Standards and Guidelines have been used throughout this report:

- AS 1742.2:2009 Manual of uniform traffic control devices - Part 2: Traffic control devices for general use
- AS 1742.7:2016 Manual of uniform traffic control devices - Part 7: Railway crossings
- AS 2890.2:2018 Parking facilities - Part 2: Off-street commercial vehicle facilities
- Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 3: Geometric Design
- Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 4A: Unsignalised and Signalised Intersections
- Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 4B: Roundabouts
- Austroads Guide to Road Safety Part 6A: Implementing Road Safety Audits
- Austroads Guide to Traffic Management Part 3: Transport Studies and Analysis Methods
- Austroads Guide to Traffic Management Part 6: Interchanges and Crossings Management
- Department of Transport and Main Road's Supplement to Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 3: Geometric Design
- Department of Transport and Main Road Supplement to Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 4A: Unsignalised and Signalised Intersections
- Department of Transport and Main Road Supplement to Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 4B: Roundabouts
- Department of Transport and Main Roads - Guide to Traffic Impact Assessment Practice Note: Pavement Impact Assessment, December 2018
- Department of Transport and Main Roads Routine Maintenance Guidelines - November 2017; and
- Highway Capacity Manual, Sixth Edition: A Guide for Multimodal Mobility Analysis.


## 2. Study method

### 2.1 Overview

The study area includes a significant number of roads that were investigated as potential construction traffic routes. The assessment included site investigations as well as desktop analysis, as outlined in Section 2.2.

The assessment was based on:

- Information provided by the JV in relation to construction and operational traffic (routes, vehicle types, and traffic volumes), construction program and construction methodology
- Information available from road authorities; and
- Observations from the site investigations.

Key assumptions made during the assessment are included in this report.

### 2.2 Assessment process

The assessment process used for the traffic risk assessment and the relevant sections of the report are detailed below.

|  | Study Method |
| :--- | :--- |
| Section 5 | Description of the study method including risk assessment process. |
| Section 6 | Summary of existing conditions/ collected data. |
| Section 7 | Identification of traffic issue and the risk of that issue for road users. |
| Section 88 | Strategies to reduce the risk of potential traffic issues. |
|  | Avoidance, Mitigation and Management Measures |
|  | Residual Risks |

Figure 2: Assessment process
The risk assessment considers three major areas of risk as a result of the project:

- Road operation risk including:
- Road width capacity
- Traffic congestion
- Road condition risk; and
- Road safety risk.


### 2.2.1 Site investigations

Site investigations were undertaken between 19 June and 22 July 2023 to assess the current conditions of the road network where operation, condition and safety could be affected by the proposed project.

The site investigations required persons to drive along the State Controlled (SC) roads and Local Government Authority (LGA) roads that formed part of the Project route. The following parameters were captured during the site investigations:

- Road attributes and high-level road condition
- Traffic volumes
- Sight distances at intersections and driveways
- Sight distances and attributes at rail crossings
- Locations/ structures of interest and relevant attributes; and
- Photos of the above.

Intersection traffic counts were undertaken during the site visits, where such data was deemed to be required, for a 15minute period. The collected traffic data was subsequently scaled by a factor of 4 to extrapolate the hourly traffic volume. To establish the relationship between peak hour and the observed hour, data from the nearest traffic counter on TMR roads was extracted. This information was applied to calculate a peak-to-hour ratio. Multiplying the recorded traffic volumes by this ratio allowed for the estimation of the peak hour traffic volume at the specific location.

It is noted that this method provides a high-level estimate of traffic volumes which was considered acceptable due to the generally low traffic volumes on the road network.

It is noted that only public roads were assessed, however, where sufficient space was provided to safely pull over within the public road reserve, intersections between public and private roads were also assessed. It is noted that the use of private roads will be agreed between the road owner and the JV , including any requirements to implement management measures.

### 2.2.2 Data collection

Data was collected from various sources as follows:

- Site investigations
- Queensland Government's Queensland Globe and Open Data Portal; and
- LGAs.

Collectively, the data was used to inform the RIAR.

### 2.2.3 Data analysis - baseline assessment

Due to the project's large area of interest, a significant amount of data was collected for analysis. The data was first analysed at a high-level via tabulation. Data was entered into tabular form to allow roads, intersections, and defects to be analysed individually and holistically. This approach identified intersections and roads that had potential issues and required assessment in further detail.

The purpose of the baseline assessment was to establish the current Level of Service (LOS) of roads with respect to:

- Suitability for construction access
- Traffic volumes
- Vehicle types
- Road (pavement) condition
- Road geometry
- Sight distances; and
- Other road safety issues.


### 2.2.4 Data analysis - risk assessment

## Risk ratings

The risk ratings in the Austroads Guide to Road Safety Part 6A: Implementing Road Safety Audits were used to assess the potential for hazards associated with project activities to increase levels of risk for the proposed access roads. This process is suitable to use for road operation (road width and traffic congestion) risk and road condition risk, as well as road safety risk.

Potential issues identified as a result of the project have been ranked based on the likelihood of an operational hazard occurring potential consequence of that hazard.

## Likelihood

The likelihood of a hazard and a consequential crash occurring is shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Likelihood of a hazard/crash occurring (Austroads 2019)

| Frequency | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Frequent | Once or more per week |
| Probable | Once or more per year (but less than once a week) |
| Occasional | Once every five to ten years |
| Improbable | Less often than once every ten years |

## Consequence

The consequence of the hazard will depend on the assessment type (i.e. road operation, road condition or road safety) and type specific consequence tables are shown in Section 2.2.6.

## Resulting level of risk and treatment

The level of risk is dependent on the likelihood and consequence of the hazard and is shown in Table 5.The treatment approach that should be applied is shown in Table 6.

Table 5: Resulting level of risk (Austroads 2019)

|  | Frequent | Probable | Occasional | Improbable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catastrophic | Intolerable | Intolerable | Intolerable | High |
| Serious | Intolerable | Intolerable | High | Medium |
| Minor | Intolerable | High | Medium | Low |
| Limited | High | Medium | Low | Low |

Table 6: Treatment approach (Austroads 2019)

| Risk | Suggested treatment approach |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intolerable | Must be corrected |
| High | Should be corrected or the risk significantly reduced, even if the treatment cost is high |
| Medium | Should be corrected or the risk significantly reduced, if the treatment cost is moderate but not high |
| Low | Should be corrected or the risk reduced if the treatment cost is low |

### 2.2.5 Traffic risk assessment ratings

## Road operation (road width capacity)

The width of a road is related to how much traffic it can carry without affecting the safety of vehicles. Roads do not necessarily need to be carrying high levels of traffic causing congestion for volumes to impact the safety to vehicles. This is generally crucial to roads with a one lane carriageway or roads where there are large numbers of parked vehicles that reduce the available carriageway width.

The Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 3 (AGRD Part 3) and TMR's Supplement to Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 3: Geometric Design (Supplement to AGRD Part 3) describe the minimum road width requirements for both urban and rural roads, including rural roads with very low traffic volumes.

The minimum urban arterial road widths are described below in Table 7.Table 7

Table 7: Urban road widths - general traffic lane (Source: AGRD Part 3 and Supplement to AGRD Part 3)

| Element | Lane width (m) | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General traffic lane | 3.5 | General traffic lane widths to be used <br> for all roads |
|  | $3.0-3.4$ | For use on low speed roads with low <br> truck volumes |
|  | $3.3-3.5$ | General traffic lane widths for use on <br> roads in constrained corridors |

The minimum single carriageway rural road widths for the Normal Design Domain (NDD) are described in Figure 3 and are based on the design AADT.

| Design AADT | 250-400 ${ }^{(6)}$ | 400-1000 | 1000-2000 |  | 2000-4000 |  | >4000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Carriageway Type ${ }^{(1)}$ | All | All | L | N | L | N | L/N |
| Lane Width | 3.25 | 3.25/3.50 ${ }^{(3)}$ | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | - ${ }^{7}$ ) |
| Shoulders | 1.00 | 1.25/1.00 ${ }^{(3)}$ | 1.00 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 2.00 | - ${ }^{7}$ ) |
| Carriageway ${ }^{(2)}$ | $8.50{ }^{(5)}$ | 9.00 | 9.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 11.00 | - $\left(^{7}\right.$ ) |
| Cycling ${ }^{(4)}$ |  |  |  | P | P | P | - ${ }^{7}$ ) |

Notes:
(1) Road Carriageway formation type:

L - Low embankments (i.e. $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) on lower order roads where batter slopes do not exceed 1 on 4.
N - nominal road values.
(2) Full width of seal required.
(3) Optional combination of lane width and shoulder width.
(4) A ' $P$ ' in these columns indicates cross sections generally considered suitable for 'Principle cycle routes' in rural areas. Refer to Section 4.9 for further details.
(5) Where a road is subject to the State-controlled Priority Road Network Investment Guidelines (2011) and State-controlled Low Priority Road Network Investment Guideline (2013), the final seal width to be applied is 9 m . In these cases, the cross-section widths for the next column (400-1000 AADT) should be adopted.
(6) Refer to Table 4.2.6(a) for carriageway width options for roads with less than 250 vpd AADT.
(7) Rural roads with AADT greater than 4,000 vpd should have a WCLT and ATLM. Refer to Appendix $G$ for general guidance and particularly Section G. 4 for cross section dimensions.

Figure 3: Minimum single carriageway rural road widths (m) - normal design domain (Source: Supplement to AGRD Part 3)

For roads with very low volumes (<250 vpd), the NDD is as shown in Figure 4.

| Road Carriageway Option | Unsealed | Single-lane seal | Two-lane seal |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seal width | - | 3.70 | 8.00 |
| Unsealed width - each direction | 4.00 | 2.50 | 0.00 |
| Carriageway | 8.00 | 8.70 | 8.00 |

Figure 4: Very low volume (<250 vpd) rural road minimum widths $(m)$ - normal design domain (Source: Supplement to AGRD Part 3)

The Extended Design Domain (EDD) provided in the Supplement to AGRD Part 3 notes that many existing rural roads in Queensland often have carriageway widths less than the 8.5 m total seal width specified, particularly those which carry less than 400 vpd . The minimum single carriageway rural road widths are shown in Figure 5.

Table A.2.2 - Minimum single carriageway rural road widths ( $m$ ) - extended design domain

| Design AADT | $\mathbf{2 5 0 - 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 - 1 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 0} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 4 0 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{~ 4 0 0 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Road } \\ \text { Carriageway } \\ \text { Type }\left({ }^{1}\right)\end{array}$ | All | All | L | N | L | N | H | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Rural roads with } \\ \text { AADT greater than } \\ \text { 4,000 vehicles per } \\ \text { day should have a } \\ \text { wide centreline and }\end{array}$ |
| Lane Width | 3.00 | 3.25 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | $-\left(^{5}\right)$ |  |
| ATLM. Refer to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appendix G for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| general guidance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and in particular |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Section G.4 for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cross section |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| dimensions. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$]$

Notes:

1. Road Carriageway formation type:
2. L-Low embankments (i.e. $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) on lower order roads where batter slopes do not exceed 1 on 4

N - nominal road values
H - Higher order roads requiring a WCLT
3. Full width of seal required.
4. A 'P' in these columns indicates cross sections generally considered suitable for 'Priority cycle routes' in rural areas. Otherwise if a route is part of a cycle network, additional sealed shoulder width will be required. Refer to Section 4.3.2 for further details.
5. Where a road is subject to the State-controlled Priority Road Network Investment Guidelines (2011) or the State-controlled Low Priority Road Network Investment Guideline (2013), the interim seal width to be applied is 8 m with allowance for a vision seal width of 9 m .
6. Higher order roads with AADT 2000-4000 should have a wide centreline and ATLM. Refer to Appendix G for general guidance and in particular Section G. 4 for cross section dimensions.

Figure 5: Minimum single carriageway rural road widths ( $m$ ) - extended design domain (Source: Supplement to AGRD Part 3)

The guidance above has informed the assessment in Section 5.1 of this report, which identifies SC roads which are carrying traffic volumes higher than their intended capacity or expected to carry traffic higher than their intended capacity as a result of the project.

There are several intersections within the study area with tight geometry during instances in which B-doubles, the largest vehicle proposed to be utilised during construction, are required to overtake turning vehicles in the opposing direction.

## Road operation (traffic congestion)

When roads carry high traffic volumes relative to their capacity, congestion is the result. To ensure safe and efficient traffic flow on roads it is necessary to manage congestion levels.

Theory from the Austroads Guide to Traffic Management Part 3: Transport Studies and Analysis Methods has been used to assess the expected risk of congestion, from the project to road operation (traffic congestion). The theory is derived from the Highway Capacity Manual 2016 (HCM 2016).

The conditions for the different levels of performance of two-lane highways are described in the following terms:

- At LOS A, motorists experience high operating speeds on Class I highways and little difficulty in passing. Platoons (or groups) of three or more vehicles are rare. On Class II highways, speed would be controlled primarily by roadway conditions. A small amount of platooning would be expected. On Class III highways, drivers should be able to maintain operating speeds close or equal to the Free Flow Speed (FFS) of the highway (i.e. drivers able to travel at their desired speed either at or below the speed limit)
- At LOS B, passing demand and passing capacity are balanced. On both Class I and Class II highways, the degree of platooning becomes noticeable. Some speed reductions are present on Class I highways. On Class III highways, it becomes difficult to maintain FFS operation, but the speed reduction is still relatively small
- At LOS C, most vehicles are travelling in platoons. Speeds are noticeably curtailed on all three classes of highway
- At LOS D, platooning increases significantly. Passing demand is high on both Class I and II facilities but passing capacity approaches zero. A high percentage of vehicles are now travelling in platoons, and Percentage Time Spent Following (PTSF) another vehicle is quite noticeable. On Class III highways, the fall-off from FFS is now significant
- At LOS E, demand is approaching capacity. Passing on Class I and II highways is virtually impossible, and PTSF is more than $80 \%$. Speeds are seriously curtailed. On Class III highways, speed is less than two-thirds the FFS. The lower limit of this LOS represents capacity; and
- LOS F exists whenever arrival flow in one or both directions exceed the capacity of the segment. Operating conditions are unstable, and heavy congestion exists on all classes of two-lane highway.

The consequence of traffic congestion on the operation of the road network has been defined as shown in Table 8.
Table 8: Consequence of congestion

| Severity | Description | Performance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Catastrophic | Significant risk to operation of multiple roads | LOS F |
| Serious | Considerable traffic delays expected | LOS D or E |
| Minor | Some acceptable delays expected | LOS C |
| Limited | Minor or no delays expected | LOS A or B |

The levels of performance above have informed the assessment in Section 5.2, which assesses the LOS that is expected on each of the project route roads as a result of the project's construction traffic.

### 2.2.6 Road condition

Large volumes of heavy vehicles travelling on roads not designed for heavy vehicles can impact the condition of the road. Hazards such as potholes can change a vehicles course on the road and result in a collision and/ or a vehicle leaving the road.

Road condition was qualitatively assessed during site investigations. It is noted that the road condition may change over time.

## Likelihood

The likelihood of a crash occurring on a road has been assessed based on the road condition. The condition of each road in the study area has been given a rating of between excellent and poor. The road condition ratings, typical defects and resultant assessed likelihood of a crash occurring is shown in Table 9.

Table 9: Suitability for construction access ratings

| Road condition | Defect frequency and type | Likelihood of crash occurring as a result of road condition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | None or very minor defects Defects may include: <br> - Polishing <br> - Minor cracking <br> - Minor potholing; and <br> - Expedient patching. | Improbable |
| Good condition | Minor defects at sparse intervals Defects may include: <br> - Polishing <br> - Minor cracking <br> - Minor potholing; and <br> - Expedient patching. | Improbable |
| Reasonable condition | Minor defects at frequent intervals: <br> Defects may include: <br> - Polishing <br> - Minor cracking <br> - Minor potholing; and <br> - Expedient patching. | Occasional |
| Average condition | Some major defects: <br> Defects may include: <br> - Corrugations <br> - Significant shoving <br> - Significant rutting <br> - Wide cracking; and <br> - Large potholes. | Probable |
| Poor condition | Major defects at multiple locations or on long sections: <br> Defects may include: <br> - Corrugations <br> - Significant shoving <br> - Significant rutting <br> - Wide cracking; and <br> - Large potholes. | Probable |

## Consequence

The consequence of a hazard occurring based on the road condition deteriorating has been based on several factors. The factors used are shown in Table 10 and have been developed from the TMR Routine Maintenance Guidelines.

Table 10: Factors influencing the consequence of a road condition hazard/ crash

| Factor | Conditions of study roads | Severity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed | $>80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $50-80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | Serious |  | $<50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | Minor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Visibility | Less than safe stopping sight distance* (SSD) (i.e. insufficient time to correct travel path) | Serious |
|  | More than safe SSD (i.e. sufficient time to correct travel path) | Limited |
|  | Flooding or tropical cyclone (worst case scenario) | Serious |
| *SSD is the time taken to react to a hazard ahead and stop in time to avoid the hazard. |  |  |

The consequences in the Austroads Guide to Road Safety Part 6A: Implementing Road Safety Audits were to assess the potential increased levels of safety risk arising from hazards associated with project activities for the proposed access roads. Where a road has varying consequence levels each of the factors have been considered and a conservative severity level has been applied (i.e. the highest severity)

The consequence of a crash is shown in Table 11.

Table 11: Consequence of a safety hazard on crash severity (Austroads 2019)

| Severity | Description | Examples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catastrophic | Likely multiple deaths. | - High-speed, multi-vehicle crash on a freeway <br> - Car runs into crowded bus stop <br> - Bus and petrol tanker collide; and <br> - Collapse of a bridge or tunnel. |
| Serious | Likely death or serious injury. | - High or medium speed vehicle collision <br> - High or medium speed with a fixed roadside object; and <br> - Pedestrian or cyclists struck by a car. |
| Minor | Likely minor injury. | - Some low-speed vehicle collisions <br> - Cyclist falls from bicycle at low speed; and <br> - Left turn rear-end crash in a slip lane. |
| Limited | Likely trivial injury or property damage only. | - Some low-speed vehicle collisions <br> - Pedestrian walks into object (no head injury); and <br> - Car reverses into post. |

The suitability and condition of the roads has informed the assessment in Section 5.4 , which considers the risk of a crash on each of the study roads as a result of road condition and deterioration.

### 2.2.7 Recommendations: avoidance, mitigation, and management

The above risk-based approach was used to identify those items that were deemed to require mitigation measures. Potential courses of action were assessed, and recommendations concluded for mitigation using the hierarchy of avoid, minimise, manage, and offset.

Any residual risks to construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning phases were also considered with recommendations of ongoing monitoring during those phases as appropriate.

### 2.2.8 Project limitations

These notes are additional to any limitations noted elsewhere within this report. They have been provided by pitt\&sherry to clarify the limitations of the report, and to clearly identify the individual responsibilities of all parties involved. It is important that all documents from pitt\&sherry are read thoroughly, and that clarification is sought where necessary.

### 2.2.9 Specificity

This report has been developed based on pitt\&sherry's understanding of the project requirements and applies only to this project. If there are subsequent changes to the proposed project, pitt\&sherry should be consulted to assess how the changes would impact the recommendations detailed in this report. If pitt\&sherry are not consulted, we do not accept responsibility for issues that may occur due to project changes. No responsibility is accepted for the use of this report, in whole or in part, in other contexts or for any other purpose.

### 2.2.10 Report integrity

This report is presented as a whole; with conclusions and recommendations reliant upon data presented in other sections. Reading parts of the report in isolation may lead to misinterpretations, and as such the report should not be copied in part or altered in any way.

Where information contained within this report is to be used for other purposes, such as tendering, it is recommended that the entire report be made available. In situations where this is not appropriate, pitt\&sherry can assist in preparing a specially edited document to provide the information within an appropriate context.

### 2.2.11 Site variability

The results presented in this report represent the site conditions at specific locations at the time that the site investigations were carried out. Variations in site conditions may occur between or beyond assessment locations for various reasons due to natural variability (flooding, heat, landslides) or driven by human activities (cutting or filling in the vicinity and road upgrades or deterioration over time).

The advice presented in this report is based on the data gathered during the site investigations, the accuracy may be impacted by undetected variations in ground conditions or later changes to the site. Involving pitt\&sherry throughout the development stages can assist in reducing the impact of these issues by identifying variances, conducting additional investigations, if required, and recommending solutions to problems encountered on site.

### 2.2.12 Interpretation by others

Costly problems can occur when other design professionals develop plans based on misinterpretation of a traffic risk assessment report. To assist in avoiding these problems, pitt\&sherry can work with other project design professionals to interpret the findings in this report, and to review the suitability of any plans and specifications that reference the findings and recommendations of this report. pitt\&sherry will not be responsible for any misinterpretation of report findings and recommendations.

### 2.2.13 Third party and client supplied information

Data supplied by the JV or third parties is assumed to be correct, unless otherwise stated. While every care has been taken by pitt\&sherry in producing the report, pitt\&sherry has not verified the accuracy of supplied information (unless specifically included in pitt\&sherry's scope of services). Accordingly, no responsibility is accepted by pitt\&sherry for incomplete or inaccurate data supplied by others.

### 2.2.14 Safety in Design

This report does not contain information sufficient to constitute a detailed safety in design report. Such a document would require inputs beyond pitt\&sherry's current knowledge of the project and is beyond the scope of this report. pitt\&sherry can assist in the risk assessment process required to produce a safety in design report, if requested.

## 3. Existing environments

### 3.1 Road network

### 3.1.1 Roads

The Project proposes to utilise both SC roads and LGA roads through the seven LGAs. All roads to be utilised during construction are shown in Figure 6. The roads, as listed below, are referred to as the Project route throughout this report.

Table 12: Roads

| Road ID | Road Name | Road owner | Section relevant to project |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Archer Street | TCC | Perkins Street to Townsville Port Road |
| 2 | Benwell Street | Private | Windlass Crossing to Archer Street |
| 3 | Hubert Street | TCC/ private | Full extent |
| 4 | Townsville Port Road | TMR | Full extent |
| 5 | Bruce Highway | TMR | Ayr to Ayr Dalbeg Road |
| 6 | Ayr Dalbeg Road | TMR | Bruce Highway to Ayr Ravenswood Road |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Full extent |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Full extent |
| 9 | Downing Street | CTRC | Full extent |
| 10 | Christie Street | CTRC | Chapel Street to Sandy Creek Road |
| 11 | Burdekin Falls Dam Road | TMR | Flinders Highway to Ayr Ravenswood Road |
| 12 | Silver Valley Road | CTRC | Full extent |
| 13 | Unnamed Road (off Silver Valley Road) | Private | Silver Valley Road to transmission line easement |
| 14 | Amity Road | CTRC | Full extent |
| 15 | Gregory Developmental Road (north) | TMR | Flinders Highway to Hewett Street |
| 16 | Millchester Road | CTRC | Full extent |
| 17 | Macdonald Street | CTRC | Full extent |
| 18 | Broughton Road | CTRC | Full extent |
| 19 | Lornesleigh Road | CTRC | Broughton Road to transmission line easement |
| 20 | Cameron Downs Road | CTRC | Lornesleigh Road to transmission line easement |
| 21 | Hewett Street | CTRC | Full extent |
| 22 | Macpherson Street | CTRC | Full extent |
| 23 | Corinda Avenue | CTRC | Macpherson Street to Hughenden Camp |
| 24 | Phillipson Road | CTRC | Flinders Highway to Bluff Road |


| Road ID | Road Name | Road owner | Section relevant to project |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | Bluff Road | CTRC | Phillipson Road to transmission line easement |
| 26 | Gregory Developmental Road (south) | TMR | Flinders Highway to transmission line easement |
| 27 | Braceborough Road (east) | CTRC | Full extent |
| 28 | Braceborough Road (west) | Private | Full extent |
| 29 | Red Road | CTRC | Full extent |
| 30 | Homestead Lascelles Road | CTRC | Full extent |
| 31 | Helenslee Road | CTRC | Homestead Lascelles Road to transmission line easement |
| 32 | Laidlow Crossing | CTRC | Full extent |
| 33 | Paterson Street | CTRC | Laidlow Crossing to Longton Road |
| 34 | Longton Road | CTRC | Paterson Street to transmission line easement |
| 35 | Lauderdale Road (east) | CTRC | Full extent |
| 36 | Lyons Creek Road | CTRC | Flinders Highway to transmission line easement |
| 37 | Aramac Torrens Creek Road | TMR | Flinders Highway to transmission line easement |
| 38 | Cotonvale Road | Private | Flinders Highway to transmission line easement |
| 39 | Prairie Road | FSC | Flinders Highway to Woodbine Access |
| 40 | Woodbine Access | Private | Prairie Road to transmission line easement |
| 41 | Kennedy Energy Park Access Track | Private | Flinders Highway to transmission line easement |
| 42 | Redcliffe Road | FSC | Flinders Highway to transmission line easement |
| 43 | Unnamed Road (off Flinders Highway at Hughenden - to Hughenden Store) | FSC | Flinders Highway to storage |
| 44 | Unnamed Road (off Flinders Highway at Hughenden - to Hughenden Camp) | FSC | Flinders Highway to Hughenden Camp |
| 45 | Kennedy Developmental Road (south) | TMR | Flinders Highway to transmission line easement |
| 46 | Unnamed Road (off Flinders Highway - to PTL-FLR_284 to FLR-DJR_82) | FSC | Flinders Highway to transmission line easement |
| 47 | Thornhill Tamworth Road | Private | Flinders Highway to transmission line easement |
| 48 | Marathon Stamford Road | FSC | Flinders Highway to transmission line easement |
| 49 | Barabon Terranburby Road | FSC | Flinders Highway to transmission line easement |


| Road ID | Road Name | Road owner | Section relevant to project |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 50 | Benean Road | RSC | Flinders Highway to existing access track |
| 51 | Crawford Street | RSC | Flinders Highway to Macgoffin Street |
| 52 | Macgoffin Street | RSC | Crawford Street to Pattel Drive |
| 53 | Pattel Drive | RSC | Flinders Highway to Richmond Camp |
| 54 | Richmond Winton Road | TMR | Flinders Highway to Unnamed Road (off <br> Richmond Winton Road) |
| 55 | Maxwelton Kynuna Road | RSC | Flinders Highway to Unnamed Road (off <br> Maxwelton Kynuna Road) |
| 56 | Mynuna Road) (off Maxwelton | RSC | Maxwelton Kynuna Road to transmission line <br> easement |
| 77 | Roxmere Road | MSC Nelia Road | Morke Developmental Road |
| 75 | Proa Road | TMSC | Flinders Highway to transmission line |
| 75 | Roasement |  |  |


| Road ID | Road Name | Road owner | Section relevant to project |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 77 | Chinaman Creek Dam Road | CSC | Barkly Highway to access track to transmission line easement |
| 78 | Cloncurry Duchess Road | TMR | Barkly Highway to transmission line easement |
| 79 | Mount Frosty Road | CSC | Barkly Highway to transmission line easement |
| 80 | East Leichardt Road | CSC (initial 150m from Barkly Highway, private thereafter) | Barkly Highway to transmission line easement |
| 81 | Mount Isa Duchess Road | TMR | Full extent of TMR-owned section |
| 82 | Mount Isa Duchess Road (Councilowned section) | CSC | From TMR-owned section to transmission line easement |
| 83 | Diamantina Developmental Road | TMR | Barkly Highway to Boulia Mount Isa Highway |
| 84 | Twenty Third Avenue | MICC | Mount Isa Duchess Road to Diamantina Developmental Road |
| 85 | Diamantina Developmental Road (Council-owned section) | MICC | Diamantina Developmental Road to Mica Creek Road |
| 86 | Powerhouse Road (Mount Isa) | Private | Full extent |
| 87 | Boulia Mount Isa Road | TMR | Diamantina Developmental Road to Moran Road |
| 88 | Moran Road | MICC (initial 600m from Boulia Mount Isa Highway, private thereafter) | Boulia Mount Isa Highway to transmission line easement |
| 89 | Mica Creek Road | MICC | Diamantina Developmental Road to Mica Creek Road |



Figure 6: Project route

## Road attributes and condition

Road and shoulder widths, seal types and road condition are summarised below in Table 13.
Road condition has been determined to be excellent, good, reasonable, average or poor, with the following definitions applied to each:

- Excellent condition - no or very minor defects generally present
- Good condition - minor defects generally present at sparse intervals
- Reasonable condition - minor defects generally present at frequent intervals
- Average condition - some major defects present or minor defects continuously present; and
- Poor condition - major defects present at multiple locations, greatly limiting the viability of the road for construction traffic.

| Road ID | Road Name | Road owner | Section relevant to project | Section distance (km) | Speed limit | Road Surface Type | Centreline (Yes/ No) | Edge line | Road width (typical) | Shoulder width typical (on-site) | Road condition comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Townsville Port Road | TMR | Full extent | 7.8 | Typically $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, slowing at either end approaching Townsville Port and the Bruce Highway | Sealed | Yes | Yes | 7.0 m | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0-2.5 \mathrm{~m} \text { each } \\ & \text { side } \end{aligned}$ | Excellent condition <br> Minor polishing in the wheel path |
| 5 | Bruce Highway | TMR | Burke Street to Ayr Dalbeg Road | 8.1 | Typically $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, slowing to 80km/h at Ayr-Dalbeg intersection, $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ approaching Ayr and $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ through Ayr | Sealed | Yes | Yes | 7.2 m | 0.5m | Excellent condition <br> Minor expedient patching and polishing |
| 6 | Ayr Dalbeg <br> Road | TMR | Bruce Highway to Ayr Ravenswood Road | 29.8 | Typically $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, slowing to 60km/h east of Brown Road $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ eastbound from Brown Road | Sealed | Yes | Typically yes, not located in various sections | 6.0 to 7.0 m | 0.0 to 0.2 m wide | Good condition <br> Fading centreline, minor rutting/ depressions, minor potholing and edge breaks |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | South of Townsville to Cloncurry | 777.2 | Typically 100 to $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, slowing at towns along the extent | Sealed |  | Yes, short section south of Charters Towers without | 7.0 m | 1.0 m | Good condition <br> Various minor defects present along the extent including patching, cracking, surface wear and bleeding, polishing, delamination, shoving, corrugations and depressions. Infrequent more significant defects present at very infrequent intervals, such as wide filled cracking west of Maxwelton. |
| 8 | Ayr <br> Ravenswood <br> Road | TMR | Full extent | 57.1 | Typically $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (due to the condition of the road, vehicles travel much more slowly) | Sealed through Ravenswood. Typically gravel or dirt thereafter other than at a steep descent at 20.047056, 146.949096 | Typically not provided other than along Macrossan Street through Ravenswood | No | Variable - <br> 4.2 m to 7.0 m | 0.0 to 0.3 m | Poor condition <br> Significant corrugation for extended periods, difficult to traverse floodways and minor laminations and cracking. |
| 11 | Burdekin Falls <br> Dam Road | TMR | Flinders Highway to Ayr Ravenswood Road | 39.4 | Typically $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, slowing to 60km/h through Mingela | Sealed | Yes | No | 5.5 to 6.0 m | No shoulder provided | Good condition <br> Minor patching, transverse cracking, edge damage and stripping of seal. |
| 15 | Gregory Developmenta I Road (north) | TMR | Flinders Highway to Hewett Street | 4.2 | Typically $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, slowing to $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ through Charters Towers | Sealed | Yes | Yes | 7.0 m | 0.6 m minimum, significantly wider in some sections | Excellent condition Very minor expedient patching |
| 26 | Gregory Developmenta I Road (south) | TMR | Flinders Highway to transmission line easement | 23.3 | $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ for approximately 1 km south of Flinders Highway, $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ thereafter. | Sealed | Yes | Yes | 6.7 to 7.0 m | 0. to 1.3 m wide | Excellent condition <br> Minor polishing in wheel path |
| 37 | Aramac <br> Torrens Creek <br> Road | TMR | Flinders Highway to transmission line easement | 12.7 | Unposted - Assume 100km/h Queensland rural speed limit | Sealed | Yes | No | $\begin{array}{\|l} 7.8 \text { to } 8.1 \mathrm{~m} \\ \text { wide } \end{array}$ | No shoulder provided | Good condition Significant pothole at Mount Isa Line |
| 45 | Kennedy Developmenta I Road (south) | TMR | Flinders Highway to transmission line easement | 5.7 | Typically $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, slowing to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and then $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ approaching Hughenden | Sealed | Yes | Yes - <br> Hughenden No - south of Hughenden | 6.4 to 7.6 m | No shoulder provided, other than in Hughenden itself | Good condition <br> Minor infrequent shoving, rutting, delineation, edge break and longitudinal cracking present. Minor rutting and depressions also present. |


| Road ID | Road Name | Road owner | Section relevant to project | Section distance (km) | Speed limit | Road Surface Type | Centreline (Yes/ No) | Edge line | Road width (typical) | Shoulder width typical (on-site) | Road condition comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 | Richmond Winton Road | TMR | Flinders Highway to Unnamed Road (off Richmond Winton Road) | 15.4 | 100km/h | Sealed | No | No | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9 \text { to } 4.7 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \text { wide } \end{aligned}$ | No shoulder provided | Good condition <br> Filled longitudinal cracking, minor corrugations in edgeline, signed section with rough surface, edge break. |
| 61 | Julia Creek <br> Kynuna Road | TMR | Flinders Highway to transmission line easement | 4.9 | Typically $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, slowing to 60km/h in Julia Creek | Sealed | No | No | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \text { to } 5.4 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \text { wide } \end{aligned}$ | No shoulder provided | Good condition Polishing in wheel path |
| 68 | Landsborough Highway | TMR | Flinders to transmission line easement | 16.3 | $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ at northern end, increasing to $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ approximately 750 m south of Flinders Highway and furthermore to $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} 9.5 \mathrm{~km}$ south of Flinders Highway | Sealed | Yes | Yes | 7.0 to 7.2 m | 0.2 to 0.5 m wide | Good condition <br> Very minor polishing, minor shoving in edgeline, rutting in wheelpath and depressions. |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Cloncurry to Mount Isa | 123.1 | Typically $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, slowing on approach to and through Cloncurry and Mount Isa | Sealed | Yes | Yes |  |  | Reasonable condition <br> Significant polishing west of Cloncurry. Various minor defects including shoving in the edgeline, rutting, depressions, corrugations, potholing and expedient patching. |
| 76 | Burke <br> Developmenta <br> I Road | TMR | Barkly Highway to Cloncurry Camp | 2.4 | Typically $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, increasing to $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in the northbound direction approximately 0.4 km north of Burke Developmental Road/ Hensley Drive intersection | Sealed | Yes | Yes | 7.0 to 7.2 m | 0.3 m wide | Good condition <br> Minor shoving in edgeline, rutting and polishing present. |
| 78 | Cloncurry <br> Duchess Road | TMR | Barkly Highway to transmission line easement | 3.5 | Not posted - assume $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ rural default speed limit | Sealed | Yes | Yes and no, provided in some sections | 6.0 to 6.5 m | No shoulder provided | Good condition <br> Polishing, minor rutting, edge break and edge drop-off present. |
| 81 | Mount Isa <br> Duchess Road | TMR | Full extent of TMRowned section | 5.8 | 60km/h through Mount Isa, increasing to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ approximately 3.3 km south of Barkly Highway intersection | Sealed | Yes | Yes in Isa, no further south | Variable - <br> Typically 6.2 to 8.8 m south of Mount Isa CBD | No shoulder provided south of Mount Isa CBD | Reasonable condition <br> Minor corrugations, polishing, potholing, edge break, rutting, and cracking present. |
| 83 | Diamantina Developmenta I Road | TMR | Barkly Highway to Boulia Mount Isa Highway | 7.2 | 60km/h through Mount Isa, increasing to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ approximately 0.55 km south of Oban Road intersection | Sealed | Yes | Typically, no | 6.0 to 7.0 m | No shoulder provided | Reasonable condition <br> Edge break and cracking present near Oban Road, minor cracking and expedient patching further south. |
| 87 | Boulia Mount Isa Road | TMR | Diamantina <br> Developmental Road to Moran Road | 1.8 | $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, increasing to $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ approximately 1.7 km south of Diamantina Developmental Road intersection | Sealed | Yes | No | 8.0 m | No shoulder provided | Good condition <br> Minor edge break and stripping present |

## Traffic volumes

Traffic volumes on SC roads were determined using the TMR 2021 and 2022 traffic census data. The 2023 AADT along SC roads has been estimated by multiplying the 2021 AADT by the growth rate provided for the most recent 5-year period, where the growth rate was positive. Where the 5 -year growth rate was negative, a $1 \%$ compounding annual growth rate has been applied. Where a 5 -year growth rate was not provided due to counts not having been undertaken for a period of 5 -years, the growth rate was estimated based on other historic counts.

The 2023 AADT projections are expected to be conservative, although it is noted that many SC roads, other than the Flinders Highway, have had historically fluctuating vehicle volumes, likely due to the timing of counts and the economy of the various industries which utilise the roads.

Table 14: Traffic volumes on State-controlled roads on the Project route

| Road ID | Road Name | Lat | Lon | Approximate Location | Background traffic (two-way) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Count Site | 2021 <br> AADT | Heavy Vehicle \% | 5-year Growth Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expected } \\ & 2023 \\ & \text { AADT } \end{aligned}$ | Estimated peak Hour veh/hr (2023) |
| 4 | Townsville Port Road | -19.2644 | 146.836 | 60m south of Archer Street | 92236 | $\begin{aligned} & 3836 \\ & (2019) \end{aligned}$ | 28\% | -2.14\% | 3,992 | $\sim 520$ |
| 4 | Townsville Port Road | -19.2822 | 146.841 | 2.3km south of Archer Street | 92206 | 2724 | 30\% | 3.20\% | 2,901 | $\sim 300$ |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | -19.3341 | 146.842 | 0.5 km south-west of Bruce Highway/ Flinders Highway/ Townsville Port Road intersection, Townsville | 92192 | 1,899 | 34\% | 2.98\% | 2,014 | $\sim 250$ |
| 5 | Bruce Highway | -19.582 | 147.4 | 40m south of the Bruce Highway/ Little Drysdale Street intersection, Ayr | $91396$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,362 \\ & (2019) \end{aligned}$ | 6\% | 0.50\% | 6,490 | $\sim 680$ |
| 5 | Bruce Highway | -19.5911 | 147.397 | 0.2 km north of Bruce Highway/ Kilrie Road intersection, Ayr | 91443 | $\begin{aligned} & 13,486 \\ & (2019) \end{aligned}$ | 17\% | 5.95\% | 16,994 | $\sim 1,510$ |
| 5 | Bruce Highway | -19.6123 | 147.393 | 2.0km north of the Bruce Highway/ Ayr Dalbeg Road intersection, Mcdesme | 90004 | 8,828 | 13\% | -0.26\% | 9,005 | $\sim 850$ |
| 6 | Ayr Dalbeg Road | -19.7028 | 147.291 | 1.8km east of Ayr Dalbeg Road/ Brown Road intersection, Mona Park | 91502 | $\begin{aligned} & 927 \\ & (2019) \end{aligned}$ | 22\% | 10.99\% | 1,407 | $\sim 180$ |
| 6 | Ayr Dalbeg Road | -19.7964 | 147.233 | 0.5 km south of Ayr Dalbeg Road/ Granshaw Road intersection, Clare | 90018 | 436 | 17\% | -0.32\% | 445 | $\sim 45$ |
| 8 | Ayr <br> Ravenswood <br> Road | -19.8185 | 147.226 | 0.2 km west of Ayr Dalbeg Road/ Ayr Ravenswood Road intersection, Clare | 90080 | $\begin{aligned} & 144 \\ & (2019) \end{aligned}$ | 17\% | 4.83\% | 174 | $\sim 30$ |


| Road <br> ID | Road Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Road ID | Road Name | Lat | Lon | Approximate Location | Background traffic (two-way) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Count Site | $\begin{aligned} & 2021 \\ & \text { AADT } \end{aligned}$ | Heavy Vehicle \% | 5-year <br> Growth <br> Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expected } \\ & 2023 \\ & \text { AADT } \end{aligned}$ | Estimated peak Hour veh/hr (2023) |
| 15 | Gregory Developmental Road (north) | -20.0471 | 146.25 | 0.8 km north-west of Gregory <br> Developmental Road (north)/ Old <br> Dalrymple Road intersection, <br> Charters Towers | 90087 | $\begin{aligned} & 932 \\ & (2019) \end{aligned}$ | 27\% | 2.90 | 1,045 | $\sim 110$ |
| 26 | Gregory Developmental Road (south) | -20.1264 | 146.241 | 2.1 km south of Flinders Highway/ Gregory Developmental Road (south) intersection, Charters Towers | 91701 | 865 | 17\% | $7.27 \%$ | 992 | $\sim 110$ |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | -20.0654 | 122.509 | 0.4 km south-west of Flinders Highway/ Gregory Developmental Road (north) intersection, Charters Towers | 91328 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,052 \\ & (2019) \end{aligned}$ | 28\% | 4.98\% | 2,492 | $\sim 220$ |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | -20.083 | 125.749 | 0.3 km south-west of Flinders Highway/ Bluff Road intersection, Charters Towers | $91329$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,839 \\ & (2019) \end{aligned}$ | 23\% | 0.77\% | 2,927 | $\sim 290$ |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | -20.0994 | 146.249 | 1.2km north-east of Flinders Highway/ Gregory Developmental Road (south) intersection, Charters Towers | 91299 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,336 \\ & (2019) \end{aligned}$ | 20\% | 0.76\% | 2,408 | $\sim 170$ |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | -20.1111 | 146.24 | 0.5 km south-west of Flinders Highway/ Gregory Developmental Road (south) intersection, Charters Towers | 91324 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,139 \\ & (2019) \end{aligned}$ | 31\% | 2.80\% | 1,272 | $\sim 120$ |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | -20.3634 | $145.653$ | 0.5 km south-west of Flinders Highway/ Red Road intersection, Homestead | 90009 | 700 | 40\% | 5.88\% | 785 | ~75 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | -20.7636 | 145.051 | 4.0km north-east of Flinders <br> Highway/ Aramac Torrens Creek <br> Road intersection, Torrens Creek | 100107 | 621 | 36\% | 2.95\% | 658 | $\sim 70$ |


| Road <br> ID | Road Name | Lat | Lon | Approximate Location | Background traffic (two-way) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Count Site | $2021$ <br> AADT | Heavy Vehicle \% | 5-year Growth Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expected } \\ & 2023 \\ & \text { AADT } \end{aligned}$ | Estimated peak Hour veh/hr (2023) |
| 37 | Aramac <br> Torrens Creek <br> Road | -21.0788 | 145.008 | 35.4 km south of Flinders Highway/ Aramac Torrens Creek Road intersection, Torrens Creek | 100048 | 111 |  | 21.46\% | 164 | $\sim 20$ |
| 45 | Kennedy Developmental Road (south) | -20.8474 | 144.197 | 0.2 km south of Kennedy Developmental Road (south)/ Moran Street intersection, Hughenden | 100080 | 908 | 15\% | $-6.83 \%$ | 926 | ~85 |
| 45 | Kennedy Developmental Road (south) | -20.9655 | 144.1 | 16.2 km south-east of Kennedy Developmental Road (south)/ Disraeli Street intersection | $100033$ | 163 | 30\% | 4.15\% | 177 | $\sim 20$ |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | -20.8664 | 144.042 | 17.4 km south-west of Flinders Highway/ Kennedy Developmental Road (north), Hughenden | 100148 | 497 | 43\% | 2.61\% | 523 | $\sim 55$ |
| 54 | Richmond Winton Road | -20.8804 | 143.071 | 16.5 km south of Flinders Highway/ Richmond Winton Road intersection, Richmond | 100049 | 57 | 35\% | -3.47\% | 58 | $\sim 5$ |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | -20.6516 | 142.051 | 32.3 km east of Flinders Highway/ Julia Creek Kynuna Road intersection, Julia Creek | 100019 | 382 | 48\% | 0.01\% | 383 | $\sim 40$ |
| 61 | Julia Creek Kynuna Road | -20.702 | 141.743 | 5.1 km south of Flinders Highway/ Julia Creek Kynuna Road | 100050 | 52 | 31\% | 10.20\% | 63 | $\sim 5$ |
|  | Flinders Highway | -20.6577 | 141.712 | 0.4 km east of Flinders Highway/ Wills Developmental Road intersection, Julia Creek | 100178 | 519 | 37\% | 1.87\% | 539 | $\sim 45$ |
|  | Flinders Highway | -20.6608 | 141.682 | 2.8 km west of Flinders Highway/ Wills Developmental Road intersection, Julia Creek | 100154 | 388 | 36\% | -0.01\% | 396 | $\sim 45$ |


| Road <br> ID | Road Name | Lat | Lon | Approximate Location | Background traffic (two-way) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Count Site | 2021 AADT | Heavy Vehicle \% | 5-year <br> Growth <br> Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expected } \\ & 2023 \\ & \text { AADT } \end{aligned}$ | Estimated peak Hour veh/hr (2023) |
|  | Flinders Highway | -20.7325 | 140.649 | 1.7km east of Flinders Highway/ Landsborough Highway intersection, Cloncurry | 100005 | 425 |  | 2.87\% | 450 | $\sim 40$ |
|  | Landsborough Highway | -20.7942 | 140.757 | 15.3 km south-east of Flinders Highway/ Landsborough Highway intersection, Cloncurry | $100047$ | 417 | 47\% | 2.64\% | 439 | $\sim 40$ |
|  | Flinders Highway | -20.724 | 140.607 | 2.9km north-west of Flinders Highway/ Landsborough Highway intersection, Cloncurry | $100052$ | 858 | 49 | 4.42\% | 936 | $\sim 80$ |
|  | Flinders Highway | -20.7071 | 140.528 | 0.2 km west of Flinders Highway/ Round Oak Road intersection, Cloncurry | $100060$ | $1,058$ | 26\% | 2.00\% | 1,101 | ~90 |
|  | Flinders Highway | -20.7069 | 140.505 | At Flinders Highway/ Sheaffe Street intersection, Cloncurry | 100035 | 4,098 | 9\% | 4.57\% | 4,481 | $\sim 400$ |
|  | Barkly Highway | -20.7035 | 140.491 | 0.55 km east of Barkly Highway/ Burke Developmental Road, Cloncurry | 100062 | 1,488 | 22\% | 1.49\% | 1,533 | $\sim 140$ |
|  | Burke <br> Developmental <br> Road | -20.6376 | 140.464 | 8.0km north-west of Barkly Highway/ Burke Developmental Road intersection, Cloncurry | 100026 | 328 | 43\% | 2.01\% | 341 | ~35 |
|  | Barkly Highway | -20.7184 | 140.444 | 5 km south-west of Barkly Highway/ Burke Developmental Road, Cloncurry | 100021 | 1,078 | 39\% | 3.15\% | 1,112 | ~95 |
|  | Barkly Highway | -20.7231 | 139.526 | 0.3 km east of Barkly Highway/ Breakaway Drive intersection, Mount Isa | 100063 | 1,245 | 39\% | -31.2\% | 1,270 | $\sim 120$ |


| Road ID | Road Name | Lat | Lon | Approximate Location | Background traffic (two-way) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Count Site | $2021$ <br> AADT | Heavy Vehicle \% | 5-year <br> Growth <br> Rate | Expected 2023 <br> AADT | Estimated peak Hour veh/hr (2023) |
|  | Barkly Highway | -20.7241 | 139.49 | 0.3 km east of Barkly Highway/ Diamantina Developmental Road intersection, Mount Isa | 100175 | 4,085 | 17\% | -7.25\% | 4,167 | $\sim 270$ |
|  | Mount Isa <br> Duchess Road | -20.7366 | 139.493 | 1.4 km south of Barkly Highway/ Mount Isa Duchess Road intersection, Mount Isa | 100076 | 7,067 | 10\% | 1.62\% | 7,298 | $\sim 690$ |
|  | Mount Isa <br> Duchess Road | -20.7576 | 139.497 | 1.4 km south of Mount Isa Duchess Road/ Twenty Third Avenue intersection, Mount Isa | $100085$ | 371 | 14\% | -20.0\% | 378 | $\sim 40$ |
|  | Diamantina Developmental Road | -20.7339 | 139.485 | 1.2km south of Barkly Highway/ Diamantina Developmental Road intersection, Mount Isa | $100075$ | 3,094 | 17\% | Fluctuating | 3,156 | $\sim 280$ |
|  | Diamantina Developmental Road | -20.7496 | 139.48 | 0.5 km south of Diamantina Developmental Road/ Oban Road intersection, Mount Isa | $100123$ | $609$ | 16\% | 2.74\% | 643 | ~75 |

Current heavy vehicle (HV) routes and restrictions, as outlined by the relevant layer per Queensland Government's Queensland Globe, are designated as follows in Table 15 for SC roads along the Project route.

Table 15: HV routes and restrictions on SC roads

| Road Name | HV approval |
| :---: | :---: |
| Townsville Port Road | Type 2 road train approved ( 8 pm to 5 am ) <br> B-double approved (all other times) |
| Bruce Highway | Higher Mass Limit approved |
| Ayr Dalbeg Road | Higher Mass Limit approved (north of Brown Road during sugar cane planting and crushing season, no access otherwise) <br> 25m B-double approved (Between Granshaw Road and Bruce Highway) |
| Flinders Highway | Type 2 road train approved (Restricted between Station Street and Isley Street, Cloncurry, between 6am and 10pm) |
| Ayr Ravenswood Road | No HV approval |
| Burdekin Falls Dam Road | Type 2 road train approved |
| Gregory Developmental Road (north) | Type 2 road train approved |
| Gregory Developmental Road (south) | Type 2 road train approved |
| Aramac Torrens Creek Road | Type 2 road train approved |
| Hughenden Muttaburra Road | Type 2 road train approved |
| Kennedy Developmental Road (south) | Type 2 road train approved |
| Richmond Winton Road | Type 2 road train approved |
| Julia Creek Kynuna Road | Type 2 road train approved |
| Landsborough Highway | Type 2 road train approved |
| Barkly Highway | Type 2 road train approved |
| Burke Developmental Road | Type 2 road train approved |
| Cloncurry Duchess Road | Type 2 road train approved |
| Mount Isa Duchess Road | Type 2 road train approved (for 6.4km south of Barkly Highway) |
| Diamantina Developmental Road | Type 2 road train approved |
| Boulia Mount Isa Road | Type 2 road train approved |

### 3.1.2 Intersections

## State-controlled intersections

Intersections between SC roads and other SC roads, and between SC roads and LGA roads proposed to be utilised during construction are summarised in Table 16.

Table 16: State-controlled intersections

| Intersection ID | Intersection |  |  | HV approval | Intersection Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road 1 | Road 2 | Road 3 |  |  |
| 4.1 | Townsville Port Road | Archer Street |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 5.1 | Bruce Highway | Ayr Dalbeg Road |  | HML approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 6.1 | Ayr Dalbeg Road | Ayr Ravenswood Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 8.1 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | Downing Street | Murray Street | Not approved | Unsignalised 4way intersection |
| 8.3 | Ayr Ravenswood Road* (Macrossan Street) | Deighton Street |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 11.1 | Burdekin Falls Dam Road | Ayr Ravenswood Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 11.2 | Burdekin Falls Dam Road | Silver Valley Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 11.3 | Burdekin Falls Dam Road* (Hervey Street) | Burdekin Falls Dam Road |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.1 | Flinders Highway | Burdekin Falls Dam Road |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.2 | Flinders Highway | Amity Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.3 | Flinders Highway | Gregory Developmental Road (north) |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.4 | Flinders Highway | Millchester Road |  | Type 1 road train approved | Unsignalised 4way intersection |


| Intersection ID | Intersection |  |  | HV approval | Intersection Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road 1 | Road 2 | Road 3 |  |  |
| 15.1 | Gregory <br> Developmental Road <br> (north)* (Dalrymple <br> Road) | Bridge Street | Hackett Terrace | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised 4way intersection |
| 15.2 | Gregory <br> Developmental Road (north) | Hewett Street |  | Not approved | Unsignalised 4way intersection |
| 7.5 | Flinders Highway | Phillipson Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.6 | Flinders Highway | Gregory Developmental Road (south) |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.7 | Flinders Highway | Braceborough Road (west) |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.8 | Flinders Highway | Red Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.9 | Flinders Highway | Lauderdale Road (east) |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.10 | Flinders Highway | Lyons Creek Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.11 | Flinders Highway | Aramac Torrens Creek Road |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.12 | Flinders Highway | Prairie Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised 4way intersection |
| 7.14 | Flinders Highway | Redcliffe Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.15 | Flinders Highway | Unnamed Local Road (off Flinders Highway at Hughenden - south of Mount Isa Line) |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.16 | Flinders Highway | Unnamed Road (off Flinders Highway at Hughenden - to Hughenden Camp) |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.17 | Flinders Highway | Kennedy Developmental Road (south) |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised 4way intersection |


| Intersection ID | Intersection |  |  | HV approval | Intersection Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road 1 | Road 2 | Road 3 |  |  |
| 45.1 | Resolution Street | Kennedy Developmental Road (south) |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised 4way intersection |
| 45.2 | Kennedy Developmental Road (south) | Mclaren Street |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.18 | Flinders Highway* (Gray Street) | Stansfield Street |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised 4way intersection |
| 7.19 | Flinders Highway | Unnamed Road (off Flinders Highway - to PTL-FLR_284 to FLR-DJR_82) |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.20 | Flinders Highway | Marathon Stamford Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised 4way intersection |
| 7.21 | Flinders Highway | Barabon Terranburby Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised 4way intersection |
| 7.22 | Flinders Highway | Benean Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.23 | Flinders Highway* (Goldring Street Richmond) | Larsen Street |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised 4way intersection |
| 7.24 | Flinders Highway | Crawford Street |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised 4way intersection |
| 7.25 | Flinders Highway | Pattel Drive |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.26 | Flinders Highway | Richmond Winton Road |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.27 | Flinders Highway | Maxwelton Kynuna Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised 4way intersection |
| 7.28 | Flinders Highway | Minamere Nelia Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised 4way intersection |
| 7.29 | Flinders Highway | Yorkshire Nelia Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.30 | Flinders Highway | Yorkshire Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |


| Intersection ID | Intersection |  |  | HV approval | Intersection Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road 1 | Road 2 | Road 3 |  |  |
| 7.31 | Flinders Highway | Burke Street (eastern intersection) |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.32 | Flinders Highway | Burke Street (western intersection) |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.33 | Flinders Highway | Julia Creek Kynuna Road |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised 4way intersection |
| 7.34 | Flinders Highway | Allison Street |  | Not approved | Unsignalised 4way intersection |
| 7.35 | Flinders Highway | Mckinlay Gilliat Road |  | HML approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.36 | Flinders Highway | Ivellen Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.37 | Flinders Highway | Oorindi Mckinlay Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.38 | Flinders Highway | Landsborough Highway |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.39 | Flinders Highway | Andrew Daniels Drive |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 7.40 | Flinders Highway | Round Oak Road |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 76.1 | Burke Developmental Road | Hensley Drive |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 73.1 | Barkly Highway | Powerhouse Road (Cloncurry) |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 73.2 | Barkly Highway | Burke Developmental Road |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 73.3 | Barkly Highway | Chinaman Creek Dam Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |


| Intersection ID | Intersection |  |  | HV approval | Intersection Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road 1 | Road 2 | Road 3 |  |  |
| 73.4 | Barkly Highway | Cloncurry Duchess Road |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 73.5 | Barkly Highway | Mount Frosty Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 73.6 | Barkly Highway | East Leichardt Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 73.7 | Barkly Highway | Mount Isa Duchess Road |  | Type 2 road train approved | Signalised 4way intersection |
| 81.1 | Mount Isa Duchess Road | Rodeo Drive |  | Type 2 road train approved | Roundabout |
| 81.2 | Mount Isa Duchess Road | Twenty Third Avenue |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |
| 73.8 | Barkly Highway | Diamantina Developmental Road |  | Type 2 road train approved | Signalised Tintersection |
| 83.1 | Diamantina Developmental Road | Twenty Third Avenue |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised Y intersection |
| 83.2 | Diamantina Developmental Road | Diamantina Developmental Road (Council owned) |  | Type 2 road train approved | Unsignalised Yintersection |
| 87.1 | Boulia Mount Isa Road | Moran Road |  | Not approved | Unsignalised Tintersection |

*Intersection is the continuation of a single defined SC road, however, requires navigation of two separate streets.
State-controlled intersections along the Project route are shown in Figure 7, and further broken down by LGA in Figure 8 to Figure 14.


Figure 7: Intersections on the Project route


Figure 8: Intersections on the Project route - TCC and BSC


Figure 9: Intersections on the Project route - CTRC


Figure 10: Intersections on the Project route - FSC


Figure 11: Intersections on the Project route - RSC
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## McKinlay

 ShireFigure 12: Intersections on the Project route - MSC


Figure 13: Intersections on the Project route - CSC


Figure 14: Intersections on the Project route - MICC

## Sight distance

During the site investigations the available Approach Sight Distance (ASD) and Safe Intersection Sight Distance (SISD) at each of the intersections in the study area was measured. ASD is the minimum sight distance which a motorist should have along the minor road to an intersection hold line or other sign or device indicating an upcoming intersection. ASD allows sufficient recognition of an upcoming intersection. SISD is the minimum sight distance which should be provided between a vehicle travelling on a major road and a vehicle on a minor road attempting to turn into or travel through the major road. SISD allows enough time for a vehicle on the minor road to complete a necessary manoeuvre onto or through a major road without a collision.

## Approach Sight Distance

The ASD was taken from a point on the minor road to the hold line in accordance with the Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 4A: Unsignalised and Signalised Intersections (Austroads Guide Part 4A) as shown in Figure 15. ASD was generally measured from a height of 1.1 m , noting that this would generally produce a lower ASD, however was also considered at a height of 2.4 m for trucks. The Austroads ASD requirements are defined by the equation shown in Figure 16.


Figure 15: Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 4A: unsignalised and signalised intersections application of ASD

$$
A S D=\frac{R_{T} \times V}{3.6}+\frac{V^{2}}{254 \times(d+0.01 \times a)}
$$

where

| ASD | $=$ approach sight distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $R_{T}$ | $=$reaction time $(\mathrm{sec})$, refer to AGRD Part 3 (Austroads 2016b) for guidance on <br> $V$ |
| $d$ | $=$ operating $\left(85^{\text {th }}\right.$ percentile) speed ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ ) |
| $d$ | coefficient of deceleration, refer to Table 3.3 and AGRD Part 3 for values |
| $a$ | $=$a longitudinal grade in $\%$ (in direction of travel: positive for uphill grade, negative <br> for downhill grade) |

Figure 16: Austroads ASD equation
Using the above ASD equation, the following parameters were assumed for the largest vehicle proposed to be utilised during construction, a 26 m B-double.

Table 17: ASD and SISD parameters

| Reaction time ( $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{T}}$ ) | 2.5 - Desirable reaction time |
| :--- | :--- |
| Operating speed (V) | Road speed limit |
| Coefficient of deceleration (d) | 0.24 - provided by Austroads for trucks |
| Longitudinal grade in percentage (a) | Typically taken to be 0 noting the typically flat grade of the road network |

The Austroads ASD requirements for the varying road speed limits were calculated as shown below in Table 18.

Table 18: Austroads ASD requirements for trucks on flat grades

| Travel speed | Austroads ASD minimum requirement |
| :--- | :--- |
| $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 54 m |
| $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 76 m |
| $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 101 m |
| $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 161 m |
| $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 233 m |
| $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 275 m |

SC road intersections with insufficient ASD are outlined below in Table 19, with commentary regarding the sight distance limitation. Note that, in the cases below, improvement of the ASD to meet the Austroads standards would require modification to the existing LGA roads. Where a rail crossing was located within the ASD requirement and could be viewed from the major road, the ASD was considered sufficient as vehicles approaching the major road are required to stop.

Table 19: Intersections with insufficient ASD

| Intersection ID | Road 1 | Road 2 | Minor road owner | Speed limit (minor road) | ASD | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.14 | Flinders <br> Highway | Redcliffe <br> Road | FSC | Assume <br> 100km/h rural default speed limit | 135m | Limited by crest Note that vehicles would likely be travelling slower than the $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ rural default speed limit |
| 73.6 | Barkly <br> Highway | East <br> Leichardt <br> Road | CSC | Assume $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ rural default speed limit | 140 m | Limited by vegetation and crest Note that vehicles would likely be travelling slower than the $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ rural default speed limit |
| 87.1 | Boulia <br> Mount <br> Isa Road | Moran <br> Road | MICC | Assume 100km/h rural default speed limit | 75m | Limited by vegetation - may improve to $150 \mathrm{~m}+$ with vegetation removal Note that vehicles would likely be travelling slower than the $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ rural default speed limit. Also note the location of a cattle grid 25 m south-east of the intersection, which is likely to slow vehicles considerably, as is the floodway located approximately 110 m south of the intersection. |

## Safe Intersection Sight Distance

The SISD was taken at a point 7 m back ( 5 m minimum) from the vehicle/ vehicle conflict point in accordance with the TMR Supplement to Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 4A (Supplement to AGRD Part 4A) as shown in Figure 16 below. SISD was generally measured from a height of 1.1 m , noting that this would generally produce a lower SISD, however was also considered at a height of 2.4 m for trucks.


Figure 17: Supplement to AGRD Part 4A SISD

The Austroads SISD requirements are defined by the equation shown in Figure 18.

$$
S I S D=\frac{D_{T} \times V}{3.6}+\frac{V^{2}}{254 \times(d+0.01 \times a)}
$$

where
SISD = safe intersection sight distance ( $m$ )
$D_{T}=$ decision time $(\mathbf{s e c})=$ observation time ( 3 sec ) + reaction time $(\mathrm{sec})-$ refer to AGRD Part 3 (Austroads 2016b) for a guide to values
$V=$ operating ( $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile) speed ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ )
$d=$ coefficient of deceleration - refer to Table 3.3 and AGRD Part 3 for a guide to
$a=$ longitudinal grade in \% (in direction of travel: positive for uphill grade, negative for downhill grade)

Figure 18: Austroads SISD equation

The parameters defined in Table 17 were again used to determine the Austroads SISD requirements for B-doubles for varying road speed limits, shown below in Table 20.

Table 20: Austroads SISD requirements for trucks on flat grades

| Travel speed | Austroads SISD minimum requirement |
| :--- | :--- |
| $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 87 m |
| $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 117 m |
| $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 151 m |
| $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 227 m |
| $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 317 m |
| $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 367 m |

SC road intersections with insufficient SISD are outlined below in Table 21, with commentary regarding the sight distance limitation. Note that at intersections in which the SISD was unable to be determined due to the safety requirements imposed by the JV, survey data was collected by Veris, however this data has not yet been provided. As such, intersections at which the sight distance may be insufficient, per visual survey, have also been included in Table 21.

Table 21: Intersections with insufficient SISD

| Intersection <br> ID | Road 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



## Roundabouts

The relevant sight distances were also measured at roundabouts. This included measuring ASD (Criterion 1), as described above, as well as measuring sight distance to vehicles circulating from the right (Criterion 2) and determination of a clear sight triangle (Criterion 3) as per the TMR Supplement to Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 4B: Roundabouts (Supplement to AGRD Part 4B). Each relevant sight distance measurement was taken at each roundabout approach proposed to accommodate project traffic. A diagram from Austroads Guide Part 4B is shown below in Figure 19. Criterion 2 ensures drivers have time to detect an acceptable gap of 4 to 5 seconds, depending on the approaching road type. Criterion 3 ensures drivers are able to recognise potential conflict


Figure 19: Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 4B: roundabouts sight distance criteria for roundabouts

Criterion 1, 2 and 3 were measured in accordance with the Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 4B: Roundabouts. The Austroads Criterion 2 requirements are shown below in Table 22.

Table 22: Austroads roundabout Criterion 2 sight distance requirements

| 85 <br> roundabout <br> roucentile on approach to the | Criterion 2 sight distance |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Local residential street (4s critical <br> acceptance gap) | Arterial road (4s critical <br> acceptance gap) |
| $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 22 m | 28 m |
| $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 33 m | 42 m |
| $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 44 m | 56 m |
| $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 55 m | 70 m |
| $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 67 m | 84 m |

The single roundabout on the Project route, the Mount Isa Duchess Road/ Rodeo Drive roundabout, meets the Criterion 1,2 and 3 requirements at all approaches.

## Driveways

To access the transmission line easement, a number of existing and proposed access tracks will be utilised. The access tracks have been named based on the towers in which they are proposed to service. The naming convention is as follows:
'Road Name' and Access to 'Stringing Line'-‘easternmost tower number'_'westernmost tower number'

Intersections between SC roads and access tracks, and between LGA roads and access tracks have been termed as driveways. The driveways that intersect SC roads are outlined below in Table 23.

Table 23: State-controlled driveways

| Driveway <br> ID | Driveway | Road <br> owner | Latitude | Longitude |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8.A | Ayr-Ravenswood Road and Access to Woodstock <br> Substation | TMR | -19.9336639 | 147.0501844 |
| 8.B | Ayr-Ravenswood Road and Western Access to WDS- <br> PTL-T1_12 | TMR | -19.98593348 | 147.0001537 |
| 8.C | Ayr-Ravenswood Road and Eastern Access to WDS-PTL- <br> T13_77 | TMR | -19.98589575 | 147.0000264 |
| 11.A | Burdekin Falls Dam Road and Western Access to WDS- <br> PTL-T13_77 | TMR | -19.96995901 | 146.7196183 |
| 26.A | Gregory Developmental Road (south) and Western <br> Access to WDS-PTL-T196_215 | TMR | -20.30129044 | 146.1841948 |


| Driveway <br> ID | Driveway | Road |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| owner |  |  | Latitude | Longitude |
| :--- |
| 26.B |
| Gregory Developmental Road (south) and Eastern <br> Access to WDS-PTL-T216_278 |
| Aramac Torrens Creek Road and Western Access to <br> PTL-FLR-T89_118 |
| Aramac Torrens Creek Road and Eastern Access to PTL- <br> FLR-T119_168 |
| Flinders Highway and Cotonvale Road | TMR | TMR |
| :--- |


| Driveway ID | Driveway | Road owner | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68.F | Landsborough Highway and Access to FLR-DJR650_672 | TMR | -20.79771493 | 140.7644051 |
| 68.G | Landsborough Highway and Access to FLR-DJR673_689 | TMR | -20.78688357 | 140.7363781 |
| 76.A | Burke Developmental Road and Cloncurry Camp Access | TMR | -20.67849919 | 140.4859823 |
| 78.A | Cloncurry Duchess Road and Access to Dajarra Substation Laydown | TMR | -20.74057451 | 140.4081214 |
| 78.B | Cloncurry Duchess Road and Access to FLR-DJR- 743_749 | TMR | -20.74910126 | 140.4112541 |
| 78.C | Cloncurry Duchess Road and Access to FLR-DJR-750 | TMR | -20.74909989 | 140.4111796 |
| 73.A | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-7_27 | TMR | -20.715105 | 140.3312314 |
| 73.B | Barkly and Access to DJR-MIS-20_34 | TMR | -20.73769501 | 140.2790608 |
| 73.C | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-35_43 | TMR | -20.76181281 | 140.2232453 |
| 73.D | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-44_49 | TMR | -20.76700605 | 140.1976092 |
| 73.E | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-50_56 | TMR | -20.77305708 | 140.1552455 |
| 73.F | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-57_60 | TMR | -20.78149863 | 140.1181871 |
| 73.G | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-61_66 | TMR | -20.7845545 | 140.0961992 |
| 73.H | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-67_68 | TMR | -20.7935375 | 140.0735113 |
| 73.1 | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-69_72 | TMR | -20.79349939 | 140.0734466 |
| 73.J | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-73_86 | TMR | -20.80194766 | 139.9986963 |
| 73.K | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-87_97 | TMR | -20.78096639 | 139.9731763 |
| 73.L | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-98_99 | TMR | -20.75969396 | 139.9510302 |


| Driveway ID | Driveway | Road owner | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73.M | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-100_103 | TMR | -20.75688012 | 139.9467865 |
| 73.N | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-107_108 | TMR | -20.76153913 | 139.905399 |
| 73.0 | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-109_112 | TMR | -20.7615116 | 139.8955972 |
| 73.P | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-113_118 | TMR | -20.7624946 | 139.8779762 |
| 73.Q | Barkly and Access to DJR-MIS-115_121 | TMR | -20.76422375 | 139.8629076 |
| 73.R | Barkly Highway and Eastern Access to DJR-MIS- 122_126 | TMR | -20.75849936 | 139.8280736 |
| 73.5 | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-143_153 | TMR | -20.71561474 | 139.7189765 |
| 73.T | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-154_177 | TMR | -20.70829919 | 139.6424866 |
| 81.A | Mount Isa Duchess Road and Access to DJR-MIS178_192 | TMR | -20.78225547 | 139.4996341 |
| 81.B | Mount Isa Duchess Road and Access to DJR-MIS-193 | TMR | -20.78466571 | 139.5001429 |
| 81.C | Mount Isa Duchess Road and Access to DJR-MIS-194 | TMR | -20.78467329 | 139.5000929 |
| 83.A | Diamantina Developmental Road and Northern Access to Mount Isa Substation Laydown | TMR | -20.7598791 | 139.4882954 |
| 87.A | Boulia Mount Isa Highway and Southern Access to Mount Isa Substation Laydown | TMR | -20.7598791 | 139.4882954 |

## Sight distance for commercial vehicle traffic entering a public roadway from an access driveway

The sight distance for commercial vehicle traffic entering a public roadway from an access driveway was taken at driver's eye height 3.0 m back from the edge of the frontage road in accordance with AS 2890.2:2018 Off-street commercial vehicle facilities (AS 2890.2) as shown in Figure 20 below. The required sight distances for both a 5 second and 8 second gap are also shown below in Figure 20.

| No sight obstruction to an approaching vehicle within this area (see Note 3) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frontage road speed (see Note 4) km/h | Distance ( $Y$ ) along frontage road (see Note 5) m |  |
|  | 5 s gap | 8 s gap |
| 40 | 55 | 89 |
| 50 | 69 | 111 |
| 60 | 83 | 133 |
| 70 | 97 | 156 |
| 80 | 111 | 178 |
| 90 | 125 | 200 |
| 100 | 139 | 222 |
| 110 | 153 | 244 |

NOTE 1 Centre-line or centre of roadway (undivided road), or right-hand edge of right-hand through lane (divided road).

NOTE 2 A check to the left is not required at a divided road where the median is wide enough to shelter a vehicle leaving the driveway.

NOTE 3 Parking on this side of the frontage road may need to be restricted on either side of the driveway so that the sight distance required by the above table to an approaching vehicle is not obstructed.

NOTE 4 This is the posted or general speed limit unless the 85 th percentile speed is significantly higher.
NOTE 5 These distances are equivalent to minimum gap sight distance (MGSD) for an exiting vehicle. The minimum requirement is a 5 s gap. A right turn exit into a six lane road may require up to an 8 s gap, unless the median is wide enough to shelter a vebicle leaving the driveway.

NOTE 6 When checking sight distance the height of the object (approaching vehicle) is to be taken as 1.15 m above the road surface. The driver's eye height is to be taken as any height in the range 1.15 m to 2.5 m , to cater for both car and commercial vehicle drivers.

Figure 20: AS 2890.2 sight distance requirements
Sight distance was assessed against the requirements for an 8s gap, which is expected to be conservative. SC road driveways with insufficient sight distance for commercial vehicles are outlined below in Table 24, with commentary regarding the sight distance limitation. Note that at driveways in which the sight distance was unable to be determined due to the safety requirements imposed by the JV, survey data was collected by Veris, however this data has not yet been provided. As such, driveways at which the sight distance may be insufficient, per visual survey, have also been included in Table 24.

Further note that the Diamantina Developmental Road (Council-owned) and Powerhouse Road (Mount Isa) junction has insufficient sight distance to the west $(20 \mathrm{~m})$, limited by the Diamantina Developmental Road and Diamantina Developmental Road (Council-owned) intersection. However, as there is sufficient sight distance from the junction to both northbound and southbound vehicles travelling along Diamantina Developmental Road and Boulia Mount Isa Highway, it was considered sufficient, noting that vehicles will also slow whilst navigating the Diamantina Developmental Road and Diamantina Developmental Road (Council-owned) intersection.

| Driveway ID | Driveway | Road owner | Speed limit (major road) | Sight distance | Comments | Estimated sight distance if vegetation removed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.A | Ayr-Ravenswood Road and Access to Woodstock Substation | TMR | 100km/h | East - TBA per Veris survey data | Limited by vegetation and horizontal curve | TBA per Veris survey data |
|  |  | TMR |  | West - TBA per Veris survey data | Limited by crest |  |
| 8.B | Ayr-Ravenswood Road and Western Access to WDS-PTLT1_12 | TMR | 100km/h | North - TBA per Veris survey data | Limited by vegetation and horizontal curve | TBA per Veris survey data |
|  |  | TMR |  | South - TBA per Veris survey data | Limited by vegetation and horizontal curve | TBA per Veris survey data |
| 8.C | Ayr-Ravenswood Road and Eastern Access to WDS-PTL-T13_77 | TMR | 100km/h | North - TBA per Veris survey data | Limited by vegetation and horizontal curve | TBA per Veris survey data |
|  |  | TMR |  | South - TBA per Veris survey data | Limited by vegetation and horizontal curve | TBA per Veris survey data |
| 11.A | Burdekin Falls Dam Road and Western Access to WDS-PTLT13_77 | TMR | 100km/h | South - TBA per Veris survey data | Limited by dip |  |
| 26.A | Gregory Developmental Road (south) and Western Access to WDS-PTL-T196_215 | TMR | 100km/h | North - TBA per Veris survey data | Limited by dip |  |
| 26.B | Gregory Developmental Road (south) and Eastern Access to WDS-PTL-T216_278 | TMR | 100km/h | North - TBA per Veris survey data | Limited by dip |  |
| 7.B | Flinders Highway and Kennedy Energy Park Access Track | TMR | 100km/h | East - TBA per Veris survey data | Limited by horizontal curve |  |
| 45.A | Kennedy Developmental Road (south) and Western Access to PTL-FLR-T264_283 | TMR | 100km/h | South - 160m | Limited by crest |  |


| Driveway ID | Driveway | Road <br> owner | Speed limit (major <br> road) | Sight distance | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Driveway ID | Driveway | Road owner | Speed limit (major road) | Sight distance | Comments | Estimated sight distance if vegetation removed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73.5 | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-143_153 | TMR | 100km/h | East - TBA per Veris survey data | Limited by vegetation and horizontal curve | TBA per Veris survey data |
| 73.7 | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-154_177 | TMR | 100km/h | East - TBA per Veris survey data | Limited by vegetation and horizontal curve | TBA per Veris survey data |
|  |  | TMR |  | West - TBA per Veris survey data | Limited by horizontal curve |  |
| 83.A | Diamantina Developmental Road and Northern Access to Mount Isa Substation Laydown | TMR | 80km/h | North - 80m | Limited by crest |  |
|  |  | TMR |  | South - 130m | Limited by sign | >200m |

### 3.1.3 Rail crossings

The Project route will require vehicles to travel over Mount Isa Line rail crossings. The location of Mount Isa rail crossings on the Project route is shown in Figure 21 and outlined below in Table 25, noting that there is an impact of queueing onto SC roads from rail crossings located on LGA and privately-owned roads at some locations.


Figure 21: Rail crossings on SC roads

Table 25: Rail crossings on SC roads

| Crossing Name | Road <br> owner | Active or passive <br> control | Latitude | Longitude |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pioneer Mill: Bruce Highway crossing | TMR | Active | -19.617305 | 147.392121 |
| North Coast Line: Ayr Dalbeg Road crossing | TMR | Active | -19.631266 | 147.386995 |
| Pioneer Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (east) crossing | TMR | Active | -19.660903 | 147.3463 |
| Pioneer Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (west) crossing | TMR | Active | -19.702436 | 147.293763 |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (north) crossing | TMR | Unknown | -19.793262 | 147.233489 |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (south) crossing | TMR | Active | -19.818253 | 147.228085 |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr Ravenswood Road (east) crossing | TMR | Active | -19.818645 | 147.227718 |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr Ravenswood Road (west) <br> crossing | TMR | Unknown | -19.810619 | 147.168086 |
| Mount Isa Line: Amity Road crossing | CTRC | Passive - stop <br> sign controlled | -19.917413 | 146.561992 |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (west of <br> Gregory Developmental Road (south)) crossing | TMR | Active | -20.121893 | 146.178516 |
| Mount Isa Line: Braceborough Road (west) <br> crossing | CTRC | Passive - stop <br> sign controlled | -20.221832 | 145.899943 |
| Mount Isa Line: Red Road crossing | CTRC | Passive - stop <br> sign controlled | -20.361445 | 145.657311 |
| Mount Isa Line: Laidlow Crossing crossing | CTRC | Passive - stop <br> sign controlled | -20.524394 | 145.399527 |
| Mount Isa Line: Lyons Creek Road crossing | CTRC | Passive - stop <br> sign controlled | -20.728431 | 145.194176 |


| Crossing Name | Road owner | Active or passive control | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mount Isa Line: Aramac Torrens Creek Road crossing | TMR | Passive - giveway controlled (southbound), stop controlled (northbound) | -20.771843 | 145.014835 |
| Mount Isa Line: Cotonvale Road crossing | Private | Passive - stop sign controlled | -20.843179 | 144.734995 |
| Mount Isa Line: Prairie Road crossing | FSC | Passive - stop sign controlled | -20.871547 | 144.60266 |
| Mount Isa Line: Kennedy Energy Park Access Track crossing | Private | Passive - stop sign controlled | -20.871321 | 144.409346 |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (east of Redcliffe Road) crossing | TMR | Active | -20.865722 | 144.320159 |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (Hughenden south) crossing | TMR | Active | -20.862986 | 144.203219 |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (Hughenden north) crossing | TMR | Active | -20.846558 | 144.199869 |
| Mount Isa Line: Kennedy Developmental Road (south) crossing | TMR | Passive - giveway controlled | -20.857077 | 144.189793 |
| Mount Isa Line: Unnamed Road (off Flinders Highway - to PTL-FLR_284 to FLR-DJR_82) crossing | Private | Passive - stop sign controlled | -20.865709 | 143.98156 |
| Mount Isa Line: Thornhill Tamworth Road crossing | Private | Passive - giveway controlled | -20.883069 | 143.748177 |
| Mount Isa Line: Marathon Stamford Road crossing | FSC | Passive - stop sign controlled | -20.862421 | 143.569433 |
| Mount Isa Line: Barabon Terranburby Road crossing | FSC | Passive - stop sign controlled | -20.846347 | 143.433425 |
| Mount Isa Line: Benean Road crossing | RSC | Passive - stop sign controlled | -20.767637 | 143.17689 |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (West of Simpson Street) crossing | TMR | Active | -20.734253 | 143.140254 |
| Mount Isa Line: Pattel Drive crossing | RSC | Passive - stop sign controlled | -20.731492 | 143.131089 |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (West of Yorkshire Nelia Road) crossing | TMR | Active | -20.651927 | 142.094901 |
| Mount Isa Line: Yorkshire Road crossing | MSC | Passive - stop sign controlled | -20.657097 | 141.767509 |
| Mount Isa Line: Julia Creek Kynuna Road crossing | TMR | Passive - stop sign controlled | -20.659497 | 141.74167 |
| Mount Isa Line: Mckinlay Gilliat Road crossing | MSC | Passive - stop sign controlled | -20.691568 | 141.498233 |


| Crossing Name | Road <br> owner | Active or passive <br> control | Latitude | Longitude |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mount Isa Line: Ivellen Road crossing | MSC | Passive - stop <br> sign controlled | -20.704867 | 141.351852 |
| Mount Isa Line: Oorindi Mckinlay Road crossing | MSC | Passive - stop <br> sign controlled | -20.693436 | 141.074637 |
| Mount Isa Line: Landsborough Highway crossing | TMR | Active | -20.732785 | 140.634288 |
| Mount Isa Line: Round Oak Road crossing | CSC | Active | -20.718531 | 140.526454 |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (Cloncurry) <br> crossing | TMR | Active | -20.706991 | 140.510822 |
| Mount Isa Line: Diamantina Developmental Road <br> crossing | TMR | Active | -20.744749 | 139.484999 |

AS 1742.7:2016 Railway crossings (AS 1742.7) outlines signage, pavement marking, queuing, bicycle treatment and sight distance requirements of railway crossings. This is supplemented by the TMR Queensland Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices Part 7: Railway crossings.

## Signage

## Passive control

Figure 22 and Figure 23 show the required signage assembly for railway crossings controlled by Give Way signs and by Stop signs, respectively. These are known as passive control devices.


Figure 23: Railway crossing stop assembly (RX-2)

Give-way passive control is to be used where there is sufficient sight distance such that a driver of a vehicle approaching the rail crossing at the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed can see an approaching train and has time to stop prior to the rail crossing. Where this is not provided, a stop assembly shall be implemented.

Use of passive control also requires that sufficient sight distance for a vehicle stopped at the railway crossing to be able to start off and clear the crossing before the arrival of a previously unseen train is provided. Where there is inadequate sight distance for passive control, it may be improved by widening, clearing or geometric alteration of the crossing. Where this is not feasible or sight distance still does not meet the requirement, further risk mitigations may be implemented.

Railway crossing ahead and diagrammatic warning assemblies shall be used to give advance warning of a railway crossing controlled by passive devices (i.e. give-way or stop assemblies). Railway crossing ahead signs shall be the first warning sign encountered on approach to a passive rail crossing. Diagrammatic warning assemblies should be used as the second or as an intermediate sign on approach to a passive rail crossing. Where a passive railway crossing is located on a side road and is too close to the intersection to provide sufficient sight distance required to safely navigate, on side road signs may be used in conjunction with railway crossing ahead and diagrammatic warning assemblies on the major road. Examples of these signs are shown below in Figure 24 to Figure 27.
 road
Figure 26: Railway crossing ahead passive control signs - on side road

The Stop Sign Ahead sign shall be used as the second or as an intermediate sign on approach to a rail crossing controlled by stop signs.

Signs other than those shown in Figure 22 or Figure 23 are not required in the following instances, shown in Figure 28.

|  | TABLE 4.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| LIMITS ON USE OF MINIMUM TREATMENT CROSSINGS |  |  |  |
| Case | Maximum road <br> approach speed <br> (85th percentile <br> approach speed) | Maximum visibility <br> distance to controls <br> for road users | Application |
| 1 | $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 90 m | Applicable where traffic volume is less than <br> 200 vehicles per day |
| 2 | $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 40 m | Applicable to any road |
| 3 | any speed | 20 m | Applicable only to a crossing on a side road <br> not more than 40 m from the main road |

Figure 28: AS 1742.7 minimum treatment crossings
Modified treatments may also be used in particular circumstances, as defined by AS 1742.7.

An assessment of the signage at passive controlled rail crossings on SC roads has been undertaken and is shown below in Table 26.

Table 26: Signage assessment - passive controlled rail crossings on SC roads

| Crossing Name | Active or passive <br> control | Applicable <br> minimum <br> treatment <br> crossings | Provides crossing <br> ahead signs | Provides <br> diagrammatic signs/ <br> stop sign ahead <br> signs - passive only |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mount Isa Line: Aramac <br> Torrens Creek Road <br> crossing <br> Passive - give-way <br> controlled (southbound), <br> stop controlled <br> (northbound)告applicable | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - Yes (on <br> Flinders Highway <br> eastbound and <br> westbound) | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - Yes (on <br> Flinders Highway <br> eastbound and <br> westbound) |  |  |
| Mount Isa Line: Kennedy |  |  |  |  |
| Developmental Road <br> (south) crossing | Passive - give-way <br> controlled | Not <br> applicable | Northbound - <br> Unknown <br> Southbound - <br> Unknown | Northbound - <br> Unknown <br> Southbound - <br> Unknown |
| Mount Isa Line: Julia <br> Creek Kynuna Road <br> crossing | Passive - stop sign <br> controlled | Not | Northbound - Yes, <br> unknown whether <br> missing initial sign <br> Southbound - No | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - No |

## Active control

Active control rail crossings shall be installed per either assembly shown in Figure 29, unless supplemented by a boom barrier or providing additional flash signals. W7-2-2 is only required to be used at crossings of multiple tracks.


Figure 29: Railway crossing flashing signal assembly

Overhead flashing signals should be used in conjunction with pedestal mounted assemblies where there are obstructions to the latter, or where there are more than two traffic lanes on the approach.

Railway crossing flashing signals ahead shall be used to give advance warning of a railway crossing controlled by active devices. Railway crossing flashing signals ahead signs shall be used on approach to an active rail crossing. Where an active railway crossing is located on a side road and is too close to the intersection to provide sufficient sight distance required to safely navigate, on side road signs may be used in conjunction with railway crossing ahead and diagrammatic warning assemblies on the major road. Examples of these signs are shown below in Figure 30 and Figure 31.


Figure 31: Railway crossing ahead active control signs - on side road

An assessment of active controlled rail crossing on SC roads has been undertaken and is shown below in Table 27. Note that as site staff were unable to be within 3 m of SC roads whilst outside of a vehicle, the illumination and reflectorisation of signage, and location and size of signage was not assessed.

Table 27: Signage assessment - active controlled rail crossings on SC roads

| Crossing Name | Applicable minimum treatment crossings | Provides crossing ahead signs | Provides diagrammatic signs/ stop sign ahead signs - passive only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pioneer Mill: Bruce Highway crossing | Not applicable | Northbound: Yes <br> Southbound: Yes <br> Eastbound: Yes, incorrect use of passive control sign <br> Westbound: Unknown | Not applicable |
| North Coast Line: Ayr Dalbeg Road crossing | Not applicable | Northbound: Yes <br> Southbound: Yes | Not applicable |
| Pioneer Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (east) crossing | Not applicable | Northbound: Yes Westbound: Yes | Not applicable |
| Pioneer Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (west) crossing | Not applicable | Eastbound: Yes Westbound: Yes | Not applicable |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (north) crossing | Not applicable | Northbound: Unknown Southbound: Unknown | Unknown |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (south) crossing | Not applicable | Northbound: Unknown Southbound: Unknown | Not applicable |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr Ravenswood Road (east) crossing | Applicable westbound direction | Eastbound: Unknown <br> Westbound: Unknown | Not applicable |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr Ravenswood Road (west) crossing | Not applicable | Eastbound: Unknown Westbound: Unknown | Unknown |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (west of Gregory Developmental Road (south)) crossing | Not applicable | Eastbound - Yes <br> Westbound - Yes | Not applicable |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (east of Redcliffe Road) crossing | Not applicable | Eastbound - No <br> Westbound - Yes | Not applicable |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (Hughenden south) crossing | Not applicable | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - Yes | Not applicable |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (Hughenden north) crossing | Not applicable | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - Yes | Not applicable |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (West of Simpson Street) crossing | Not applicable | Eastbound - Yes <br> Westbound - Yes | Not applicable |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (West of Yorkshire Nelia Road) crossing | Not applicable | Northbound - Yes, incorrect use of passive control device signs Southbound - Yes, incorrect use of passive control device signs | Not applicable |


| Crossing Name | Applicable minimum <br> treatment crossings | Provides crossing <br> ahead signs | Provides diagrammatic <br> signs/ stop sign ahead <br> signs - passive only |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mount Isa Line: Landsborough <br> Highway crossing | Not applicable | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - Yes | Not applicable |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway <br> (Cloncurry) crossing | Not applicable | Eastbound - No <br> Westbound - Yes | Not applicable |
| Mount Isa Line: Diamantina <br> Developmental Road crossing | Not applicable | Eastbound - Yes <br> Southbound - Yes, <br> incorrect use of passive <br> control device signs <br> Westbound - No | Not applicable |

Based on the above, multiple rail crossings are missing required signage on approach. Furthermore, both the Flinders Highway (west of Yorkshire Nelia Road) crossing, and the Diamantina Developmental Road crossing incorrectly use passive control device signs on approach to active controlled rail crossings. These, however, are not expected to be detrimental to road safety.

## Pavement markings

The following pavement marking is required on both approaches to a passive or active rail crossing:

- RAIL X marking - unless the rail crossing on a side road is within 60 m of the major road or within a speed zone of $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ or less
- Stop or give-way lines; and
- No overtaking lines - on undivided sealed two-way roads with seal width greater than 5.5 m , extending from the crossing to the initial warning sign or the major road

An assessment of pavement markings at and on approach to rail crossings on SC roads has been completed and is shown below in Table 28.

As site staff were unable to be within 3 m of SC roads whilst outside of a vehicle, the sizing and location of pavement markings was not assessed

Table 28: Pavement marking assessment - SC roads

| Crossing Name | Pavement markings - Rail $X$ required | Pavement markings - RAIL X provided | Pavement markings - <br> Stop or give-way lines | Pavement markings - No overtaking lines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pioneer Mill: <br> Bruce Highway crossing | Northbound: Yes <br> Southbound: Yes <br> Eastbound: No <br> Westbound: No | Northbound: No <br> Southbound: No <br> Eastbound: No <br> Westbound: No | Northbound: Yes <br> Southbound: Yes <br> Eastbound: Yes <br> Westbound: Yes | Northbound: No (controlled intersection) <br> Southbound: No (controlled intersection) Eastbound: No (controlled intersection) <br> Westbound: No (controlled intersection) |
| North Coast Line: Ayr Dalbeg Road crossing | Northbound: No Southbound: No | Northbound: No Southbound: No | Northbound: Yes <br> Southbound: Yes | Northbound: Yes (breaks in centreline due to property accesses) Southbound: Yes (breaks in centreline due to property accesses) |
| Pioneer Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (east) crossing | Northbound: No Westbound: No | Northbound: No Westbound: No | Northbound: Yes Westbound: Yes | Northbound: Yes (unknown if it extends to the initial warning sign) Westbound: Yes (unknown if it extends to the initial warning sign) |
| Pioneer Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (west) crossing | Eastbound: № Westbound: No | Eastbound: No <br> Westbound: No | Eastbound: Yes <br> Westbound: Yes | Eastbound: Unknown Westbound: Unknown |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (north) crossing | Northbound: Yes Southbound: Yes | Northbound: No Southbound: No | Northbound: Yes Southbound: Yes | Northbound: No Southbound: No |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (south) crossing | Northbound: Yes Southbound: Yes | Northbound: No Southbound: No | Northbound: Yes Southbound: Yes | Northbound: No Southbound: No |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr <br> Ravenswood <br> Road (east) crossing | Eastbound: Yes <br> Westbound: No | Eastbound: No <br> Westbound: No | Eastbound: Yes Westbound: Yes | Eastbound: Yes (unknown if it extends to the initial warning sign) Westbound: Yes (unknown if it extends to the initial warning sign) |


| Crossing Name | Pavement <br> markings - Rail <br> X required | Pavement markings - RAIL X provided | Pavement markings - <br> Stop or give-way lines | Pavement markings - No overtaking lines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr <br> Ravenswood <br> Road (west) crossing | Eastbound: Yes Westbound: Yes | Eastbound: No <br> Westbound: No | Eastbound: Yes Westbound: Yes | Eastbound: No Westbound: No |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Flinders Highway (west of Gregory Developmental Road (south)) crossing | Eastbound - Yes <br> Westbound - Yes | Eastbound - Yes <br> Westbound - Yes | Eastbound - Yes <br> Westbound - Yes | Eastbound - Yes <br> Westbound - Yes |
| Mount Isa Line: Aramac Torrens Creek Road crossing | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - No | Northbound - <br> Unknown <br> Southbound - No | Northbound - No <br> Southbound - No | however does not extend to hold line as no hold line is provided Southbound - No |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Flinders Highway (east of Redcliffe Road) crossing | Eastbound - Yes <br> Westbound - Yes | Eastbound - Yes <br> Westbound - Yes | Eastbound - Yes <br> Westbound - Yes | Eastbound - Yes <br> Westbound - Yes |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Flinders Highway <br> (Hughenden <br> south) crossing | Northbound: No Southbound: No | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - Yes | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - Yes | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - Yes |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Flinders Highway <br> (Hughenden north) crossing | Northbound: No <br> Southbound: No | Northbound - No <br> Southbound - No | Northbound - Yes Southbound - Yes | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - Yes |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Kennedy <br> Developmental <br> Road (south) crossing | Northbound: No Southbound: No | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - No | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - No | Northbound - Unknown <br> Southbound - Unknown |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Flinders Highway <br> (West of Simpson <br> Street) crossing | Eastbound - No <br> Westbound - No | Eastbound - Yes <br> Westbound - Yes | Eastbound - Yes <br> Westbound - Yes | Eastbound - Yes <br> Westbound - Yes |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Flinders Highway (West of Yorkshire Nelia Road) crossing | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - Yes | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - No | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - Yes | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - Yes |


| Crossing Name | Pavement <br> markings - Rail <br> X required | Pavement <br> markings - RAIL <br> X provided | Pavement markings - <br> Stop or give-way lines | Pavement markings - No <br> overtaking lines |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Julia Creek <br> Kynuna Road <br> crossing | Northbound - No <br> Southbound - No | Northbound - <br> Unknown <br> Southbound - No | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - Yes | Northbound - No <br> Southbound - No |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Landsborough <br> Highway crossing | Northbound: No <br> Southbound: No | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - Yes | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - Yes | Northbound - Yes <br> Southbound - Yes |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Flinders Highway <br> (Cloncurry) <br> crossing | Eastbound - No <br> Westbound - No | Eastbound - No <br> Westbound - Yes | Eastbound - Yes <br> Westbound - Yes | Eastbound - No <br> Westbound - Yes |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Diamantina | Eastbound - No |  |  |  |
| Sevelopmental |  |  |  |  |
| Southbound - No |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound - No |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| Eastbound - Yes |
| :--- |
| Southbound - Yes |
| Westbound - Yes |$~$| Eastbound - Yes |
| :--- |
| Southbound - Yes |
| Westbound - Yes |$\quad$| Eastbound - Yes |
| :--- |
| Southbound - Yes |
| Westbound - Yes |

Based on the above, multiple rail crossings are missing required pavement markings on approach.

## Sight distance

Various sight distances have been assessed against the requirements of AS1742.7. These include:

- Stopping sight distance (SSD) - S1
- Visibility to an approaching train for the driver of a vehicle approaching a GIVE WAY sign needing to judge whether it must stop or can cross the crossing before the train arrives - S2; and
- Visibility to an approaching train for a vehicle stopped at a crossing and needing to start up and clear the crossing before the arrival of the train - S3.

The latter two are only required for passive control rail crossings.

The requirements for $\mathrm{S} 1, \mathrm{~S} 2$ and S 3 are given by the following equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{1}=\frac{\left(R_{T}+B_{T}\right) V_{v}}{3.6}+\frac{V_{v}^{2} \times S_{c}}{254(d+G)}+L_{d}+C_{v} \\
S_{2}=\frac{V_{T}}{V_{v}}\left(\frac{\left(R_{T}+B_{T}\right) V_{v}}{3.6}+\frac{V_{v}^{2} \times S_{c}}{254(d+G)}+\frac{W_{T}}{\sin Z}+2 C_{v}+C_{T}+L\right) \\
S_{3}=\frac{V_{T}}{3.6}\left(J+G_{s}\left(2 \frac{\frac{W_{R}}{\tan Z}+\frac{W_{T}}{\sin Z}+2 C_{v}+C_{T}+L}{a}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Where,
$R_{T}=$ total perception reaction time in seconds (general case assumption $=2.5 \mathrm{~s}$ )
$B_{T}=$ brake delay time (s)
$V_{v}=$ vehicle approach speed (km/h)
$S_{c}=$ unsealed road correction factor
$d=$ coefficient of longitudinal deceleration
$G=$ average approach grade in metres per metre, positive up-grade, negative down-grade
$L_{d}=$ distance from the driver to the front of the vehicle (general case assumption $=1.5$ metres)
$C_{v}=$ clearance from the vehicle stop of give-way line to the nearest rail (general case assumption $=3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ )
$V_{T}=$ the speed of the train approaching the crossing ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ )
$W_{T}=$ width, outer rail to outer rail. Of the rail tracks at the crossing (m)
$Z=$ angle between the road and the railway at the crossing (degrees)
$C_{T}=$ clearance or safety margin from the vehicle stop or give-way line on the departure side of the crossing (general case assumption $=5$ metres)
$L=$ length of design vehicle
$J=$ sum of the perception time and time to depress clutch
$G_{s}=$ grade correction factor
$W_{R}=$ width of the travelled way at the crossing (road width)
$a=$ average acceleration of the design vehicle in starting gear
Parameters, as listed and described above, were typically determined via desktop assessment, as site staff were unable to be within 3 m of SC roads whilst outside of a vehicle or determined from relevant tables in AS 1742.7.

The S1, S2 and S3 requirements at rail crossings on SC roads are shown below in Table 29.

Table 29: S1, S2 and S3 requirements at rail crossings on SC roads

| Crossing Name | S1 requirement (m) | S2 requirement (m) | S3 requirement (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pioneer Mill: Bruce Highway crossing | 193 | 125 | 273 |
| North Coast Line: Ayr Dalbeg Road crossing | 114 | 365 | 833 |
| Pioneer Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (east) crossing | 120 | 112 | 280 |
| Pioneer Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (west) crossing | 136 | 133 | 343 |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (north) crossing | 179 | 115 | 235 |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (south) crossing | 185 | 120 | 274 |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr Ravenswood Road (east) crossing | 181 | 116 | 244 |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr Ravenswood Road (west) crossing | 195 | 128 | 314 |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (west of Gregory Developmental Road (south)) crossing | 192 | 250 | 602 |
| Mount Isa Line: Aramac Torrens Creek Road crossing | 173 | 225 | 417 |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (east of Redcliffe Road) crossing | 199 | 245 | 488 |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (Hughenden south) crossing | 141 | 208 | 456 |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (Hughenden north) crossing | 97 | 205 | 444 |
| Mount Isa Line: Kennedy Developmental Road (south) crossing | 138 | 203 | 415 |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (West of Simpson Street) crossing | 96 | 201 | 437 |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (West of Yorkshire Nelia Road) crossing | 199 | 245 | 495 |
| Mount Isa Line: Julia Creek Kynuna Road crossing | 113 | 206 | 454 |
| Mount Isa Line: Landsborough Highway crossing | 108 | 194 | 421 |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (Cloncurry) crossing | 95 | 199 | 429 |
| Mount Isa Line: Diamantina Developmental Road crossing | 110 | 197 | 447 |

The stopping sight distance (S1) has been estimated using pictures and video taken during the site visit and via Google Streetview. S2 and S3 were unable to be estimated using this approach. Note that, due to technical difficulties, video was not taken at the Mount Isa Line: Kennedy Developmental Road (south) crossing and at the Pioneer Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (east) crossing in the southbound direction and thus S1 was unable to be estimated.

Further note that westbound vehicles have insufficient SSD to the Invicta Mill: Ayr Ravenswood (east) crossing due to the nearby location of the Ayr Dalbeg Road and Ayr Ravenswood Road intersection, per the S1 requirements and based on the assumed vehicle approach speed. However, as there is sufficient sight distance to the crossing from the north and south approaches to the intersection on Ayr Dalbeg Road, the SSD has been considered sufficient, noting that vehicles will also slow whilst navigating the Ayr Dalbeg Road and Ayr Ravenwood Road intersection.

Table 30: S1 on SC Roads

| Crossing Name | S1 requirement (m) | S1 estimate (m) - <br> Northbound/ <br> Westbound | S1 estimate (m) - <br> Southbound/ <br> Eastbound | S1 meets requirements <br> - Northbound/ <br> Westbound | S1 meets requirements <br> - Southbound/ <br> Eastbound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pioneer Mill: Bruce <br> Highway crossing | 193 | >200 | >200 | Yes | Yes |
| North Coast Line: Ayr Dalbeg Road crossing | 114 | >150 | >150 | Yes | Yes |
| Pioneer Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (east) crossing | 120 | >150 | Unknown | Yes | Unknown |
| Pioneer Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (west) crossing | 136 | >150 | >150 | Yes | Yes |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (north) crossing | 179 | >200 | $>200$ | Yes | Yes |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (south) crossing | 185 | >200 | $>200$ | Yes | Yes |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr Ravenswood Road (east) crossing | 181 | 29 (From Ayr Dalbeg <br> Road/ Ayr Ravenswood <br> Road intersection) | $>200$ | Yes* | Yes |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr <br> Ravenswood Road (west) crossing | 195 | $>200$ | >200 | Yes | Yes |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (west of Gregory Developmental Road (south)) crossing | 192 | $>200$ | >200 | Yes | Yes |
| Mount Isa Line: Aramac Torrens Creek Road crossing | 173 | $>200$ | 49 (From Flinders <br> Highway/ Aramac Torrens <br> Creek Road intersection) | Yes | Yes* |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders <br> Highway (east of Redcliffe Road) crossing | 199 | >200 | >200 | Yes | Yes |


| Crossing Name | S1 requirement (m) | S1 estimate (m) - <br> Northbound/ <br> Westbound | S1 estimate (m) - <br> Southbound/ <br> Eastbound | S1 meets requirements <br> - Northbound/ <br> Westbound | S1 meets requirements <br> - Southbound/ <br> Eastbound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (Hughenden south) crossing | 141 | >200 | >200 | Yes | Yes |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (Hughenden north) crossing | 97 | >200 | >200 | Yes | Yes |
| Mount Isa Line: Kennedy Developmental Road (south) crossing | 138 | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (West of Simpson Street) crossing | 96 | >200 | $>200$ | Yes | Yes |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (West of Yorkshire Nelia Road) crossing | 199 | >200 | $>200$ | Yes | Yes |
| Mount Isa Line: Julia Creek Kynuna Road crossing | 113 | >200 | 70 (From Flinders Highway/ Aramac Torrens Creek Road intersection) | Yes | Yes* |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Landsborough Highway crossing | 108 | >200 | $>200$ | Yes | Yes |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (Cloncurry) crossing |  | $>200$ | >200 | Yes | Yes |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Diamantina Developmental Road crossing | 110 | >150 | ~85 | Yes | No |

As shown above, the stopping sight distance (S1) to all rail crossings located on SC roads, where known, meets the S1 requirements, other than the eastbound approach to the Diamantina Developmental Road crossing, which is limited by vegetation and a horizontal curve.

## Queueing

Due to the Mount Isa Line running parallel to the Flinders Highway along much of its extent, there are multiple locations on the Project route in which intersections with the Flinders Highway are located in close proximity to rail crossings. As a result, both due to queuing on the minor road at intersections and due to queueing at train tracks when waiting for a train to pass, there is potential for vehicles to block either the intersections or the rail crossing.

Locations where there is an intersection with a SC road within proximity of a rail line is shown in Table 31.

Table 31: Distance between rail crossing and nearest intersection

| Crossing Name | Distance to northern/ <br> eastern intersection (track <br> to hold line) | Distance to southern/ <br> western intersection (track <br> to hold line) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mount Isa Line: Amity Road crossing | 300 m | - |
| Mount Isa Line: Braceborough Road (west) crossing | 25 m | - |
| Mount Isa Line: Red Road crossing | 35 m | - |
| Mount Isa Line: Laidlow Crossing | 35 m | 44 m |
| Mount Isa Line: Lyons Creek Road crossing | 142 m | - |
| Mount Isa Line: Aramac Torrens Creek Road crossing | 49 m | - |
| Mount Isa Line: Cotonvale Road crossing | 87 m | - |
| Mount Isa Line: Prairie Road crossing | 35 m | - |
| Mount Isa Line: Kennedy Energy Park Access Track | 77 m | - |
| crossing | - |  |
| Mount Isa Line: Unnamed Road (off Flinders Highway | 39 m | - |
| to PTL-FLR_284 to FLR-DJR_82) crossing |  | - |
| Mount Isa Line: Thornhill Tamworth Road crossing | 38 m | - |
| Mount Isa Line: Marathon Stamford Road crossing | 590 m | - |
| Mount Isa Line: Barabon Terranburby Road crossing | 735 m | - |
| Mount Isa Line: Benean Road crossing | 37 m | - |
| Mount Isa Line: Pattel Drive crossing | - | - |
| Mount Isa Line: Yorkshire Road crossing | 136 m | -m |
| Mount Isa Line: Julia Creek Kynuna Road crossing | 70 m | - |
| Mount Isa Line: Landsborough Highway crossing | 470 m | -17 m |
| Mount Isa Line: Diamantina Developmental Road <br> (Council) crossing | - |  |

### 3.1.4 Locations and structures of interest

Table 32 details other locations or structures of interest that were identified during the site investigations. Locations or structures of interest typically are those which may require change of proposed routes or cause an increased risk for traffic generated by the project within the study area. They include bridges, school zones, tight curves and turns, cattle grids, floodways and roads with load limits or that are B-double exempt. Note that some locations and structures of interest may have been missed, particularly culverts and the like, due to them often being difficult to see whilst driving at higher speeds. A further assessment of loading of bridge structures (bridges and culverts) should be undertaken prior to the start of construction.

Table 32: Locations and structures of interest on SC roads

| Road ID | Road name | Road owner | Location/ structure type | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | Bruce Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.5873136 | 147.3979048 |
| 5 | Bruce Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.58951723 | 147.3976249 |
| 5 | Bruce Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.59213427 | 147.3972898 |
| 5 | Bruce Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.59869997 | 147.3940619 |
| 6 | Ayr Dalbeg Road | TMR | Rail crossing | -19.63140201 | 147.3869371 |
| 6 | Ayr Dalbeg Road | TMR | Bridge | -19.63785768 | 147.3814824 |
| 6 | Ayr Dalbeg Road | TMR | Rail crossing | -19.66112424 | 147.3463815 |
| 6 | Ayr Dalbeg Road | TMR | Culvert | -19.68473535 | 147.3294371 |
| 6 | Ayr Dalbeg Road | TMR | Rail crossing | -19.70251313 | 147.2938815 |
| 6 | Ayr Dalbeg Road | TMR | Rail crossing | -19.79334647 | 147.2336037 |
| 6 | Ayr Dalbeg Road | TMR | Rail crossing | -19.81826225 | 147.2280706 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.52066279 | 146.8639072 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.54581416 | 146.8611026 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.4740045 | 146.8558436 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.43443916 | 146.8550866 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.31054361 | 146.8447707 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.30950224 | 146.8446954 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.30257962 | 146.8426433 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.28738381 | 146.8412426 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.71600844 | 146.8410689 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.68767109 | 146.8408255 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.42166592 | 146.8402175 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.33589701 | 146.8399049 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.33614256 | 146.8395204 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.33651753 | 146.8388861 |


| Road ID | Road name | Road owner | Location/ structure type | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.59699892 | 146.8376819 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.6428964 | 146.8365393 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.63364702 | 146.8365366 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.61153864 | 146.8365316 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.61374045 | 146.8365308 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.37314717 | 146.8358726 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.26992148 | 146.8357787 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.6529273 | 146.835214 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.75785216 | 146.8351776 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.67197301 | 146.8348542 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.34211958 | 146.8345503 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.65926716 | 146.8343126 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.34304654 | 146.8342551 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.39437264 | 146.8320273 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.3855823 | 146.830909 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.79671382 | 146.822751 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.8126923 | 146.814282 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.82202916 | 146.7902606 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.83584616 | 146.7261745 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.83459807 | 146.708141 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.83327088 | 146.7005461 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.83642017 | 146.6912286 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.84157253 | 146.6863508 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.85177516 | 146.66636 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.87372452 | 146.6395536 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.88286122 | 146.6127942 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.90020913 | 146.5914483 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.90190777 | 146.5889255 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.93670918 | 146.5247936 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.9382547 | 146.5225906 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.96628459 | 146.4935478 |


| Road ID | Road name | Road owner | Location/ structure type | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -19.97163145 | 146.4881404 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -19.99829519 | 146.4392386 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.00899413 | 146.4056305 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.01365419 | 146.3906826 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.02026176 | 146.3752709 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.024336 | 146.3670834 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.02618539 | 146.3634674 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.02701493 | 146.361855 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.03285932 | 146.3528096 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.04065583 | 146.3364858 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.04673178 | 146.3219544 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.05048716 | 146.3158446 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.05467453 | 146.310256 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.0593532 | 146.3034776 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.06340163 | 146.2950992 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.07096706 | 146.2771803 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.07399459 | 146.2771226 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.08839117 | 146.2627664 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.11279935 | 146.237485 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.11505999 | 146.2249467 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Rail crossing | -20.12190621 | 146.1785086 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.12072491 | 146.1726039 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.12576559 | 146.1417793 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.12827921 | 146.1338016 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.17461708 | 145.9921707 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.21473623 | 145.9124796 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.29334088 | 145.7657481 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.30703834 | 145.737959 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.31724366 | 145.7189407 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.32340419 | 145.7101181 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.3455088 | 145.6756737 |


| Road ID | Road name | Road owner | Location/ structure type | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.35578733 | 145.6635762 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.35738854 | 145.6617166 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.35936324 | 145.6593376 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | School zone <br> (Homestead <br> State School - <br> 60 zone (8am to 9am and 2:30pm to $3: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ )) | -20.36003924 | 145.6584766 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.36797054 | 145.6462182 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.39357425 | 145.6049604 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.40318364 | 145.5908469 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.41473141 | 145.5719257 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.43278625 | 145.5470556 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.43962507 | 145.5341779 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.44147816 | 145.5325158 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.45626875 | 145.5095199 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.4580112 | 145.5073903 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.47568825 | 145.4764846 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.51849526 | 145.4038935 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.58449386 | 145.3466765 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.63192346 | 145.3124558 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.64569127 | 145.2924766 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.71841227 | 145.2184186 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.76818102 | 145.0327662 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.8223237 | 144.8171786 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | School zone (Prairie State School - 40 zone (7am to 9am and 2 pm to 4pm)) | -20.87088731 | 144.6061855 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.8767069 | 144.4719753 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.87620971 | 144.46555 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.86973832 | 144.4008565 |


| Road ID | Road name | Road owner | Location/ structure type | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.86923375 | 144.3957958 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Rail crossing | -20.86573533 | 144.3201515 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.86759887 | 144.3185899 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.87142709 | 144.2947931 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.87209366 | 144.2913426 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Rail crossing | -20.86299938 | 144.2032117 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Rail crossing | -20.84657134 | 144.1998615 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.84282673 | 144.1948405 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.84088859 | 144.1773307 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.84827126 | 144.1575258 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.86735678 | 144.0087713 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.88021928 | 143.7678219 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.88164399 | 143.7624969 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.88232007 | 143.7609064 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.88354241 | 143.7554193 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.86492235 | 143.5947326 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.86393779 | 143.5863282 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.86383312 | 143.5854424 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.86008225 | 143.5534759 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.85393095 | 143.5010816 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.85080381 | 143.4745102 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.84289101 | 143.4443007 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.81490457 | 143.322801 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | School zone <br> (Richmond State <br> School - 40 zone <br> (7am to 9am and 2 pm to 4pm)) | -20.7324174 | 143.1432664 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Rail crossing | -20.73426597 | 143.1402458 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.73840401 | 143.1203653 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.73904811 | 143.1189741 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.73001801 | 142.7758365 |


| Road ID | Road name | Road owner | Location/ structure type | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.72989187 | 142.7691869 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.72978024 | 142.7679465 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.70611741 | 142.5546614 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.70469989 | 142.5524746 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.70054204 | 142.536978 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.70052182 | 142.5367868 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.69913352 | 142.5262072 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.69894436 | 142.5255245 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.68530682 | 142.4710762 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.67508167 | 142.1850278 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.67089619 | 142.1660142 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.67113873 | 142.1622011 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.65249648 | 141.8870443 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.65693286 | 141.7608431 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.65733486 | 141.7590965 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.65414717 | 141.543563 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.63830501 | 141.4443761 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.63980538 | 141.4421015 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.64035106 | 141.4412798 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.64092055 | 141.4404174 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.64700666 | 141.4312079 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.64741926 | 141.4305796 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.64772835 | 141.4301097 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.65125769 | 141.4245558 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.65185094 | 141.4233724 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.6523894 | 141.4222668 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.65264691 | 141.4217624 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.65297414 | 141.4210824 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.65322193 | 141.4205724 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.62187007 | 141.3293628 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.62224298 | 141.3269049 |


| Road ID | Road name | Road owner | Location/ structure type | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.62217402 | 141.29891 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.62783642 | 141.1749219 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.62770606 | 141.1721432 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.6274287 | 141.1662022 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.64962734 | 141.0891365 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.64981152 | 141.0837302 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.64981152 | 141.0837302 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.6498217 | 141.083245 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.63804399 | 140.9837015 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.63662407 | 140.959947 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.63728653 | 140.9467142 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.63734857 | 140.9452807 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.65992817 | 140.885327 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.66040565 | 140.8842952 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.66096303 | 140.8830916 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.66223253 | 140.8803382 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.66477767 | 140.874839 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.73858081 | 140.6864786 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.73714125 | 140.6797689 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.73766494 | 140.671732 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.73766755 | 140.6693389 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.73348926 | 140.6521946 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.73306533 | 140.6508284 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.72529265 | 140.627921 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.71667524 | 140.5696204 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.71300229 | 140.5524965 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.71130496 | 140.5403693 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.70704394 | 140.5157364 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Rail crossing | -20.70700415 | 140.5108142 |


| Road ID | Road name | Road owner | Location/ structure type | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Road train restriction (Between Station Street and Isley Street from 6:00am to 10:00pm) | -20.70700355 | 140.5105952 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.70564282 | 140.5007483 |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.70475434 | 140.4975991 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Rail crossing | -19.81873165 | 147.2276015 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Culvert | -19.81748489 | 147.2174621 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Culvert | -19.81411226 | 147.1947603 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Culvert | -19.80951406 | 147.1705543 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Rail crossing | -19.81056869 | 147.1677704 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Culvert | -19.81556869 | 147.1566593 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Cattle grid | -19.83279092 | 147.1399926 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Culvert | -19.83362425 | 147.1363815 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | 2 x floodway | -19.85112425 | 147.1197148 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Cattle Grid | -19.8555687 | 147.118326 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Floodway | -19.86834647 | 147.1172149 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Cattle Grid | -19.89029092 | 147.113326 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Floodway | -19.91529092 | 147.0869371 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Floodway and power line crossing road | -19.92029092 | 147.0813815 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | 3 x floodway | -19.93001314 | 147.0574926 |


| Road ID | Road name | Road owner | Location/ structure type | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood <br> Road | TMR | Floodway | -19.93640203 | 147.0461037 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Cattle grid and floodway | -19.97251315 | 147.0113815 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Floodway | -19.9755687 | 147.0086037 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Floodway | -19.98029093 | 147.0044371 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood <br> Road | TMR | Floodway | -19.98723537 | 146.9997149 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Floodway and speed reduced due to sharp turn | -20.00612426 | 146.9980482 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood <br> Road | TMR | Floodway | -20.02640204 | 146.9838815 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | $3 x$ floodways and powerline crossing road | -20.03251315 | 146.9730482 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Floodway and steep approach | -20.04056871 | 146.9588815 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood <br> Road | TMR | Floodway and steep incline | -20.04362426 | 146.9522149 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Floodway | -20.04501315 | 146.9497149 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Floodway | -20.04667982 | 146.9427704 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Floodway | -20.04667982 | 146.9397149 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Floodway | -20.05279093 | 146.9319371 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Cattle grid | -20.07029093 | 146.9224926 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Cattle grid | -20.08473538 | 146.9111037 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Culvert | -20.0974377 | 146.8939032 |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Culvert | -20.099861 | 146.8900324 |


| Road ID | Road name | Road owner | Location/ <br> structure type | Latitude | Longitude |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood <br> Road | TMR | Bridge | -20.10010725 | 146.8891636 |
| 11 | Burdekin Falls Dam <br> Road | TMR | Bridge | -20.08347056 | 146.8577354 |
| 11 | Burdekin Falls Dam <br> Road | TMR | Cattle grid | -20.0769576 | 146.8480482 |
| 11 | Burdekin Falls Dam <br> Road | TMR | Bridge | -20.07204816 | 146.8417606 |
| 11 | Burdekin Falls Dam <br> Road | TMR | Cattle grid | -20.05556872 | 146.8174926 |
| 11 | Burdekin Falls Dam <br> Road | TMR | Cattle grid | -20.04612427 | 146.8141593 |
| 11 | Burdekin Falls Dam <br> Road | TMR | Bridge | -20.1712062 | 146.2206446 |
| 11 | Gregory <br> Developmental <br> Road (south) | TMR | Culvert | -20.14277918 | 146.2343837 |
| 11 | Gregory <br> Revelopmental <br> Road (south) | TMR | Culvert | -20.00037062 | 146.7703944 |
| 11 | Road | Gregory <br> Developmental <br> Revelopmental | TMR | TMR | Cattle grid |


| Road ID | Road name | Road owner | Location/ structure type | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | Gregory Developmental Road (south) | TMR | Heavy vehicle stopover bays/ Inspection bays | -20.18167987 | 146.2177704 |
| 26 | Gregory Developmental Road (south) | TMR | Culvert | -20.26147998 | 146.1816546 |
| 37 | Aramac Torrens Creek Road | TMR | Railway crossing | -20.77188328 | 145.0147655 |
| 45 | Kennedy Developmental Road (south) | TMR | School zone <br> (Hughenden <br> State School - <br> 40 zone (8am to 9am and 2:30pm to $3: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ )) | $-20.84500734$ | 144.1978025 |
| 45 | Kennedy Developmental Road (south) | TMR | Rail crossing | -20.85709034 | $144.1897965$ |
| 54 | Richmond Winton Road | TMR | Cattle grid | -20.74418041 | 143.1108754 |
| 54 | Richmond Winton Road | TMR | Rail crossing | -20.74279118 | 143.1105479 |
| 54 | Richmond Winton Road | TMR | Floodway | -20.78640229 | 143.1097146 |
| 54 | Richmond Winton Road | TMR | Change of road condition to single lane | -20.79612441 | 143.1080484 |
| 54 | Richmond Winton Road | TMR | Flood plain | -20.84806896 | 143.0811035 |
| 54 | Richmond Winton Road | TMR | Change of road condition to single lane | -20.86223541 | 143.0761034 |
| 61 | Julia Creek Kynuna Road | TMR | Cattle grid | -20.66806948 | 141.7447145 |
| 68 | Landsborough Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.79370057 | 140.755545 |
| 68 | Landsborough Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.77061656 | 140.7014242 |
| 68 | Landsborough Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.75607554 | 140.6704555 |
| 68 | Landsborough Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.74493548 | 140.6516306 |


| Road ID | Road name | Road owner | Location/ structure type | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68 | Landsborough Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.74012562 | 140.6420506 |
| 68 | Landsborough Highway | TMR | Rail crossing and cattle grid | -20.73249954 | 140.6340902 |
| 68 | Landsborough Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.73145477 | 140.6332727 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Road train restriction (Between Station Street and Isley Street from 6:00am to 10:00pm) | $-20.70481855$ | 140.4982422 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.70338339 | 140.4911692 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.70204319 | 140.4818538 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.7172416 | 140.4540339 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.71842375 | 140.4434173 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Heavy vehicle rest area | -20.71768755 | 140.4073252 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.71758911 | 140.3468973 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.71830408 | 140.3002189 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.72172739 | 140.2965298 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.72501618 | 140.2949864 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.75755539 | 140.2332814 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.76308571 | 140.2076545 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.76780381 | 140.1957039 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.7680781 | 140.1922846 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.76986299 | 140.1729017 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.7715125 | 140.1699302 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.77277094 | 140.1662913 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.77290297 | 140.1610144 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.77645995 | 140.1458366 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.77788407 | 140.1359998 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.77994874 | 140.124857 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.78053733 | 140.122441 |


| Road ID | Road name | Road owner | Location/ structure type | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.78179313 | 140.1147999 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.78871043 | 140.0803794 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.80045957 | 140.0694307 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.81902857 | 140.0586041 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.82535339 | 140.0352331 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.82381848 | 140.0282829 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.80365358 | 140.0013702 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.79557355 | 139.9818997 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.78076952 | 139.97288 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.76956772 | 139.9655279 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.76251599 | 139.9549532 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.75183842 | 139.932456 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.75569355 | 139.9250759 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.76108084 | 139.9130288 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.76138591 | 139.8957757 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.76270924 | 139.8808451 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.76331132 | 139.8698836 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.76483098 | 139.8655646 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.76318969 | 139.8610646 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.76138198 | 139.857741 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.76037639 | 139.8408746 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.7608118 | 139.8383626 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.75975476 | 139.8325209 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.72992399 | 139.8147024 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.72850201 | 139.8120598 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.72310967 | 139.8019369 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.71807675 | 139.7887065 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.71671741 | 139.7770964 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.71737339 | 139.7726197 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.71883012 | 139.761024 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.71826081 | 139.7487546 |


| Road ID | Road name | Road owner | Location/ structure type | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.71758815 | 139.7360129 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.70525692 | 139.6959501 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.70188804 | 139.6805084 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.70403968 | 139.6508009 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Culvert | -20.72362783 | 139.5203527 |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | TMR | Bridge | -20.72410201 | 139.4896386 |
| 76 | Burke <br> Developmental <br> Road | TMR | Culvert | $-20.69671417$ | 140.4869883 |
| 76 | Burke <br> Developmental <br> Road | TMR | Culvert | -20.68118499 | 140.4852432 |
| 81 | Mount Isa Duchess Road | TMR | Bridge | -20.75829372 | 139.4975964 |
| 83 | Diamantina Developmental Road | TMR | Rail crossing | -20.7447626 | 139.4849911 |
| 83 | Diamantina <br> Developmental <br> Road | TMR | Culvert | $-20.75549175$ | 139.4841194 |

### 3.1.5 Crash history

Queensland Government's Queensland Globe has been utilised to investigate the most recent 10-year crash history (2013 to Mid-2021 - 2022 not available) along the Project route. All data along the Proposed route was downloaded and analysed and is presented below in Table 33.

Table 33: Crash history - most recent 10-year period

| Location | Road owner | Roadway feature | Crash severity | Count | Prominent crash types |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Townsville City Council |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flinders Highway | TMR | Midblock | Fatal - 3 <br> Hospitalisation-29 <br> Medical treatment - 10 <br> Minor injury - 1 | 43 | Off-path on curve - 7 <br> Off-path on straight - 17 <br> Vehicles from same direction - 5 <br> Passenger and miscellaneous <br> (Hit animal) - 5 <br> Vehicles from opposing <br> directions - 6 |
|  |  | Intersections | Hospitalisation - 10 <br> Medical treatment - 5 |  | Vehicles from same direction-6 |
| Townsville Port Road | TMR | Midblock | Fatal-1 <br> Hospitalisation-13 <br> Medical treatment - 3 | 17 | Off-path on straight - 4 Vehicles manoeuvring - 6 |
|  |  | Intersections | Medical treatment - 1 |  |  |

Burdekin Shire Council

| Bruce Highway | TMR | Midblock | Hospitalisation-10 <br> Medical treatment - 1 <br> Minor injury - 1 | 12 | Pedestrian - 1 <br> Rear end - 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Intersections | Hospitalisation - 4 <br> Medical treatment - 4 | 8 | Vehicles from adjacent directions - 4 |
| Ayr Dalbeg Road | TMR | Midblock | Fatal - 1 <br> Hospitalisation - 2 <br> Medical treatment - 3 | 6 |  |
|  |  | Intersections | Medical treatment - 1 | 1 |  |
| Ayr Ravenswood Road | TMR | Midblock | Hospitalisation - 4 <br> Medical treatment - 1 <br> Minor injury - 1 | 6 |  |
| Charters Towers Regional Council |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flinders Highway | TMR | Midblock | Fatal - 8 <br> Hospitalisation - 50 <br> Medical treatment - 24 <br> Minor injury - 3 | 85 | Pedestrian - 3 <br> Off path on curve - 18 <br> Off path on straight - 39 |
|  |  | Intersections | Hospitalisation-7 <br> Medical treatment - 4 <br> Minor injury - 1 | 12 | Vehicles from adjacent directions - 5 |


| Location | Road owner | Roadway feature | Crash severity | Count | Prominent crash types |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Burdekin Falls <br> Dam Road | TMR | Midblock | Hospitalisation - 3 <br> Medical treatment - 3 | 6 |  |
|  |  | Intersections | Hospitalisation-1 | 1 |  |
| Gregory Developmental Road (north) | TMR | Midblock | Hospitalisation-1 | 1 |  |
|  |  | Intersections | Hospitalisation - 6 <br> Medical treatment - 3 | 9 | Vehicles from adjacent directions-8 |
| Millchester Road | CTRC | Midblock | Hospitalisation-2 | 2 |  |
| Broughton Road | CTRC | Midblock | Hospitalisation-2 | 2 |  |
| Bluff Road | CTRC | Midblock | Fatal - 1 <br> Hospitalisation - 1 <br> Medical treatment - 1 | 3 |  |
|  |  | Intersections | Hospitalisation - 1 <br> Medical treatment - 1 | 2 |  |
| Gregory <br> Developmental <br> Road (south) | TMR | Midblock | Hospitalisation - 3 <br> Medical treatment - 2 |  |  |
| Longton Road | CTRC | Midblock | Hospitalisation-1 | 1 |  |
| Flinders Shire Council |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flinders Highway | TMR | Midblock | Fatal-1 <br> Hospitalisation - 26 <br> Medical treatment - 7 <br> Minor injury - 1 | 35 | Pedestrian - 1 <br> Off path on straight - 26 |
| Kennedy Developmental Road (south) | TMR | Midblock | Hospitalisation-1 | 1 | Pedestrian-1 |
| Richmond Shire Council |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flinders Highway | TMR | Midblock | Hospitalisation-7 <br> Medical treatment - 1 | 8 | Off path on straight - 8 |
|  |  | Intersection | Hospitalisation - 1 <br> Medical treatment - 1 | 2 |  |
|  |  | Intersection | Hospitalisation | 1 |  |
| Mckinlay Shire Council |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flinders Highway | TMR | Midblock | Hospitalisation - 10 <br> Medical treatment - 2 <br> Minor injury - 1 | 13 | Off path on curve - 4 Off path on straight - 7 |
|  |  | Intersection | Hospitalisation-1 | 1 |  |
| Mckinlay Gilliat Road | MSC | Midblock | Hospitalisation-1 | 1 |  |


| Location | Road owner | Roadway feature | Crash severity | Count | Prominent crash types |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cloncurry Shire Council |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flinders Highway | TMR | Midblock | Fatal - 1 <br> Hospitalisation-7 <br> Medical treatment - 1 | 9 | Off path on straight - 5 |
|  |  | Intersection | Hospitalisation-3 | 3 |  |
| Landsborough Highway | TMR | Midblock | Fatal - 1 <br> Hospitalisation-1 <br> Medical treatment - 1 | 3 |  |
| Andrew Daniels Drive | CSC | Intersection | Hospitalisation-1 | 1 |  |
| Hensley Drive | CSC | Midblock | Minor injury - 1 | 1 |  |
| Burke <br> Developmental Road | TMR | Intersection | Medical treatment - 1 | 1 |  |
| Barkly Highway | TMR | Midblock | Fatal-5 <br> Hospitalisation-23 <br> Medical treatment - 8 <br> Minor injury - 1 | 37 | Off path on curve - 9 <br> Off path on straight - 17 <br> Vehicles from opposing direction - 6 |
|  |  | Intersection | Medical treatment - 1 |  |  |
| Mount Isa City Council |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barkly Highway | TMR | Midblock | Hospitalisation - 20 Medical treatment - 2 Minor injury - 2 | 24 | Pedestrian - 1 <br> Off path on curve - 4 <br> Off path on straight - 11 |
|  |  | Intersection | Fatal-2 <br> Hospitalisation-18 <br> Medical treatment - 8 <br> Minor injury - 1 | 29 | Pedestrian - 2 <br> Vehicles from adjacent <br> directions - 21 <br> Vehicles from opposing direction $-4$ |
| Mount Isa <br> Duchess Road | TMR | Midblock | Fatal - 1 <br> Hospitalisation-8 <br> Medical treatment - 3 <br> Minor injury - 3 | 15 | Pedestrian - 3 <br> Manoeuvring-7 |
|  |  | Intersection | Hospitalisation-2 <br> Medical treatment - 4 <br> Minor injury - 3 | 9 | Pedestrian-2 |
| Twenty Third Avenue | MICC | Midblock | Hospitalisation - 2 <br> Medical treatment - 1 | 3 | Pedestrian-2 |
|  |  | Intersection | Hospitalisation - 3 <br> Medical treatment - 2 | 5 | Pedestrian-1 |


| Location | Road <br> owner | Roadway <br> feature | Crash severity | Count | Prominent crash types |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diamantina <br> Developmental <br> Road | TMR | Midblock | Medical treatment -1 | 1 |  |
|  | Intersection | Hospitalisation -6 <br> Medical treatment -2 | 8 |  |  |
| Boulia Mount Isa <br> Road | TMR | Midblock | Hospitalisation-2 | 2 |  |

A total of 452 crashes were recorded along the Project route during the period from the start of 2013 to Mid-2021, of which 419 of these occurred on SC roads.

A heat map of crashes along the Project route during the period is shown below in Figure 32.


Figure 32: Crash history heat map

## Crash patterns

## Crashes by year

Shown below in Figure 33, crashes per year have generally stayed consistent, although there has been somewhat of a downturn since 2015. This may be due to recent improvements to the condition and safety of the Flinders and Barkly Highways in particular, the modernisation and thus improved safety of vehicles (noting the Queensland Government does not record crashes that result in property damage only) or several other factors, including weather, fluctuating traffic volumes and the like. Note that both SC roads and LGA roads have been included in the below crash patterns.


Figure 33: Crashes by year

## Crashes by hour

The sum of crashes per hour during the period of analysis is shown below in Figure 34, and highlights that a greater number of crashes occurred during the morning and afternoon peak periods, during which more vehicles utilise the Project route.


Figure 34: Crashes per hour

## Types of crashes

The types of crashes that occurred along the Project route are shown below in Figure 35. As evidenced, the most frequent type of crash along the route is due to vehicles exiting the carriageway on straight sections of road, often hitting objects such as trees or road furniture. Other frequent crash types included off path on curve crashes, and vehicles from adjacent, opposite or the same direction, the latter of which is primarily comprised of rear-end crashes. A further breakdown of the types of crashes along the Project route during the most recent 10-year period is attached in Appendix $B$.

Types of crashes


Figure 35: Crash types

## Crash Location

The location of crashes has furthermore been determined in relation to the feature of the road (i.e. whether the crash occurred at a midblock, intersection, bridge, etcetera) and is shown below in Figure 36. As is evidenced, $71 \%$ of crashes occurred at midblocks and $23 \%$ occurred at intersections. The low number of crashes at intersections as compared to at midblocks is thought to be based on the rurality of the Project route and thus the low volumes of vehicle turning movements.


Road feature
Figure 36: Crash location - road feature

## Off path on curve crashes

Six off path on curve crashes were recorded within 2.5 km on the horizontal curves east of Mingela, four of which resulted in hospitalisations, one required medical treatment to be administered at the scene and a further one resulted in minor injury. The crashes may be partly attributed to both the horizontal curves and relatively steep grade at this section of the Flinders Highway, as well as high vehicle speed, noting that overtaking lanes are provided in both directions along this section.


Figure 37: Off path on curve crashes - Mingela

## Vehicles from adjacent approach crashes

Five vehicles from adjacent approaches crashes were recorded at the Gregory Developmental Road/ Prior Street/ Peek Street intersection during the most recent 10-year period, with one additional vehicles from opposite approaches crash having also occurred at the intersection. Three of the vehicles from adjacent approaches crashes resulted in hospitalisations and another two resulted in medical treatment needing to be administered.

Based on the intersection geometry, there are no obvious reasons for the high number of crashes, other than both Prior Street and Peek Street being the major road for intersections both to the north and south, thus potentially resulting in drivers on the minor roads assuming they have right of way. Due to the crash history, it was determined that Prior Street should not be utilised as part of the Project route.


Figure 38: Vehicles from adjacent approach crashes - Gregory Developmental Road/ Prior Street/ Peek Street intersection

## Pedestrian crashes

Eleven crashes involving pedestrians were recorded along the Project route in the centre of Mount Isa, five on Mount Isa Duchess Road, three on Twenty Third Avenue and three on the Barkly Highway. One crash at the Barkly Highway/ East Street intersection resulted in a fatality and occurred in daylight. A further eight crashes resulted in hospitalisations and two required medical treatment to be administered. The high number of pedestrian crashes highlights friction in the movements of vehicles and pedestrians and the need to be vigilant when navigating these roads, particularly in the vicinity of schools, at which three of the crashes occurred.


## Vehicles from same direction crashes

Seven vehicles from same direction crashes were recorded on the Bruce Highway south of Ayr, five of which resulted in hospitalisation and two required medical treatment to be administered at the scene. Such crashes are expected to be a result of the comparatively high level of vehicles that travel along this section of the Bruce Highway per day and do not represent an issue with the safety of the existing road infrastructure.


Figure 40: Vehicles from same direction crashes

## Vehicles leaving driveway crashes

A total of 13 vehicles leaving driveway crashes were recorded along the Project route, of which five were recorded along Townsville Port Road and six were recorded along Mount Isa Duchess Road. The crashes on Townsville Port Road, which included one fatal crash and four crashes resulting in hospitalisations, are expected to have occurred whilst vehicles entered the road from the verge. Based on the sight distance, road geometry and speed limit on this section of Townsville Port Road, such a crash rate is considered unusual and likely a result of driver inattention or poor judgement rather than a specific infrastructure issue. The crashes along Mount Isa Duchess Road, which included three crashes which resulted in hospitalisation, one crash which required medical treatment to be administered and two crashes resulting in minor injuries, occurred both from vehicles exiting from 90-degree median parking and from the various offstreet car parks along the road. Such crashes are not uncommon in urban areas with various conflicting movements.


Figure 41: Vehicle leaving driveway crashes - Townsville Port Road


Figure 42: Vehicle leaving driveway crashes - Mount Isa Duchess Road

## Speed zones

Crash severity is greatly influenced by the speed of vehicles. Figure 43 shows that along the Project route, fatal crashes primarily occurred in speed zones of 100 or $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, although it was noted that crashes resulting in hospitalisations accounted for between 68 and $70 \%$ of all crashes in $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}, 80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and 100 to $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed zones, respectively. Note that the speed zone doesn't generally reflect the speed of collision, however it is expected that crashes occurring in higher speed zones would typically occur at greater speeds.


Figure 43: Crashes by speed zone

## 4. Proposed development traffic

### 4.1 Overview

Many different components of the CopperString 2032 project generate traffic onto the public road network. These include:

- Construction, operation and demobilisation of the worker camps
- Construction and operational maintenance of the transmission line; and
- Construction and operational maintenance of the substations.

The item that results in the highest traffic generation on the road network and has therefore been assessed in this report is shown in Table 34.

Table 34: Traffic generation project phases

| Construction item | Construction phase traffic | Operational phase traffic |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Camps |  | X |
| Transmission line | X |  |
| Substations | X |  |

### 4.2 Camp operation traffic

### 4.2.1 Operational traffic information

## Workforce

As discussed, there are 6 camps located along the CopperString project length. Each camp is proposed to house a maximum number of workers with those numbers differing from camp-to-camp dependent on the location of the next nearby camp and the number of transmission towers and substations in its designated area.

The maximum workforce for each camp is as follows:

- Charters Towers 210
- Pentland 300
- Hughenden 410
- Richmond 210
- Julia Creek 210; and
- Cloncurry 230.

It is noted that existing local accommodation will also be utilised at Townsville and Mt Isa.

## Vehicle types and use

The following vehicle types would be generated by the camps:

- Light crew vehicles
- 12-seater minibuses (to take larger crews)
- Rigid crew trucks with equipment
- Rigid delivery trucks to take materials in and out of the camps
- Truck and dog vehicles to take materials in and out of the camps
- Semi-trailers to take materials in and out of the camps; and
- B-double trucks to take materials in and out of the camps.


## Workforce movement and traffic routes

All movements in and out of the camps will take the most direct route to the nearest major highway (generally either the Flinders or Barkly Highway) and travel to their destination.

Generally, all workers will depart the camp in the morning peak hour (6:30am to 7:30am) and head to their worksite on the CopperString 2032 corridor, in the afternoon peak hour ( $6: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ to $7: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ ) they will return to the camp. Deliveries occur periodically throughout the day.

More detailed information regarding the operation and traffic routes used by the camps can be found in the CopperString Camps TIAs (see Section 1.6 of this report for references to the CopperString Camps TIAs).

### 4.2.2 Camp traffic volumes

Table 35 shows the expected traffic volumes to be generated by each camp on the expected typical busiest day and Table 36 shows the expected traffic volumes to be generated by each camp during the peak hour of the expected typical busiest day.

Table 35: Camp traffic generation - typical busiest day

| Camp | General workforce traffic generation |  |  | Deliveries/ Removing Goods Traffic <br> Generation |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Light <br> vehicles | Minibuses | Rigid trucks | Rigid trucks | Semi trailers/ <br> truck and <br> dog | B-doubles |
| Charters <br> Towers | 236 | 14 | 24 | 40 | 4 | 4 |
| Pentland | 236 | 30 | 24 | 40 | 4 | 4 |
| Hughenden | 310 | 40 | 32 | 40 | 4 | 4 |
| Richmond | 236 | 14 | 24 | 40 | 4 | 4 |
| Julia Creek | 236 | 14 | 24 | 40 | 4 | 4 |
| Cloncurry | 236 | 18 | 24 | 40 | 4 | 4 |

Table 36: Camp traffic generation - peak hour of typical busiest day

| Camp | General workforce traffic generation |  |  | Deliveries/ Removing Goods Traffic <br> Generation |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Light <br> vehicles | Minibuses | Rigid trucks | Rigid trucks | Semi trailers/ <br> truck and <br> dog | B-doubles |
| Charters <br> Towers | 118 | 7 | 12 | 4 | - | - |
| Pentland | 118 | 15 | 12 | 4 | - | - |
| Hughenden | 155 | 20 | 16 | 4 | - | - |
| Richmond | 118 | 7 | 12 | 4 | - | - |
| Julia Creek | 118 | 7 | 12 | 4 | - | - |
| Cloncurry | 118 | 9 | 12 | 4 | - | - |

### 4.3 Transmission Lines

### 4.3.1 Construction traffic information

## Construction Activities

Construction of the transmission lines results in the following activities that generate high volumes of traffic:

- Site establishment (civil, earthworks)
- Tower foundation works
- Tower assembly and erection
- Line stringing
- Anti climbing device; and
- Rehabilitation.


## Construction Vehicles

The following vehicle types would be generated during the construction works:

- Vehicles from the camps
- Water trucks
- Rigid delivery vehicles and semi-trailers and truck and dog vehicles for other materials (i.e. fill from quarries, waste removal, cages for foundations, concrete trucks etc.); and
- B-double trucks for delivery of the tower sections from Townsville.


## Construction Program

A detailed construction program is included in Appendix A.
The peak of construction around each camp or accommodation hub to the CopperString transmission line is expected to occur at the following times:

- Woodstock

Apr 2025 - Feb 2027

- Charters Towers

Nov 2024 - Sep 2026

- Pentland

Aug 2024 - Jan 2026

- Hughenden

Sept 2024 - Jul 2026

- Richmond
- Julia Creek

May 2025 - Oct 2026
Sept 2025 - May 2027

- Cloncurry
- Mt Isa

Jun 2026 - Oct 2027; and
Sep 2026 - Jan 2028.

### 4.3.2 Construction traffic volumes

Table 37 shows the expected traffic volumes to be generated in a localised area of the CopperString construction on the expected typical busiest day and Table 38 shows the expected traffic volumes to be generated in a localised area of the CopperString construction during the peak hour of the expected typical busiest day. It is noted that during the peak hours the crews travel to/ from site, with deliveries occurring periodically throughout the day.

Table 37: Transmission line construction traffic volumes (localised area) - typical busiest day

|  | Worforce traffic generation from <br> camps |  | Deliveries/ Removing Goods Traffic <br> Generation |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Light <br> vehicles | Minibuses | Rigid <br> trucks | Water <br> trucks | Rigid <br> trucks | Semi trailers/ <br> truck and <br> dog |  |
| B- | B- <br> doubles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Site Establishment, <br> Civil and Earthworks | 8 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 8 |  |
| Foundation Works | 4 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 2 | 8 |  |
| Tower Assembly and <br> Erection | 18 <br> (assembly) |  |  | 10 | 10 |  |  |
| Line Stringing | 8 (erection) | 4 <br> (assembly) | (assembly) | 10 | 10 | 6 |  |
| Anti Climbing Device | 2 (erection) |  |  |  | 10 | 4 |  |
| Rehabilitation | 24 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 4 |  |  |

Table 38: Transmission line construction traffic volumes (localised area) - peak hour of typical busiest day

|  | Worforce traffic generation from camps |  | Deliveries/ Removing Goods Traffic Generation |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Construction <br> Item | Light <br> vehicles | Minibuses | Rigid <br> trucks | Water <br> trucks | Rigid <br> trucks | Semi <br> trailers/ <br> truck and <br> dog | B-doubles |
| Site <br> Establishment, <br> Civil and <br> Earthworks | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foundation <br> Works | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Tower <br> Assembly and <br> Erection | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (assembly) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line Stringing | 4 (erection) | 2 (assembly) | 2 | (assembly) |  |  |  |
| Anti Climbing | 1 (erection) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Device |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Overlap of Construction Stages

Based on the construction program, roads and access routes which access a large number of towers may carry traffic for multiple construction stages.

Generally, the site establishment works occur well before other construction stages. For roads and access points that access few towers, this stage is likely to generate the highest traffic volumes.

Between Woodstock and Hughenden, the construction program is condensed, there is potential for foundation works, tower assembly and erection and line stringing to overlap.

Between Hughenden and Mt Isa, the construction program is less condensed, there is still potential for tower assembly and erection and line stringing to overlap.

Table 39 shows the overlap of crews on roads and at access points, between Woodstock and Hughenden, dependent on the number of towers it services.

Table 39: Overlap of construction phases - Woodstock to Hughenden

| No of towers being <br> serviced by a road or <br> access point | No. of crews on typical peak day |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Site Establishment, <br> Civil and Earthworks | Foundation <br> Works | Tower <br> Assembly and <br> Erection | Line <br> Stringing | Anti <br> Climbing <br> Device |
| 1 tower | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 towers | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 towers |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 20 towers |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |
| 50 towers |  |  |  |  |  |

Based on the above, between Woodstock and Hughenden, the number of vehicle movements generated by overlap of construction stages for a peak day and a peak hour are shown in Table 40 and Table 41.

Table 40: Construction traffic volumes on typical busiest day based on number of towers accessed - Woodstock to Hughenden

| No of towers <br> being <br> serviced by a <br> road or <br> access point | Site <br> Establishment, <br> Civil and <br> Earthworks | Foundation <br> Works | Tower <br> Assembly <br> and Erection | Line <br> Stringing | Anti <br> Climbing <br> Device | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 62 |  |  |  |  | 62 |
| 5 towers | 62 | 28 | 44 |  | 62 |  |
| 10 towers |  | 56 | 88 |  | 72 |  |
| 20 towers |  | 56 | 176 | 60 | 144 |  |
| 50 towers |  |  |  |  | 292 |  |

Table 41: Construction traffic volumes at peak hour of typical busiest day based on the number of towers accessed - Woodstock to Hughenden

| No of towers <br> being <br> serviced by a <br> road or <br> access point | Site <br> Establishment, | Noundation <br> Civil and <br> Earthworks | Fovements on typical peak hour <br> Works | Tower <br> Assembly <br> and Erection | Line <br> Stringing | Anti <br> Climbing <br> Device |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 7 |  |  |  | TOTAL |  |

Table 42 shows the overlap of crews on roads and at access points, between Hughenden and Mt Isa, dependent on the number of towers it services.

Table 42: Overlap of construction phases - Hughenden to Mt Isa

| $\begin{array}{l}\text { No of towers } \\ \text { being serviced } \\ \text { by a road or } \\ \text { access point }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Site } \\ \text { Establishment, }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Nof crews on typical peak day } \\ \text { Civil and } \\ \text { Earthworks }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Foundation } \\ \text { Works }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Tower } \\ \text { Assembly and } \\ \text { Erection }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |  | Line Stringing |  |  |  |  |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{l}Anti Climbing <br>

Device\end{array}\right]\)

Based on the above, between Woodstock and Hughenden, the number of vehicle movements generated by overlap of construction stages for a peak day and a peak hour are shown in Table 43 and Table 44.

Table 43: Construction traffic volumes on typical busiest day based on the number of towers accessed - Hughenden to Mt Isa

| No of towers <br> being <br> serviced by a <br> road or <br> access point | Site <br> Establishment, <br> Civil and <br> Earthworks | Foundation <br> Works | Tower <br> Assembly <br> and Erection | Line <br> Stringing | Anti <br> Climbing <br> Device | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 62 |  |  |  |  | 62 |
| 5 towers | 62 |  |  |  | 62 |  |
| 10 towers | 62 |  | 88 |  | 62 |  |
| 20 towers |  |  | 176 | 60 | 88 |  |
| 50 towers |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 44: Construction traffic volumes at peak hour of typical busiest day based on the number of towers accessed - Hughenden to Mt Isa

| No of towers <br> being <br> serviced by a <br> road or <br> access point | Site <br> Establishment, <br> Civil and <br> Earthworks | Foundation <br> Works | Tower <br> Assembly <br> and Erection | Line <br> Stringing | Anti <br> Climbing <br> Device | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 5 towers | 7 |  |  |  | 7 |  |
| 10 towers | 7 |  | 26 |  | 7 |  |
| 20 towers |  |  | 52 | 17 | 26 |  |
| 50 towers |  |  |  |  | 69 |  |

### 4.4 Substations

### 4.4.1 Construction traffic information

## Construction Activities

Construction of the substations results in the following activities that generate high volumes of traffic:

- Site establishment (civil, earthworks)
- Platform construction
- Drainage, conduits and cable trench
- Earth grid
- Pavements
- Landscaping
- Civil
- Oil separator tank
- Helicopter pad
- Installation of modular buildings
- Common services building; and
- Electrical work.


## Construction Vehicles

The following vehicle types would be generated during the construction works

- Vehicles from the camps
- Rigid delivery vehicles and semi-trailers and truck and dog vehicles for other materials (i.e. fill from quarries, waste removal, concrete trucks etc.); and
- 5 OSOM vehicles across the entire project for the modular buildings.


## Construction Program

A detailed construction program is included in Appendix A.
The construction timing for each substation is as follows:

- Mulgrave

May 2024-Aug 2025

- Woodstock

May 2024-Oct 2025

- Flinders Jun 2024-Mar 2026
- Dajarra

Jun 2024-May 2026; and

- Mt Isa

Oct 2024-Jul 2026.

### 4.4.2 Construction Traffic Volumes

Table 45 shows the expected traffic volumes to be generated by a substation on the expected typical busiest day and Table 46 shows the expected traffic volumes to be generated by a substation during the peak hour of the expected typical busiest day. It is noted that during the peak hours the crews travel to/ from site, with deliveries occurring periodically throughout the day.

Table 45: Substation construction traffic volumes (localised area) - typical busiest day

| Construction Item | Worforce traffic generation from camps |  |  | Deliveries/ Removing Goods Traffic Generation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Light vehicles | Minibuses | Rigid trucks | Water trucks | Rigid trucks | Semi trailers/ truck and dog | B-doubles |
| Site <br> Establishment | 12 |  | 2 |  | 10 |  |  |
| Roadworks | 12 |  | 2 |  | 10 | 4 |  |
| Platform | 12 |  | 2 |  | 10 | 12 |  |
| Drainage, Conduits and Cable trench | 12 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| Earth Grid | 12 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Pavements | 12 |  | 2 |  | 10 | 6 |  |
| Landscaping | 12 |  | 2 |  | 10 | 2 |  |
| Civil | 12 |  |  |  | 10 | 4 |  |
| Oil seperator tank | 12 |  | 2 |  | 10 | 4 |  |
| Helicopter pad | 12 |  | 2 |  | 10 | 4 |  |
| Installation of modular buildings | 12 |  | 2 |  | 10 | 4 |  |
| Common <br> Services <br> Building | 12 |  | 2 |  | 10 | 4 |  |
| Electrical work | 8-16 (wiring) |  | 2-4 (wiring) |  | 10 | 4 |  |

Table 46: Substation construction traffic volumes (localised area) - peak hour of typical busiest day

| Construction Item | Worforce traffic generation from camps |  |  | Deliveries/ Removing Goods Traffic Generation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Light vehicles | Minibuses | Rigid trucks | Water trucks | Rigid trucks | Semi trailers/ truck and dog | B-doubles |
| Site <br> Establishment | 6 |  | 1 |  | 5 |  |  |
| Roadworks | 6 |  | 1 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Platform | 6 |  | 1 |  | 5 | 6 |  |
| Drainage, Conduits and Cable trench | 6 |  | 1 |  | $5$ |  |  |
| Earth Grid | 6 |  | 1 |  | 5 |  |  |
| Pavements | 6 |  | 1 |  | 5 | 3 |  |
| Landscaping | 6 |  | 1 |  | 5 | 1 |  |
| Civil | 6 |  | 1 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Oil seperator tank | 6 |  | 1 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Helicopter pad | 6 |  | 1 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Installation of modular buildings | 6 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Common <br> Services <br> Building | 6 |  | 1 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Electrical work | 4-8 (wirin |  | 1-2 (wiring) |  | 5 | 2 |  |

### 4.5 Overall traffic generation to roads

Based on the assessment above, the expected traffic generation to each road during the busiest period of construction for that road is shown in Table 47. The table specifies the highest daily and peak hourly traffic generation, the period in which the volumes are expected to peak and the activities that result in the highest traffic generation to that road.

Table 47: Traffic generation to public roads

| Road ID | Road | Expected highest daily traffic generation | Expected highest peak hour traffic generation | Expected busiest period | Activity/ies resulting in highest traffic generation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Townsville Port Road | <50 | <10 | Jun 2024-Sep 2028 (construction duration) | Transport of large items from Townsville Port to camps and transmission line |
| 5 | Bruce Highway | <50 | <10 | Jun 2024-Sep 2028 (construction duration) | Transport of large items from Townsville Port to camps and transmission line |
| 6 | Ayr Dalbeg Road | <50 | <10 | Jun 2024-Sep 2028 (construction duration) | Transport of large items from Townsville Port to camps and transmission line |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | $\text { Varies - up to } 500$ | Varies - up to 200 | Jun 2024-Sep 2028 (construction duration) | Overlap of: <br> - Transport of large items from Townsville Port to camps and transmission line <br> - Transmission line construction <br> - Substation construction <br> - Movements to/ from camps |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | 90 | 39 | Nov 2025-Jun 2026 | Overlap of: <br> - Foundation works <br> - Tower Assembly and Erection <br> - Line stringing |
| 11 | Burdekin Falls Dam Road | $1194$ | 231 | Nov 2025-Jun 2026 | Overlap of: <br> - Foundation works <br> - Tower Assembly and Erection |


| Road ID | Road | Expected highest daily traffic generation | Expected highest peak hour traffic generation | Expected busiest period | Activity/ies resulting in highest traffic generation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | Gregory Developmental Road (north) | 322 | 141 | Nov 2025-Jun 2026 | Charters Towers camp operational traffic |
| 26 | Gregory Developmental Road (south) | 436 | 107 | Nov 2025-Jun 2026 | Overlap of: <br> - Foundation works <br> - Tower Assembly and Erection; and <br> - Line stringing. |
| 37 | Aramac Torrens Creek Road | 354 |  | $\text { Jun-Oct } 2025$ | Overlap of: <br> - Foundation works <br> - Tower Assembly and Erection; and <br> - Line stringing. |
| 45 | Kennedy Developmental Road (south) | 252 | $55$ | Apr-Jun 2026 | Overlap of: <br> - Foundation works; and <br> - Tower Assembly and Erection. |
| 54 | Richmond Winton Road | 324 | 95 | May-Aug 2026 | Overlap of: <br> - Tower Assembly and Erection; and <br> - Line stringing. |
| 61 | Julia Creek Kynuna Road | 236 | 76 | Oct 2026 | Tower Assembly and Erection |
| 68 | Landsborough Highway | 460 | 68 | Jun-Jul 2026 | Site establishment, civil and earthworks |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | Varies - up to 500 | Varies - up to 150 | Jun 2024-Sep 2028 (construction duration) | Overlap of: <br> - Transport of large items from Townsville Port to camps and transmission line <br> - Transmission line construction <br> - Substation construction; and <br> - Movements to/ from camps. |


| Road ID | Road | Expected highest daily <br> traffic generation | Expected highest peak <br> hour traffic generation | Expected busiest <br> period | Activity/ies resulting in highest traffic <br> generation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 76 | Burke Developmental Road | 326 | 143 | Jul 2026-Oct 2027 | Cloncurry camp operational traffic |
| 78 | Cloncurry Duchess Road | 248 | 47 | Mar-Apr 2027 | Tower Assembly and Erection |
| 81 | Mount Isa Duchess Road | 186 | 21 | Oct 2026 | Site establishment, civil and earthworks |
| 83 | Diamantina Developmental <br> Road | 160 | 28 | Oct 2026 | Site establishment, civil and earthworks |
| 87 | Boulia Mount Isa Road | 62 | 7 | Oct 2026 | Site establishment, civil and earthworks |

## 5. Traffic and Road Impact Assessment

The Traffic and Road Impact Assessment focuses on the construction phase of the CopperString 2032 (camps under operation) which will generate the highest volumes of traffic.

### 5.1 Road Operation Assessment (road width)

### 5.1.1 Issues and potential impacts

## At Midblocks

The traffic capacity for each road against the NDD and EDD has been calculated using the road capacity tables in Section 2.2.5 of this report. The traffic capacity has then been compared to the existing and traffic volumes and those that will be generated by the CopperString 2032 project as shown in Table 48.

Also shown in the table is the reason the road is non-compliant and the length of the road which is narrower than the EDD.

Table 48: Road width assessment

| Road <br> ID | Road Name | Road width <br> (typical) | Shoulder width <br> (typical) | Existing traffic <br> volume (vpd) | Expected project <br> generated traffic <br> volume (vpd) | Complies <br> with NDD | Complies <br> with EDD |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | Townsville Port <br> Road | 7.0 m |  | 3,992 (Townsville <br> Port Road/ Archer <br> Street intersection) | < 50 | No | No | No shoulder |


| Road ID | Road Name | Road width (typical) | Shoulder width (typical) | Existing traffic volume (vpd) | Expected project generated traffic volume (vpd) | Complies with NDD | Complies with EDD | Reason noncompliant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | Kennedy Developmental Road (south) | 6.4 to 7.6 m | Typically no shoulder provided, $>6 \mathrm{~m}$ shoulder at Hughenden | 926 (Hughenden) <br> 177 ( 16.2 km southeast of Kennedy Developmental Road (south)/ Disraeli Street intersection) | 252 | No (excl. Hughenden) | No (excl. Hughenden) | No shoulder |
| 54 | Richmond Winton Road | 2.9 to 4.7m | No shoulder provided | 58 | 324 | No | No | Carriageway too narrow and no shoulder provided |
| 61 | Julia Creek Kynuna Road | 4.1 to 5.4 m | No shoulder provided |  | $236$ | No | No | Carriageway too narrow and no shoulder provided |
| 68 | Landsborough Highway | 7.0 to 7.2 m | 0.2 to 0.5 m | 439 | 460 | No | No | Shoulder too narrow |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | Data to be provided from Veris survey | Data to be provided from Veris survey | 1,533 (Cloncurry) <br> 1,112 ( 0.3 km east of Barkly Highway/ Breakaway Drive intersection) 4,167 (Mount Isa) | Varies - up to 500 | - | - | - |
| 76 | Burke <br> Developmental <br> Road | 7.0 to 7.2 m | 0.3 m | 341 | 326 | No | No | Shoulder too narrow |
| 78 | Cloncurry Duchess Road | 6.0 to 6.5 m | No shoulder provided | 87 | 248 | No | No | No shoulder |


| Road ID | Road Name | Road width (typical) | Shoulder width (typical) | Existing traffic volume (vpd) | Expected project generated traffic volume (vpd) | Complies with NDD | Complies with EDD | Reason noncompliant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | Mount Isa Duchess Road | Variable - <br> Typically 6.2 to 8.8 m south of Mount Isa CBD | No shoulder provided south of Mount Isa CBD | 7,298 (Mount Isa) 378 ( 1.4 km south of Mount Isa Duchess Road/ Twenty Third Avenue intersection) | 186 | No | No | No shoulder |
| 83 | Diamantina Developmental Road | 6.0 to 7.0 m | No shoulder provided | 3094-1.2km south of Barkly Highway $609-3.5 \mathrm{~km}$ south of Barkly Highway |  |  | No | No shoulder |
| 87 | Boulia Mount Isa Road | 8.0m | No shoulder provided | 206 |  | No | No | No shoulder |

The table above shows that the only road that complies with the EDD is the Flinders Highway, Burke Developmental Road (in areas) and short sections of other roads. It is likely that the Barkly Highway will comply with the EDD.

Based on the above assessment, 17 roads do not currently comply with the TMR EDD requirements. Each of these roads has been further assessed as shown in Table 49 with the roads either being recommended for mitigation or reasoning given if the current width of the road is considered suitable.

Table 49: Road width suitability assessment

| Road ID | Road Name | Suitability Assessment | Mitigation required | Length of road where mitigation is required |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Townsville Port Road | Road considered suitable without mitigation due to the following: <br> - 7.0 m road carriageway; and <br> - B-double approved at all times, road train approved overnight. | No | - |
| 5 | Bruce Highway | Road considered suitable without mitigation due to the following: <br> - Minor shoulder width non compliance <br> - 7.2 m road carriageway; and <br> - HML approved. | No | - |
| 6 | Ayr Dalbeg Road | Road considered suitable without mitigation as it is B-double approved for its entire length except for 3 km between Granshaw Road and Lincoln Road in which the road has a consistent width and condition. | No | - |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | Road not considered suitable without mitigation | Yes <br> See section 5.1.3. | 13km |
| 11 | Burdekin Falls Dam Road | Although type 2 road train approved, the additional volumes and road width result in the road not being considered suitable without mitigation. | Yes <br> See section 5.1.3. | 39.4 km |
| 15 | Gregory <br> Developmental <br> Road (north) | Road considered suitable without mitigation due to the following: <br> - Minor shoulder width non compliance in some sections only <br> - 7.0 m road carriageway; and <br> - Type 2 road train approved. | No | - |
| 26 | Gregory <br> Developmental <br> Road (south) | Road considered suitable without mitigation due to the following: <br> - Minor shoulder width non compliance in some sections only <br> - 7.0 m road carriageway or close; and <br> - Type 2 road train approved. | No | - |
| 37 | Aramac Torrens Creek Road | Road considered suitable without mitigation due to the following: <br> - 7.0 m road carriageway; and <br> - Type 2 road train approved. | No | - |
| 45 | Kennedy Developmental Road (south) | Road considered suitable without mitigation due to the following: <br> - 7.0 m road carriageway or close; and <br> - Type 2 road train approved. | No | - |


| Road ID | Road Name | Suitability Assessment | Mitigation required | Length of road where mitigation is required |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 | Richmond Winton Road | Although type 2 road train approved, the additional volumes and road width result in the road not being considered suitable without mitigation. | Yes <br> See section 5.1.3. | 15.4 km |
| 61 | Julia Creek Kynuna Road | Although type 2 road train approved, the additional volumes and road width result in the road not being considered suitable without mitigation. | Yes <br> See section 5.1.3. | 4.9 km |
| 68 | Landsborough Highway | Road considered suitable without mitigation due to the following: <br> - Minor shoulder width non compliance <br> - 7.0-7.2m road carriageway; and <br> - Type 2 road train approved. | No | - |
| 76 | Burke <br> Developmental <br> Road | Road considered suitable without mitigation due to the following: <br> - Minor shoulder width non compliance <br> - 7.0-7.2m road carriageway; and <br> - Type 2 road train approved. | No | - |
| 78 | Cloncurry Duchess Road | Road considered suitable without mitigation as it is type 2 road train and expected traffic volumes from construction are not overly high. | No | - |
| 81 | Mount Isa Duchess Road | Road considered suitable without mitigation as it is type 2 road train approved and expected traffic volumes from construction are not overly high. | No | - |
| 83 | Diamantina Developmental Road | Road considered suitable without mitigation as it is type 2 road train approved and expected traffic volumes from construction are not overly high. | No | - |
| 87 | Boulia Mount Isa Road | Road considered suitable without mitigation due to the following: <br> - 8 m road carriageway; and <br> - Type 2 road train approved. | No | - |

Based on the further assessment, there are 4 SC roads which require mitigation to accommodate the expected construction vehicles.

## Around Sharp Bends

Swept paths have been prepared for several tight bends on Ayr Ravenswood Road and are included in Appendix C.

Table 50 shows each of the sharp bends where swept paths were checked, the vehicle type and whether the bend is suitable or requires mitigation
Table 50: Swept path assessment around sharp bends

| Swept <br> Path Plan | Bend Number | Longitude Reference 1 | Latitude Reference 1 | Longitude Reference 2 | Latitude Reference $2$ | Vehicle <br> 1 | Vehicle 2 | Does bend accommodate both vehicles | Mitigation required |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sheet 1 | 1 | $\mathrm{N}: 7783631.281$ | E:494747.427 | $\mathrm{N}: 7783596.281$ | E:494729.109 | B-double truck | 8.8m service vehicle | No | Yes <br> See section 5.1.3 |
|  | 2 | $\mathrm{N}: 7783367.616$ | E: 494777.407 | $\mathrm{N}: 7783313.299$ | E: 494718.890 |  |  | No | Yes <br> See section 5.1.3 |
|  | 3 | $\mathrm{N}: 7783145.056$ | E: 7783145.056 | $\mathrm{N}: 7783206.547$ | E: 493407.746 |  |  | Yes | No |
|  | 4 | N: 7782674.583 | E: 493233.576 | N: 7782643.968 | E: 493141.632 |  |  | No | Yes <br> See section 5.1.3 |
| Sheet 2 | 5 (EB) | N: 7782588.642 | E: 492796.141 | N: 7782657.903 | E: 4942866.505 |  |  | No | Yes <br> See section 5.1.3 |
|  | 5 (WB) |  |  |  |  |  |  | No | Yes <br> See section 5.1.3 |
| Sheet 3 | 1 | $\mathrm{N}: 7783631.281$ | $\mathrm{E}: 494747.427$ | N:7783596.281 | E:494729.109 | B-double truck | 19m Semi- <br> trailer (low <br> loader) | No | Yes <br> See section 5.1.3 |
|  | 2 | $\mathrm{N}: 7783367.616$ | E: 494777.407 | $\mathrm{N}: 7783313.299$ | E: 494718.890 |  |  | No | Yes <br> See section 5.1.3 |
|  | 3 | $\mathrm{N}: 7783145.056$ | E: 7783145.056 | $\mathrm{N}: 7783206.547$ | E: 493407.746 |  |  | Yes | No |
|  | 4 | N: 7782674.583 | E: 493233.576 | N: 7782643.968 | E: 493141.632 |  |  | No | Yes <br> See section 5.1.3 |
| Sheet 4 | 5 (EB) | N: 7782588.642 | E: 492796.141 | N: 7782657.903 | E: 4942866.505 |  |  | No | Yes <br> See section 5.1.3 |
|  | 5 (WB) |  |  |  |  |  |  | No | Yes <br> See section 5.1.3 |

[^1]| Swept <br> Path Plan | Bend Number | Longitude Reference 1 | Latitude <br> Reference 1 | Longitude Reference 2 | Latitude Reference $2$ | Vehicle <br> 1 | Vehicle 2 | Does bend accommodate both vehicles | Mitigation required |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sheet 5 | 1 | N:7783631.281 | E:494747.427 | $\mathrm{N}: 7783596.281$ | E:494729.109 | B-double truck | 19m Semitrailer (low loader) | No | Yes <br> See section 5.1.3 |
|  | 2 | N: 7783367.616 | E: 494777.407 | $\mathrm{N}: 7783313.299$ | E: 494718.890 |  |  | No | Yes <br> See section 5.1.3 |
|  | 3 | N: 7783145.056 | E: 7783145.056 | N: 7783206.547 | E: 493407.746 |  |  | Yes | No |
|  | 4 | N: 7782674.583 | E: 493233.576 | N: 7782643.968 | E: 493141.632 |  |  | No | Yes <br> See section 5.1.3 |

It is noted that although a B-double truck and semi trailer were not run on bend number 5, as the smaller vehicles could not be accommodated in either direction, this movement would also require mitigation.

Based on the above, 4 out of the 5 bends on Ayr Ravenswood Road are not suitable for two heavy vehicles to pass each other.

## At Intersections

A swept path assessment was undertaken for the largest construction-stage design vehicle, a B-double truck, at existing intersections with TMR roads in the study area.

The swept paths are provided in Appendix D and show that the following intersections require mitigation to accommodate vehicles:

- Flinders Highway/ Amity Road
- Flinders Highway/ Millchester Road
- Flinders Highway/ Philipson Road
- Flinders Highway/ Braceborough Road (east)
- Flinders Highway/ Red Road
- Flinders Highway/ Lauderdale Road (east)
- Flinders Highway/ Lyons Creek Road
- Flinders Highway/ Cotonvale Road
- Flinders Highway/ Redcliffe Road
- Flinders Highway/ Unnamed Road (off Flinders Highway at Hughenden - to Hughenden Camp)
- Flinders Highway/ Unnamed Road (off Flinders Highway at Hughenden - south of Mount Isa Line)
- Flinders Highway/ Thornhill Tamworth Road
- Flinders Highway/ Marathon Stamford Road
- Flinders Highway/ Barabon Terranburby Road
- Flinders Highway/ Benean Road
- Flinders Highway/ Minamere Nelia Road
- Flinders Highway/ Yorkshire Nelia Road
- Flinders Highway/ Yorkshire Road
- Flinders Highway/ Pattel Drive
- Flinders Highway/ Ivellen Road
- Flinders Highway/ Oorindi Mckinlay Road
- Flinders Highway/ Round Oak Road
- Barkly Highway/ Powerhouse Road (Cloncurry)
- Barkly Highway/ Chinaman Creek Road
- Barkly Highway/ East Leichardt Road
- Barkly Highway/ Mount Frosty Road; and
- Boulia Mount Isa Highway/ Diamantina Developmental Road.


### 5.1.2 Avoidance, Mitigation and Management Measures

Issues identified as part of the Road Impact Assessment have been identified and mitigation strategies have been developed. Table 51 shows each identified issue, a description of the issue and how that issue can be avoided and management and mitigation measures that can be applied to either remove the issue or reduce the risk.

Issues have been grouped with a minimum of one mitigation measure developed to address the issue. It is noted that mitigation measures have not been identified for items classified as "low" risk, as deemed unnecessary as per the risk assessment methodology.

The issues and management and mitigation measures in Table 51 are for all issues identified throughout the project and are shown holistically. Specific mitigation measures for each assessment type (i.e. road capacity, road safety and road condition) are identified in the relevant sections of this report.

Table 51: Avoidance, management and mitigation measures

| Issue | Avoidance | Management and mitigation measures |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insufficient road geometry (midblock sections) | Where roads do not meet the minimum widths required by the governing road authority, implement controls to mitigate the likelihood of crashes. | 1 | For roads between 4 m and 7 m in width, the following options can be considered: <br> - Use traffic management (shuttle flow or similar) to manage traffic where the road width is less than TMR Standard for predicted AADT. This is considered suitable due to the temporary nature of the construction work; or <br> - Widen the road to the required width based on the TMR requirement for the predicted AADT. |
|  |  | 2 | For roads under 4 m in width, specific guidance for mitigation will depend on the road condition and location. The following options can be considered for these roads: <br> - Consider changing the vehicle types to suit existing road geometry <br> - Use an alternate access route; or <br> - Carry out minor shoulder widening works in agreement with the relevant road authority. |
| Insufficient road geometry (sharp bends) | Where turning paths indicate insufficient road geometry on sharp bends, implement controls to mitigate the likelihood of crashes. | 3 | Use traffic management to manage large vehicles around tight bends where they are required to cross the centreline to complete the manoeuvre, following consultation with the relevant road authority. This is considered suitable due to the temporary nature of the construction work. |
|  |  | 4 | In locations where the road width is not sufficient to accommodate a B-double truck around bends, the following options can be considered: <br> - Consider changing the vehicle types to suit existing road geometry <br> - Use an alternate access route; or <br> - Carry out minor shoulder widening works in agreement with the relevant road authority. |
|  | Where sharp bends require vehicles to slow to speeds significantly lower than the speed limit, implement controls to mitigate the likelihood of crashes. | 5 | Design and install advance warning signage (or other traffic control devices as warranted) to suitably warn drivers of the approaching sharp bend. |


| Issue | Avoidance |  | agement and mitigation measures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insufficient road geometry (intersections) | Where turning paths indicate insufficient road geometry at intersections, implement controls to mitigate the likelihood of crashes. | 6 | In locations where the intersection width is not sufficient to accommodate a B-double truck, the following options can be considered: <br> - Carry out intersection widening works in agreement with the relevant road authority; <br> - Consider changing the vehicle types to suit existing road geometry; or <br> - Use an alternate access route. |
|  | Where intersections do not have the required left and right turn lanes as specified in the Austroads Guide to Traffic Management Part 6: Intersections, Interchanges and Crossings Management, implement controls to mitigate the likelihood of crashes and congestion. | 7 | Install suitable left and right turn lanes as specified by the Austroads Guide. |
| Sight distance obstructions | Keep minimum required sight distances clear of obstructions | 8 | Inspect the condition of the road network being used for the construction works prior to construction and periodically during construction to identify any sight distance obstructions that can be rectified. This may commonly relate to overgrown trees/ shrubs/ grasses. |
|  |  | 9 | Encourage drivers associated with the project to report any sight distance concerns that may impact the safety of drivers. This information will supplement/ inform any periodic inspections. Consideration may be given to more advanced reporting system such as electronic reporting systems using phones and GPS. |
|  |  | 10 | Where specific reports and/ or periodic road condition inspections determine that vegetation maintenance is required, perform vegetation maintenance. This may include mowing grass, removing tree branches and/or clearing resprouting vegetation, in consultation with the relevant road authority. |
|  |  | 11 | Where new or amended traffic arrangements are required and sight distance is insufficient due to topography (or otherwise), design and install advance warning signage (or other traffic control devices as warranted) to suitably warn of the intersection condition. |


| Issue | Avoidance | Management and mitigation measures |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 12 <br> Where the JV considers sight distance (existing, <br> unchanged conditions) is obscured by signage or <br> other road furniture, contact the relevant road <br> authority to have them re-assess and/ or relocate <br> the signs. |  |


| Issue | Avoidance | Management and mitigation measures |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Missing controls at rail crossings | Where rail signage is not provided in accordance with the relevant requirements of AS 1742.7, provide required signage. | 16 | Install rail crossing ahead signs, railway crossing diagrammatic warning assemblies, railway crossing on side road assemblies, rail crossing diagrammatic warning signs on side roads assemblies and stop sign ahead assemblies as required per AS 1742.7 for passive-controlled railway crossings. |
|  |  | 17 | Install railway crossing flashing signals ahead signs, railway crossing flashing signals ahead on side road assemblies and/or active advanced warning assemblies as required per AS 1742.7 on active-controlled railway crossings. |
|  | Where rail pavement markings are not provided in accordance with the relevant requirements of AS 1742.7, provide required pavement marking or implement other controls. | 18 | Provide Rail X, stop line, give-way lines and/ or no-overtaking lines pavement marking as required per AS 1742.7 |
| Sight distance obstructions at rail crossings | Keep minimum required sight distances clear of obstructions | 19 | Where sight distance is insufficient due to topography (or otherwise) the following options can be considered: <br> - design and install advance rail warning signage (or other traffic control devices as warranted) to suitably warn of the upcoming rail crossing; <br> - clear obstructions such as vegetation/ signage where viable, as outlined in management and mitigation measures 8 to 12 ; or <br> - reduce the approach speed limit of road vehicles such that the sight distance meets the requirements of AS 1742.7. |
| Queued vehicles blocking rail crossings or nearby roads | Ensure vehicles queuing back from a rail line do not extend into an intersection | 20 | Inform drivers associated with the project of the location of rail crossings. In locations where a traffic queue has the possibility of extending into an intersection with high traffic speeds, guide the drivers to drive past the intersection if there is a queue and identify a suitable location to turn around and wait (if necessary) until the train has passed. |
|  | Ensure vehicle queues back from an intersection do not stop on the rail line | 21 | Inform drivers associated with the project of the location of rail crossings. Educate drivers to check the other side of the rail line before travelling over the rail line, particularly if there is a known intersection ahead that could cause queues back to the rail line. |


| Issue | Avoidance | Management and mitigation measures |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Schools and <br> school bus routes. | Limit heavy vehicles during school <br> start and finish times and bus <br> commute times where possible, <br> generally 7-9am and 3-5pm. | 22 | If it is necessary to travel during the times when <br> school buses are operating, brief the drivers of <br> the additional risk. |
| General |  | 23 | Provide safety training for drivers prior to works <br> commencing to advise of road conditions and <br> locations of higher risk along the Project route. In <br> this part of Queensland, heavy rain can occur, <br> and drivers should alter their speed and/or route <br> based on the conditions. |

The following management and mitigation measures would be considered relatively low cost:

- Driver training
- Developing a process for drivers to submit concerns
- Filling potholes
- Repainting faded linemarking
- Traffic management
- Clearing vegetation; and
- Installing signs.

The following management and mitigation measures may incur higher relative costs:

- Shoulder widening; and
- Regrading of gravel roads.

Mitigation measures \#1 and \#2 are applicable to insufficient road widths at midblocks. Mitigation measures \#3, \#4 and \#5 are applicable to management of vehicles around sharp bends. Mitigation measures \#6 is applicable to road widths at intersections.

Where advanced warning signage is recommended to be implemented as a mitigation measure at sharp horizontal curves, it is suggested to use Chevron Alignment Markers (D4-6) and Advisory Speed (W8-2, W1-3) assemblies. An example of their use is shown below in Figure 44.


Figure 44: Example of curve warning signage (Source: AS 1742.2)

### 5.1.3 Residual risks

## At Midblocks

The assessment identified 4 roads which are of an unsuitable width for the CopperString 2032 construction traffic volumes. Proposed mitigation for each of these roads is shown in Table 52. Application of the mitigation measures is expected to mitigate the existing risk to vehicle movements and safety as a result of insufficient road width.

Table 52: Road width mitigation

| Road ID | Road | Existing road width | Mitigation required |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | 4.2 m to 7.0 m | Apply mitigation measure \#1 from Table 51 |
| 11 | Burdekin Falls Dam Road | 5.5 to 6.0 m | Apply mitigation measure \#1 from Table 51 |
| 54 | Richmond Winton Road | 2.9 to 4.7 m | Apply mitigation measure \#1 from Table 51 <br> Apply mitigation measure \#2 from Table 51 <br> where the road is less than 4m in width |
| 61 | Julia Creek Kynuna Road | 4.1 to 5.4 m | Apply mitigation measure \#1 from Table 51 |

## At Sharp Bends

The assessment identified 4 bends on Ayr Ravenswood Road which are not suitable for two heavy vehicles to pass. Proposed mitigation for each of these roads is shown in Table 53. Application of the mitigation measures is expected to mitigate the existing risk to vehicle movements and safety as a result of insufficient road width at the sharp bends.

Table 53: Sharp bends mitigation

| Bend number | Road | Mitigation required |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | Apply mitigation measure \#3 or 4 from Table 51 Apply mitigation measure \#5 from Table 51 |
| 2 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | Apply mitigation measure \#3 or 4 from Table 51 Apply mitigation measure \#5 from Table 51 |
| 4 |  | Apply mitigation measure \#3 or \#4 from Table 51 |
| 5 |  | Apply mitigation measure \#3 or 4 from Table 51 Apply mitigation measure \#5 from Table 51 |

It is noted that mitigation is required on Ayr Ravenswood Road due to the road not being of a sufficient width, mitigation could be complied that addresses the road and the sharp bends as one scheme.

## At Intersections

Should mitigation measure \#6 be applied to each intersection with insufficient geometry for B-double trucks, the intersections would be considered suitable for the necessary movements.

### 5.2 Road operation assessment (traffic congestion)

## Delay and Level of Service at Intersections

SIDRA Intersection 9 modelling software was utilised to determine the Level of Service (LOS) at select intersections on the project route. Intersections were selected as follows:

- Along routes that access camps, where concentration of construction traffic movements is highest (see Section 1.6 of this report for references to the CopperString Camps TIAs for detailed assessments)
- At the following intersections that have the highest overall traffic volumes along the route:
- Flinders Highway/ Burdekin Falls Dam Road
- Flinders Highway/ Broughton (Millchester) Road
- Flinders Highway/ Kennedy Developmental Road (south)
- Barkly Highway/ Burke Developmental Road
- Barkly Highway/ Camooweal Road
- Camooweal Road/ Rodeo Drive; and
- Barkly Highway/ Diamantina Developmental Road.

Table 54 shows the criteria that SIDRA Intersection modelling software adopts in assessing the LOS.
Table 54: SIDRA Level of Service (LOS) criteria

| LOS | Delay per vehicle (secs) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Signals | Roundabout | Sign control |
| A | 10 or less | 10 or less | 10 or less |
| B | 10 to 20 | 10 to 20 | 10 to 15 |
| C | 20 to 35 | 20 to 35 | 15 to 25 |
| D | 35 to 55 | 35 to 50 | 25 to 35 |
| E | 55 to 80 | 50 to 70 | 35 to 50 |
| F | Greater than 80 | Greater than 70 | Greater than 50 |

All of the intersections modelled (including on routes to camps) are expected to operate at an overall LOS A (the highest level of performance). There are some select traffic movements that are expected to operate at LOS B which is considered a good LOS. The additional traffic expected as a result of the construction would not be expected to reduce the operation of intersections significantly or to an unacceptable level. As such, there is a low risk of the construction activity affecting the available road capacity.

## Turning Treatments Assessment

The Austroads Guide to Traffic Management Part 6 Intersections, Interchanges and Crossings Management (AGTM Part 6) specifies warrants for providing left and right turn treatments at unsignalised intersections. Figure 45 is an excerpt from the AGTM Part 6 that shows the preferred treatments based on the peak hour traffic volumes. Note that Curve 1 (red) and Curve 2 (blue) represent the boundary between the treatment types.

The Queensland Government Road Planning and Design Manual Edition 2: Volume 3 Supplement to Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 4A: Unsignalised and Signalised Intersections (Qld V3 Supplement) also specifies warrants where installation of turning treatments is considered impractical due to low traffic volumes. These warrants apply to two-lane
two-way roads only (2L2W). Figure 46 is an excerpt from the supplement, volumes that are to the left of the green line signify that turning treatments may not be necessary.

Each of the acronyms in this section are described below:

- SL Simple left turn (i.e. no turning lane)
- SR Simple right turn (i.e. no turning lane)
- BAL Basic left turn lane
- BAR Basic right turn lane
- AUL Auxiliary left turn lane
- AUL(s) Short auxiliary left turn lane
- CHL Channelised left turn lane
- CHR Channelised right turn lane; and
- CHR(s) Short channelised right turn lane.

There are several intersections and driveways in the project length that are considered suitable for SL and SR. Each of these intersections and driveways have been assessed for the following to ensure a turn lane is not required:

- Very low through traffic volumes (significantly less than 50 vehicles per hour)
- Low turning traffic volumes (less than 100 vehicles per hour)
- Excellent sight distance; and
- No other nearby issues identified in this assessment that could not be mitigated to a low risk.


(b) $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}<$ Design Speed $<100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

(c) Design Speed $\leq 70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

Note: the minimum night-turn treatment for muitilane roads is a CHR(s).
Figure 45: Warrants for turning treatments at unsignlaised intersections (AGTM Part 6)

Figure 4A-A 4 - Warrants - Major road turn treatments - Extended Design Domain




*     - the minimum right-turn treatment for multi-lane roads is a CHR(s)

Figures 4A-A 4(d), (e) and (f) respectively expand the view of the bottom left corner of diagrams(a), (b) and (c)
Figure 46: Warrants for turning treatments at unsignlaised intersections (QId V3 Supplement)
A summary of the existing and preferred treatments for intersections and driveways, applying mitigation measure \#7 and based on peak construction traffic volumes, is shown in Table 55 and Table 56 respectively. Should the turn lanes and guidance in Table 55 and Table 56 be applied, the intersections would be considered to be minimising the risk of crashes and congestion at the project intersections and driveways.

Table 55: Turn lane requirements at intersections

| Intersection ID | Major Road | Minor Road | Turn movement | Vehicles/ construction peak hour | Existing turn treatment | Required turn treatment | Turn treatment upgrade required (existing conditions) | Turn treatment upgrade required due to increased project volumes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.1 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | Downing Street | Left | 0 | SL | SL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 33 | SR | SR | No | No |
| 11.1 | Burdekin Falls Dam Road | Ayr Ravenswood Road | Left | 57 | SL | SL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 2 | SR | SR | No | No |
| 11.2 | Burdekin Falls Dam Road | Silver Valley Road | Left | 0 | SL | SL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 124 | SR | BAR | No | Yes |
| 11.3 | Burdekin Falls Dam Road* (Hervey Street) | Burdekin Falls Dam Road | Left | 253 | SL | SL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 8 | BAR | BAR | No | No |
| 7.1 | Flinders Highway | Burdekin Falls Dam Road | Left | 6 | CHL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 1 | BAR | BAR | No | No |
| 7.2 | Flinders Highway | Amity Road | Left | 0 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 75 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.3 | Flinders Highway | Gregory <br> Developmental <br> Road (north) | Left | 74 | AUL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 116 | CHR | BAR | No | No |
| 7.4 | Flinders Highway | Broughton Road (Millchester) | Left | 42 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 49 | CHR | BAR | No | No |
| 15.1 | Gregory <br> Developmental Road (north)* (Dalrymple Road) | Bridge Street | Left | 7 | CHL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 26 | CHR | BAR | No | No |
| 15.2 | Gregory <br> Developmental Road (north) | Hewett Street | Left | 18 | BAL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 18 | BAR | BAR | No | No |


| Intersection ID | Major Road | Minor Road | Turn movement | Vehicles/ construction peak hour | Existing turn treatment | Required turn treatment | Turn treatment upgrade required (existing conditions) | Turn treatment upgrade required due to increased project volumes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.5 | Flinders Highway | Phillipson Road | Left | 106 | CHL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 8 | BAR | BAR | No | No |
| 7.6 | Flinders Highway | Gregory Developmental Road (south) | Left | 145 | CHL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 1 | BAR | BAR | No | No |
| 7.7 | Flinders Highway | Braceborough Road (west) | Left |  | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 0 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.8 | Flinders Highway | Red Road | Left | 0 | BAL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 32 | BAR | BAR | No | No |
| 7.9 | Flinders Highway | Laidlow Crossing | Left | 0 | AUL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 23 | BAR | BAR | No | No |
| 7.9 | Flinders Highway | Lauderdale Road (east) | Left | 75 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 0 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.10 | Flinders Highway | Lyons Creek Road | Left | 64 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right |  | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.11 | Flinders Highway | Aramac Torrens Creek Road | Left | 84 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 3 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.12 | Flinders Highway | Prairie Road | Left | 0 | SL | BAL | Yes | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 48 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.14 | Flinders Highway | Redcliffe Road | Left | 0 | SL | BAL | Yes | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 23 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |


| Intersection <br> ID | Major Road | Minor Road | Turn movement | Vehicles/ construction peak hour | Existing turn treatment | Required turn treatment | Turn treatment upgrade required (existing conditions) | Turn treatment upgrade required due to increased project volumes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.15 | Flinders Highway | Unnamed Local <br> Road (off Flinders <br> Highway at <br> Hughenden - south of Mount Isa Line) | Left |  | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right |  | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.16 | Flinders Highway | Unnamed Road (off Flinders Highway at Hughenden - to Hughenden Camp) | Left |  | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right |  | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.17 | Flinders Highway | Kennedy Developmental Road (south) | Left |  | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right |  | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 45.2 | Kennedy <br> Developmental Road (south) | Mclaren Street | Left | 55 |  | SL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 0 | AUR | BAR | No | No |
| 7.18 | Flinders Highway* (Gray Street) | Stansfield Street | Left | 73 | BAL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 25 | BAR | BAR | No | No |
| 7.19 | Flinders Highway | Unnamed Road (off Flinders Highway to PTL-FLR_284 to FLR-DJR_82) | Left |  | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 0 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.20 | Flinders Highway | Marathon Stamford Road | Left | 15 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 1 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.21 | Flinders Highway | Barabon <br> Terranburby Road | Left | 1 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 53 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.22 | Flinders Highway | Benean Road | Left | 0 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 52 | BAR | BAR | No | No |


| Intersection ID | Major Road | Minor Road | Turn movement | Vehicles/ construction peak hour | Existing turn treatment | Required turn treatment | Turn treatment upgrade required (existing conditions) | Turn treatment upgrade required due to increased project volumes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.23 | Flinders Highway* (Goldring Street Richmond) | Larsen Street | Left | 15 | BAL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 19 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.24 | Flinders Highway | Crawford Street | Left | 0 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 0 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.25 | Flinders Highway | Pattel Drive | Left | 0 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 0 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.26 | Flinders Highway | Richmond Winton Road | Left | 1 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 1 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.27 | Flinders Highway | Maxwelton Kynuna Road | Left | 95 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 0 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.28 | Flinders Highway | Minamere Nelia Road | Left | 0 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 33 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.29 | Flinders Highway | Yorkshire Nelia Road | Left |  | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right |  | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.30 | Flinders Highway | Yorkshire Road | Left |  | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right |  | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.31 | Flinders Highway | Burke Street (eastern intersection) | Left | 22 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 1 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.32 | Flinders Highway | Burke Street (western intersection) | Left | 1 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 18 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |


| Intersection ID | Major Road | Minor Road | Turn movement | Vehicles/ construction peak hour | Existing turn treatment | Required <br> turn <br> treatment | Turn treatment upgrade required (existing conditions) | Turn treatment upgrade required due to increased project volumes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.33 | Flinders Highway | Julia Creek Kynuna Road | Left | 5 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 5 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.34 | Flinders Highway | Allison Street | Left | 3 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 2 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.35 | Flinders Highway | Mckinlay Gilliat Road | Left | 52 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 0 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.36 | Flinders Highway | Ivellen Road | Left | 76 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 0 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.37 | Flinders Highway | Oorindi Mckinlay Road | Left |  | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 109 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.38 | Flinders Highway | Landsborough Highway | Left | 3 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right | 103 | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 7.39 | Flinders Highway | Andrew Daniels Drive | Left | 3 | CHL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 14 | CHR | BAR | No | No |
| 7.40 | Flinders Highway | Round Oak Road | Left | 33 | AUL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 25 | CHR | BAR | No | No |
| 76.1 | Burke Developmental Road | Hensley Drive | Left | 72 | AUL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 0 | BAR | BAR | No | No |
| 73.1 | Barkly Highway | Powerhouse Road (Cloncurry) | Left | 19 | AUL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 0 | BAR | BAR | No | No |
| 73.2 | Barkly Highway | Burke <br> Developmental <br> Road | Left | 14 | CHL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 31 | CHR | BAR | No | No |


| Intersection ID | Major Road | Minor Road | Turn movement | Vehicles/ construction peak hour | Existing turn treatment | Required turn treatment | Turn treatment upgrade required (existing conditions) | Turn treatment upgrade required due to increased project volumes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73.3 | Barkly Highway | Chinaman Creek Dam Road | Left | 12 | AUL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 0 | BAR | BAR | No | No |
| 73.4 | Barkly Highway | Cloncurry Duchess Road | Left | 51 | BAL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 1 | BAR | BAR | No | No |
| 73.5 | Barkly Highway | Mount Frosty Road | Left |  | BAL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right |  | BAR | BAR | No | No |
| 73.6 | Barkly Highway | East Leichardt Road | Left |  | BAL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right |  | BAR | BAR | No | No |
| 81.2 | Mount Isa Duchess Road | Twenty Third Avenue | Left | 25 | BAL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 125 | CHR | BAR | No | No |
| 83.1 | Diamantina <br> Developmental Road | Twenty Third Avenue | Left | 28 | BAL | BAL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 14 | SL | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 83.2 | Diamantina Developmental Road | Diamantina Developmental Road (Council owned) | Left | 28 | SL | BAL | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  | Right |  | SR | BAR | Yes | Yes |
| 87.1 | Boulia Mount Isa Road | Moran Road | Left | 7 | SL | SL | No | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 0 | SR | SR | No | No |

Table 56: Turn lane requirements at driveways

| Driveway ID | Driveway | Turn movement | Vehicles/ construction peak hour | Existing turn treatment | Required <br> turn <br> treatment | Turn treatment upgrade required due to increased project volumes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.A | Ayr-Ravenswood Road and Access to Woodstock Substation | Right | 7 | SR | SR | No |
| 8.B | Ayr-Ravenswood Road and Western Access to WDS-PTL-T1_12 | Right | 16 | SR | SR | No |
| 8.C | Ayr-Ravenswood Road and Eastern Access to WDS-PTL-T13_77 | Left | 16 | SL | SL | No |
| 11.A | Burdekin Falls Dam Road and Western Access to WDS-PTL-T13_77 | Right | 7 | CR | BAR | Yes |
| 26.A | Gregory Developmental Road (south) and Western Access to WDS-PTL-T196_215 | Right | 75 | SR | SR | No |
| 26.B | Gregory Developmental Road (south) and Eastern Access to WDS-PTL-T216_278 | Left | 32 | SL | SL | No |
| 37.A | Aramac Torrens Creek Road and Western Access to PTL-FLR-T89_118 | Right | 7 | SR | SR | No |
| 37.B | Aramac Torrens Creek Road and Eastern Access to PTL-FLR-T119_168 | Left | 16 | SL | SL | No |
| 7.A | Flinders Highway and Cotonvale Road | Right | 23 | SR | SR | No |
| 7.B | Flinders Highway and Kennedy Energy Park Access Track | Left | 0 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 75 | SR | BAR | Yes |
| 45.A | Kennedy Developmental Road (south) and Western Access to PTL-FLR-T264_283 | Right | 32 | SR | SR | No |
| 45.B | Kennedy Developmental Road (south) and Eastern Access to PTL-FLR-T284_FLR-DJR-38 | Left | 32 | SL | SL | No |
| 7.C | Flinders Highway and Thornhill Tamworth Road | Left | 34 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 1 | SR | BAR | Yes |


| Driveway ID | Driveway | Turn movement | Vehicles/ construction peak hour | Existing turn treatment | Required turn treatment | Turn treatment upgrade required due to increased project volumes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54.A | Richmond Winton Road and Western Access to FLR-DJR-179_211 | Right | 69 | SR | SR | No |
| 54.B | Richmond Winton Road and Eastern Access to FLR-DJR-212_247 | Left | 26 | SL | SL | No |
| 7.D | Flinders Highway and Access to FLR-DJR-212_274 | Left | 97 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 1 | SR | BAR | Yes |
| 61.A | Julia Creek Kynuna Road and Western Access to FLR-DJR-434_475 | Right | 69 | SR | SR | No |
| 61.B | Julia Creek Kynuna Road and Eastern Access to FLR-DJR-476_545 | Left | 7 | SL | SL | No |
| 68.A | Landsborough Highway and Access to FLR-DJR705_716 | Right | $7$ | SR | SR | No |
| 68.B | Landsborough Highway and Access to FLR-DJR- 703_704 | Right | 7 | SR | SR | No |
| 68.C | Landsborough Highway and Access to FLR-DJR694_699 | Left | 7 | SL | SL | No |
| 68.D | Landsborough Highway and Access to FLR-DJR700_702 | Right | 7 | SR | SR | No |
| $68 . \mathrm{E}$ | Landsborough Highway and Access to FLR-DJR- 682_693 | Left | 7 | SL | SL | No |
| 68.7 | Landsborough Highway and Access to FLR-DJR650_672 | Left | 7 | SL | SL | No |
| 68.G | Landsborough Highway and Access to FLR-DJR673_689 | Left | 26 | SL | SL | No |
| 76.A | Burke Developmental Road and Cloncurry Camp Access | Left |  | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right |  | SR | BAR | Yes |


| Driveway ID | Driveway | Turn movement | Vehicles/ construction peak hour | Existing turn treatment | Required turn treatment | Turn treatment upgrade required due to increased project volumes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78.A | Cloncurry Duchess Road and Access to Dajarra Substation Laydown | Right | 7 | SR | SR | No |
| 78.B | Cloncurry Duchess Road and Access to FLR-DJR743_749 | Right | 14 | SR | SR | No |
| 78.C | Cloncurry Duchess Road and Access to FLR-DJR750 | Left | 26 | SL | SL | No |
| 73.A | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-7_27 | Left | 7 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 0 | SR | BAR | Yes |
| 73.B | Barkly and Access to DJR-MIS-20_34 | Left | 7 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 0 | SR | BAR | Yes |
| 73.C | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-35_43 | Left | 7 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right |  | SR | BAR | Yes |
| 73.D | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-44_49 | Left | 7 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 0 | SR | BAR | Yes |
| 73.E | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-50_56 | Left | 7 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 0 | SR | BAR | Yes |
| 73.F | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-57_60 | Left | 7 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 0 | SR | BAR | Yes |
| 73.G | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-61_66 | Left | 7 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 0 | SR | BAR | Yes |
| 73.H | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-67_68 | Left | 7 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 0 | SR | BAR | Yes |


| Driveway ID | Driveway | Turn movement | Vehicles/ construction peak hour | Existing turn treatment | Required turn treatment | Turn treatment upgrade required due to increased project volumes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73.1 | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-69_72 | Left | 0 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 7 | SR | BAR | Yes |
| 73.J | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-73_86 | Left | 0 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 7 | SR | BAR | Yes |
| 73.K | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-87_97 | Left | 7 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 0 | SR | BAR | Yes |
| 73.L | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-98_99 | Left | 7 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 0 | SR | BAR | Yes |
| 73.M | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-100_103 | Left | 0 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right |  | SR | BAR | Yes |
| 73.N | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-107_108 | Left | 0 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 7 | SR | BAR | Yes |
| 73.0 | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-109_112 | Left | 0 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 7 | SR | BAR | Yes |
| 73.P | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-113_118 | Left | 0 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 7 | SR | BAR | Yes |
| 73.Q | Barkly and Access to DJR-MIS-115_121 | Left | 0 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 7 | SR | BAR | Yes |
| $73 . \mathrm{R}$ | Barkly Highway and Eastern Access to DJR-MIS-122_126 | Left | 0 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 7 | SR | BAR | Yes |
| 73.5 | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-143_153 | Left | 0 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 7 | SR | BAR | Yes |


| Driveway ID | Driveway | Turn movement | Vehicles/ construction peak hour | Existing turn treatment | Required turn treatment | Turn treatment upgrade required due to increased project volumes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73.1 | Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS-154_177 | Left | 0 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 26 | SR | BAR | Yes |
| 81.A | Mount Isa Duchess Road and Access to DJR-MIS178_192 | Left | 7 | SL | SL | No |
| 81.B | Mount Isa Duchess Road and Access to DJR-MIS193 | Left | 7 | SL | SL | No |
| 81.C | Mount Isa Duchess Road and Access to DJR-MIS194 | Right | 7 | SR | SR | No |
| 83.A | Diamantina Developmental Road and Northern Access to Mount Isa Substation Laydown | Left | 0 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 0 | SR | BAR | Yes |
| 87.A | Boulia Mount Isa Highway and Southern Access to Mount Isa Substation Laydown | Left | 0 | SL | BAL | Yes |
|  |  | Right | 0 | SR | BAR | Yes |

### 5.3 Road safety assessment

The level of risk for each road has been determined with respect to the identified hazards as specified in Table 57

Table 57: Road safety risk assessment

| Road ID | Road Section | Location | Issue | Pre-mitigated risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
| 4 | Townsville Port Road | North of Boundary Street | Five vehicles leaving driveway crashes were recorded along Townsville Port Road in the most recent 10-year period, one of which resulted in a fatality and four of which resulted in hospitalisations. Despite the slower speed limit and straight section of road, vehicles travelling on Townsville Port Road should be aware of vehicles leaving driveways/ car parking. Such a crash at this location has the potential to result in a moderatespeed collision between two vehicles causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | 2.5 km section along horizontal curves east of Mingela | Six off path on curve crashes were recorded in the most recent 10-year period, four of which resulted in hospitalisations, one required medical treatment to be administered at the scene and a further one resulted in minor injury. As such, the road alignment and high vehicle speed, noting the provision of overtaking lanes at this location, may lead to an inflated crash risk, in which a crash may result in death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  |  | Intersection 7.2 Flinders Highway and Amity Road | Measured SISD: - <br> Required SISD: 317 m <br> Potentially insufficient SISD (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to east, limited by barrier, horizontal curve and dip. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision causing death or serious injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Serious | TBC |
|  |  | Intersection 7.3 <br> Flinders Highway and Gregory Developmental Road (north) | Measured SISD: - <br> Required SISD: 187m <br> Potentially insufficient SISD (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to west, limited by dip. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision causing minor injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Minor | TBC |


| Road ID | Road Section | Location | Issue | Pre-mitigated risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  |  | Intersection 7.4 <br> Flinders Highway and Millchester Road | Measured SISD: 125 m <br> Required SISD: 151m <br> Insufficient SISD to north, limited by horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between two vehicles causing minor injury. | Improbable | Minor | Low |
|  |  | Intersection 7.8 <br> Flinders Highway and Red Road | Measured SISD: 175m <br> Required SISD: 227 m <br> Insufficient SISD to east, limited by dip. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between two vehicles causing minor injury. | Occasional | Minor | Medium |
|  |  | Intersection 7.14 <br> Flinders Highway and Redcliffe Road | Measured ASD: 135m <br> Required ASD: 233m <br> Insufficient approach sight distance, limited by crest. <br> Note that vehicles would likely be travelling slower than the $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ rural default speed limit. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed side-on collision with another vehicle, or a single car collision with infrastructure opposite the minor road. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Intersection 7.21 <br> Flinders Highway <br> and Barabon <br> Terranburby | Measured SISD: 270m <br> Required SISD: 367m <br> Insufficient SISD to east, limited by vegetation, horizontal curve and minor dip. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  |  | Intersection 7.30 <br> Flinders Highway and Yorkshire Road | Measured SISD: 120m <br> Required SISD: 317m <br> Poor ( 120 m ) SISD to west, limited by vegetation. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |


| Road ID | Road Section | Location | Issue | Pre-mitigated risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  |  | Intersection 7.31 <br> Flinders Highway and Burke Street (eastern access) | Measured SISD: 130 m <br> Required SISD: 151m <br> Insufficient SISD to west, limited by vegetation in the median. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between two vehicles causing minor injury. | Improbable | Minor | Low |
|  |  | Intersection 7.38 <br> Flinders Highway and Landsborough Highway | Measured SISD: - <br> Required SISD: 317m <br> Potentially insufficient SISD (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to west, <br> limited by horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision causing death or serious injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Serious | TBC |
|  |  | Driveway 7.B <br> Flinders Highway and Kennedy Energy <br> Park Access Track | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to east, limited by horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Serious | TBC |
| 8 | Ayr <br> Ravenswood <br> Road | Driveway 8.A <br> Ayr Ravenswood and Access to Woodstock Substation | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to east, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Serious | TBC |
|  |  |  | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to west, limited by crest. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Serious | TBC |


| Road ID | Road Section | Location | Issue | Pre-mitigated risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  |  | Driveway 8.B <br> Ayr-Ravenswood <br> Road and Western <br> Access to WDS- <br> PTL-T1_12 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to north, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Serious | TBC |
|  |  |  | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to south, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Serious | TBC |
|  |  | Driveway 8.C <br> Ayr-Ravenswood <br> Road and Eastern <br> Access to WDS- <br> PTL-T13_77 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to north, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Serious | TBC |
|  |  |  | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to south, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Serious | TBC |
| 11 | Burdekin Falls Dam Road | Intersection 11.1 <br> Burdekin Falls Dam <br> Road and Ayr <br> Ravenswood Road | Measured SISD: - <br> Required SISD: 317m <br> Potentially insufficient SISD (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to north, limited by horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision causing death or serious injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Serious | TBC |


| Road <br> ID |  |  | Road Section | Location | Issue | Pre-mitigated risk |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Road ID | Road Section | Location | Issue | Pre-mitigated risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
| 68 | Landsborough Highway | Driveway 68.D <br> Landsborough <br> Highway and Access <br> to FLR-DJR- <br> 700_702 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to west, limited by crest. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Serious | TBC |
| 73 | Barkly <br> Highway | Intersection 73.3 <br> Barkly Highway and <br> Chinaman Creek <br> Dam Road | Measured SISD: 200m <br> Required SISD: 227 m <br> Insufficient SISD to east, limited by vegetation. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between two vehicles causing minor injury. | Improbable | Minor | Medium |
|  |  |  | Measured SISD: 170m <br> Required SISD: 227 m <br> Insufficient SISD to west, limited by vegetation and sign. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between two vehicles causing minor injury. | Occasional | Minor | High |
|  |  | Intersection 73.4 <br> Barkly Highway and <br> Cloncurry Duchess <br> Road | Measured SISD: 280m <br> Required SISD: 317m <br> Insufficient sight distance to east, limited by dip. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Intersection 73.5 | Measured SISD: 220m <br> Required SISD: 317m <br> Insufficient SISD to east, limited by vegetation and dip. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  |  | Measured SISD: 280m <br> Required SISD: 317 m <br> Insufficient SISD to west, limited by vegetation. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |


| Road <br> ID | Road Section | Location | Issue | Pre-mitigated risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  |  | Intersection 73.6 <br> Barkly Highway and <br> East Leichardt Road | Measured SISD: 215 m <br> Required SISD: 317m Insufficient SISD to east, limited by vegetation and crest. This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  |  | Intersection 73.6 <br> Barkly Highway and <br> East Leichardt Road | Measured ASD: 140m <br> Required ASD: 233m <br> Insufficient approach sight distance, limited by vegetation and crest. <br> Note that vehicles would likely be travelling slower than the $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ rural default speed limit. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed side-on collision with another vehicle, or a single car collision with infrastructure opposite the minor road. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Driveway 73.A <br> Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS7_27 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to east, limited by crest. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Serious | TBC |
|  |  | Driveway 73.B <br> Barkly and Access to DJR-MIS-20_34 | Measured SD: 200m <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Insufficient sight distance to south, limited by crest. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Driveway 73.E <br> Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS50_56 | Measured SD: 180m <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Insufficient sight distance to west, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |


| Road ID | Road Section | Location | Issue | Pre-mitigated risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  |  | Driveway 73.F <br> Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS- 57_60 | Measured SD: 150m <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Insufficient sight distance to east, limited by crest. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  |  | Driveway 73.H <br> Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS67_68 | Measured SD: 120m <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Insufficient sight distance to east, limited by horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  |  | Driveway 73.1 <br> Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS69_72 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to east, limited by horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Serious | TBC |
|  |  | Driveway 73.N <br> Barkly Highway and <br> Access to DJR-MIS- 107_108 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to west, limited by vegetation. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Serious | TBC |
|  |  | Driveway 73.0 <br> Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS- 109_112 | Measured SD: 200m <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Insufficient sight distance to east, limited by crest and horizontal curve. This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |


| Road ID | Road Section | Location | Issue | Pre-mitigated risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  |  | Driveway 73.Q <br> Barkly and Access to DJR-MIS-115_121 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to east, limited by horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Serious | TBC |
|  |  | Driveway 73.R <br> Barkly Highway and <br> Eastern Access to <br> DJR-MIS-122_126 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to east, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Serious | TBC |
|  |  |  | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to west, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Serious | TBC |
|  |  | Driveway 73.S <br> Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS- 143_153 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to east, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Serious | TBC |
|  |  | Driveway 73.T <br> Barkly Highway and Access to DJR-MIS154_177 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to east, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Serious | TBC |


| Road ID | Road Section | Location | Issue | Pre-mitigated risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  |  |  | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to west, limited by horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Likely occasional or improbable | Serious | TBC |
|  |  | Mount Isa | Three crashes involving pedestrians occurred along the Barkly Highway in Mount Isa in the most recent 10-years, one of which resulted in a fatality and two which resulted in hospitalisations. The high number of pedestrians in Mount Isa results in an increased vehicle-pedestrian crash risk. <br> Such a crash has the potential to result in death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
| 81 | Mount Isa <br> Duchess Road | Mount Isa CBD | Five crashes involving pedestrians occurred along Mount Isa Duchess Road in the most recent 10-years, two of which resulted in hospitalisations and three of which resulted in medical treatment being administered at the scene. The high number of pedestrians in Mount Isa results in an increased vehicle-pedestrian crash risk. <br> Such a crash has the potential to result in death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  |  | Mount Isa CBD | Six vehicles leaving driveway crashes were recorded along Mount Isa Duchess Road in the most recent 10-year period, three of which resulted in hospitalisations, one of which resulted in medical treatment being administered at the scene and two of which resulted in minor injury. Despite the slower speed limit, the provided on-street and off-street parking results in a high number of conflicting movements at midblocks which can cause crashes. <br> Such a crash has the potential to result in minor injury. | Occasional | Minor | Medium |
| 83 | Diamantina Developmental Road | Intersection 83.1 Diamantina Developmental Road and Twenty Third Avenue | Measured SISD: 135m <br> Required SISD: 151m <br> Insufficient SISD to west, limited by vegetation. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between two vehicles causing minor injury. | Improbable | Minor | Medium |


| Road ID | Road Section | Location | Issue | Pre-mitigated risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  |  | Driveway 83.A <br> Diamantina <br> Developmental Road and Northern Access to Mount Isa Substation Laydown | Measured SD: 80m <br> Required SD: 178m <br> Poor sight distance to north, limited by crest. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Occasional | Minor | Medium |
|  |  |  | Measured SD: 130m <br> Required SD: 178m <br> Insufficient sight distance to south, limited by sign. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Occasional | Minor | Medium |
|  |  |  | Measured SISD: 200m <br> Required SISD: 233m <br> Insufficient SISD to north, limited by vegetation and crest. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
| 87 | Boulia Mount Isa Road | Intersection 87.1 <br> Boulia Mount Isa <br> Road and Moran <br> Road | Measured ASD: 75m <br> Required ASD: 233m <br> Poor approach sight distance, limited by vegetation. Note that this may improve to $150 \mathrm{~m}+$ with vegetation removal. <br> Note that vehicles would likely be travelling slower than the $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ rural default speed limit. Also note the location of a cattle grid 25 m south-east of the intersection, which is likely to slow vehicles considerably, as is the floodway located approximately 110 m south of the intersection. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed side-on collision with another vehicle, or a single car collision with infrastructure opposite the minor road. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |

The assessment above has shown that the project construction has the potential to result in 14 high risks, 15 medium risks and 2 low risks prior to the application of mitigation measures. 23 risks are still to be confirmed based on the survey which will determine the extent of sight distances at intersections and driveways.

### 5.3.1 Avoidance, Mitigation and Management Measures

Mitigation measures \#8 to \#12 and \#23 from Table are relevant for the road safety assessment.
Where advance warning signage is recommended for mitigation, as per mitigation measure 11, we suggest use of warning signs from the W2 list as detailed in the Australian Standard AS1742.2-2009 Manual of uniform traffic control devices - Part 2: Traffic control devices for general use. Sign W2-4(R) as shown in Figure 47 is an example of a sign in the class which would be used on a major road to warn of an upcoming T-intersection on the right, typically utilised when sight distance to the intersection is limited due to road works.


W2-4(R)

Figure 47: Example W2 class signage

### 5.3.1 Residual risks

The residual risks for road safety after applying avoidance, management and mitigation measures are shown in Table 58.
Table 58: Residual road safety risks

| Road <br> ID | Road section | Location | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
| 4 | Townsville Port Road | North of Boundary Street | Five vehicles leaving driveway crashes were recorded along Townsville Port Road in the most recent 10-year period, one of which resulted in a fatality and four of which resulted in hospitalisations. Despite the slower speed limit and straight section of road, vehicles travelling on Townsville Port Road should be aware of vehicles leaving driveways/ car parking. <br> Such a crash at this location has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between two vehicles causing death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#23 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | 2.5 km section along horizontal curves east of Mingela | Six off path on curve crashes were recorded in the most recent 10-year period, four of which resulted in hospitalisations, one required medical treatment to be administered at the scene and a further one resulted in minor injury. As such, the road alignment and high vehicle speed, noting the provision of overtaking lanes at this location, may lead to an inflated crash risk, in which a crash may result in death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#23 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |


| Road ID | Road section | Location | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  |  | Intersection <br> 7.2 <br> Flinders <br> Highway and <br> Amity Road | Measured SISD: - <br> Required SISD: 317m <br> Potentially insufficient SISD (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to east, limited by barrier, horizontal curve and dip. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision causing death or serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measures \#11 and \#12 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Intersection <br> 7.3 <br> Flinders <br> Highway and <br> Gregory <br> Developmental <br> Road (north) | Measured SISD: - <br> Required SISD: 187m <br> Potentially insufficient SISD (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to west, limited by dip. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderatespeed collision causing minor injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Minor | Low |
|  |  | Intersection <br> 7.4 <br> Flinders <br> Highway and Millchester <br> Road | Measured SISD: 125m <br> Required SISD: 151m <br> Insufficient SISD to north, limited by horizontal curve <br> This has the potential to result in a moderatespeed collision causing minor injury. | Low | Apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Minor | Low |
|  |  | Intersection <br> 7.8 <br> Flinders <br> Highway and <br> Red Road | Measured SISD: 175m <br> Required SISD: 227m Insufficient SISD to east, limited by dip. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderatespeed collision between two vehicles causing minor injury. | Medium | Apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Minor | Low |


| Road ID | Road section | Location | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  |  | Intersection <br> 7.14 <br> Flinders <br> Highway and <br> Redcliffe Road | Measured ASD: 135m <br> Required ASD: 233m <br> Insufficient approach sight distance, limited by crest. <br> Note that vehicles would likely be travelling slower than the $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ rural default speed limit. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderatespeed side-on collision with another vehicle, or a single car collision with infrastructure opposite the minor road. | Medium | Apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Intersection <br> 7.21 <br> Flinders <br> Highway and <br> Barabon <br> Terranburby | Measured SISD: 270m <br> Required SISD: 367 m <br> Insufficient SISD to east, limited by vegetation, horizontal curve and minor dip. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Intersection <br> 7.30 <br> Flinders <br> Highway and Yorkshire Road | Measured SISD: 120m <br> Required SISD: 317m <br> Poor SISD to west, limited by vegetation. This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#10 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Intersection <br> 7.31 <br> Flinders <br> Highway and Burke Street (eastern access) | Measured SISD: 130m <br> Required SISD: 151m <br> Insufficient SISD to west, limited by vegetation in the median. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderatespeed collision between two vehicles causing minor injury. | Low | Apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#10 from Table 51. | Improbable | Minor | Low |


| Road ID | Road section | Location | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  |  | Intersection <br> 7.38 <br> Flinders <br> Highway and <br> Landsborough <br> Highway | Measured SISD: - <br> Required SISD: 317m <br> Potentially insufficient SISD (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to west, limited by horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision causing death or serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Driveway 7.B <br> Flinders <br> Highway and <br> Kennedy <br> Energy Park <br> Access Track | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to east, limited by horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| 8 | Ayr <br> Ravenswood <br> Road | Driveway 8.A Ayr Ravenswood and Access to Woodstock Substation | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to east, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  |  | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to west, limited by crest. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |


| Road ID | Road section | Location | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  |  | Driveway 8.B <br> Ayr- <br> Ravenswood <br> Road and <br> Western <br> Access to <br> WDS-PTL- <br> T1_12 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to north, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  |  | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to south, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Driveway 8.C Ayr- <br> Ravenswood <br> Road and <br> Eastern <br> Access to <br> WDS-PTL- <br> T13_77 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to north, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  |  | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to south, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |


| Road ID | Road section | Location | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
| 11 | Burdekin Falls <br> Dam Road | Intersection <br> 11.1 <br> Burdekin Falls <br> Dam Road <br> and Ayr <br> Ravenswood <br> Road | Measured SISD: - <br> Required SISD: 317m <br> Potentially insufficient SISD (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to north, limited by horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision causing death or serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Intersection 11.2 <br> Burdekin Falls Dam Road and Silver Valley Road | Measured SISD: 300m <br> Required SISD: 317m <br> Insufficient SISD to north, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision causing death or serious injury. | Medium | Apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| 26 | Gregory Developmental Road (south) | Driveway 26.A Gregory <br> Developmental <br> Road (south) <br> and Western <br> Access to <br> WDS-PTL- <br> T196_215 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to north, limited by dip. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Driveway 26.B Gregory Developmental Road (south) and Eastern Access to WDS-PTLT216_278 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to north, limited by dip. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |


| RoadID | Road section | Location | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
| 45 | Kennedy Developmental Road (south) | Driveway 45.A <br> Kennedy <br> Developmental <br> Road (south) <br> and Western <br> Access to <br> PTL-FLR- <br> T264_283 | Measured SD: 160m <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Insufficient sight distance to south, limited by crest. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Driveway 45.B <br> Kennedy <br> Developmental <br> Road (south) <br> and Eastern <br> Access to <br> PTL-FLR- <br> T284_FLR- <br> DJR-38 | Measured SD: 160m <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Insufficient sight distance to south, limited by crest. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| 68 | Landsborough Highway | Driveway 68.D <br> Landsborough <br> Highway and <br> Access to <br> FLR-DJR- <br> 700_702 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to west, limited by crest. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | Intersection 73.3 Barkly Highway and Chinaman Creek Dam | Measured SISD: 200m <br> Required SISD: 227m <br> Insufficient SISD to east, limited by vegetation. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderatespeed collision between two vehicles causing minor injury. | Medium | Apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#10 from Table 51. | Expected to | ve sufficient sigh | stance |


| Road <br> ID | Road section | Location | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  |  | Road | Measured SISD: 170m <br> Required SISD: 227m <br> Insufficient SISD to west, limited by vegetation and sign. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderatespeed collision between two vehicles causing minor injury. | High | Apply mitigations measures \#8 to \#10 and \#12 from Table 51. | Improbable | Minor | Low |
|  |  | Intersection <br> 73.4 <br> Barkly <br> Highway and <br> Cloncurry <br> Duchess Road | Measured SISD: 280m <br> Required SISD: 317m <br> Insufficient sight distance to east, limited by dip. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Medium | Apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Intersection <br> 73.5 <br> Barkly | Measured SISD: 220m <br> Required SISD: 317m <br> Insufficient SISD to east, limited by vegetation and dip. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Medium | Apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Mount Frosty Road | Measured SISD: 280m <br> Required SISD: 317m <br> Insufficient SISD to west, limited by vegetation. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#10 from Table 51. | Expected to | ve sufficient sig | stance |


| Road ID | Road section | Location | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  |  | Intersection <br> 73.6 <br> Barkly <br> Highway and <br> East Leichardt <br> Road | Measured SISD: 215m <br> Required SISD: 317m <br> Insufficient SISD to east, limited by vegetation and crest. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Intersection <br> 73.6 <br> Barkly <br> Highway and <br> East Leichardt <br> Road | Measured ASD: 140m <br> Required ASD: 233m <br> Insufficient approach sight distance, limited by vegetation and crest. <br> Note that vehicles would likely be travelling slower than the $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ rural default speed limit. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderatespeed side-on collision with another vehicle, or a single car collision with infrastructure opposite the minor road. | Medium | Apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Driveway 73.A <br> Barkly <br> Highway and <br> Access to <br> DJR-MIS-7_27 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to east, limited by crest. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Driveway 73.B <br> Barkly and Access to DJR-MIS- 20_34 | Measured SD: 200m <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Insufficient sight distance to south, limited by crest. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Medium | Apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |


| Road ID | Road section | Location | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  |  | Driveway 73.E <br> Barkly <br> Highway and <br> Access to <br> DJR-MIS- <br> 50_56 | Measured SD: 180m <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Insufficient sight distance to west, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Medium | Apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Driveway 73.F <br> Barkly <br> Highway and <br> Access to <br> DJR-MIS- <br> 57_60 | Measured SD: 150m <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Insufficient sight distance to east, limited by crest. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Driveway 73.H <br> Barkly <br> Highway and <br> Access to <br> DJR-MIS- <br> 67_68 | Measured SD: 120m <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Insufficient sight distance to east, limited by horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Driveway 73.1 <br> Barkly <br> Highway and <br> Access to <br> DJR-MIS- <br> 69_72 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to east, limited by horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |


| RoadID | Road section | Location | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  |  | Driveway 73.N <br> Barkly <br> Highway and <br> Access to <br> DJR-MIS- <br> 107_108 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to west, limited by vegetation. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#10 from Table 51. | Expected to have sufficient sight distance |  |  |
|  |  | Driveway 73.0 <br> Barkly <br> Highway and Access to DJR-MIS109_112 | Measured SD: 200m <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Insufficient sight distance to east, limited by crest and horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Medium | Apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Driveway 73.Q <br> Barkly and <br> Access to <br> DJR-MIS- 115_121 | Measured SD:- <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to east, limited by horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Driveway 73.R <br> Barkly <br> Highway and <br> Eastern <br> Access to <br> DJR-MIS- <br> 122_126 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to east, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |


| Road ID | Road section | Location | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  |  |  | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to west, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Driveway 73.S <br> Barkly <br> Highway and <br> Access to <br> DJR-MIS- <br> 143_153 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to east, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Driveway 73.T <br> Barkly <br> Highway and | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to east, limited by vegetation and horizontal curve. This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Access to <br> DJR-MIS- 154_177 | Measured SD: - <br> Required SD: 222m <br> Potentially insufficient sight distance (to be confirmed by Veris survey) to west, limited by horizontal curve. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | TBC | Potentially apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |


| Road ID | Road section | Location | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  |  | Mount Isa | Three crashes involving pedestrians occurred along the Barkly Highway in Mount Isa in the most recent 10-years, one of which resulted in a fatality and two which resulted in hospitalisations. The high number of pedestrians in Mount Isa results in an increased vehicle-pedestrian crash risk. Such a crash has the potential to result in death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#23 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  |  | Mount Isa CBD | Five crashes involving pedestrians occurred along Mount Isa Duchess Road in the most recent 10-years, two of which resulted in hospitalisations and three of which resulted in medical treatment being administered at the scene. The high number of pedestrians in Mount Isa results in an increased vehiclepedestrian crash risk. Such a crash has the potential to result in death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#23 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| 81 | Mount Isa <br> Duchess Road | Mount Isa CBD | Six vehicles leaving driveway crashes were recorded along Mount Isa Duchess Road in the most recent 10-year period, three of which resulted in hospitalisations, one of which resulted in medical treatment being administered at the scene and two of which resulted in minor injury. Despite the slower speed limit, the provided on-street and offstreet parking results in a high number of conflicting movements at midblocks which can cause crashes. <br> Such a crash has the potential to result in minor injury. | Medium | Apply mitigation measure \#23 from Table 51. | Improbable | Minor | Low |


| Road ID | Road section | Location | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
| 83 | Diamantina Developmental Road | Intersection <br> 83.1 <br> Diamantina <br> Developmental <br> Road and <br> Twenty Third <br> Avenue | Measured SISD: 135m <br> Required SISD: 151m Insufficient SISD to west, limited by vegetation. This has the potential to result in a moderatespeed collision between two vehicles causing minor injury. | Medium | Apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#10 from the avoidance, management and mitigation measures table. | Expected to | ve sufficient sigh | tance |
|  |  | Driveway 83.A <br> Diamantina <br> Developmental <br> Road and <br> Northern <br> Access to <br> Mount Isa <br> Substation <br> Laydown | Measured SD: 80m <br> Required SD: 178m <br> Poor sight distance to north, limited by crest. This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Medium | Apply mitigation measure \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Minor | Low |
|  |  |  | Measured SD: 130m <br> Required SD: 178m <br> Insufficient sight distance to south, limited by sign. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderatespeed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | Medium | Apply mitigation measure \#12 from Table 51. | Improbable | Minor | Low |
| 87 | Boulia Mount Isa Road | Intersection 87.1 <br> Boulia Mount Isa Road and Moran Road | Measured SISD: 200m <br> Required SISD: 233m Insufficient SISD to north, limited by vegetation and crest. <br> This has the potential to result in a high-speed collision between two vehicles causing serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#11 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |


| Road ID | Road section | Location | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  |  |  | Measured ASD: 75m <br> Required ASD: 233m <br> Poor approach sight distance, limited by vegetation. Note that this may improve to $150 \mathrm{~m}+$ with vegetation removal. <br> Note that vehicles would likely be travelling slower than the $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ rural default speed limit. Also note the location of a cattle grid 25 m south-east of the intersection, which is likely to slow vehicles considerably, as is the floodway located approximately 110 m south of the intersection. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderatespeed side-on collision with another vehicle, or a single car collision with infrastructure opposite the minor road. | Medium | Apply mitigation measures \#8 to \#10 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |

Post implementation of mitigation measures, it is expected that there will be 41 medium risks, 8 low risks and 5 instances in which no (or very limited) risk would be present as the sight distance will meet the relevant requirement. This is a reduction in 14 risks from high to medium risk, at least 8 risks from medium to low risk and 5 risks are no longer a risk.

It is further noted that some improbable likelihood risks have remained as there is not a lower risk likelihood. Although the likelihood has not changed in this rating table, the risk of a crash is further decreased through the additional management measures.

In addition, there remains a risk that road authorities have planned major maintenance or capital works programs along sections of the access route, which may increase safety risks for road users due to diverted traffic, congestion, and temporary traffic management.

### 5.4 Road condition risk assessment

### 5.4.1 Issues and potential impacts

The level of risk associated with a crash occurring due to a road hazard, either existing of having formed due to the construction traffic. The assessment is shown below in Table 59.

Table 59: Road condition level of risk

| Road <br> ID | Road name | Road surface type | Road condition | Likelihood of a hazard occurring | Speed limit | Visibility (general) | Consequence of hazard | Level of risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Townsville Port Road | Sealed | Excellent condition <br> Minor polishing in the wheel path | Improbable | Typically $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, slowing at either end approaching Townsville Port and the Bruce Highway | More than SSD | Minor | Low |
| 5 | Bruce Highway | Sealed | Excellent condition <br> Minor expedient patching and polishing | Improbable | Typically $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, slowing to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ at Ayr-Dalbeg intersection, $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ approaching Ayr and 50km/h through Ayr | More than SSD | Minor | Low |
| 6 | Ayr Dalbeg Road | Sealed | Good condition <br> Fading centreline, minor rutting/ depressions, minor potholing and edge breaks | Improbable | Typically $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, slowing to $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ east of Brown Road | More than SSD | Minor | Low |


| Road ID | Road name | Road surface type | Road condition | Likelihood of a hazard occurring | Speed limit | Visibility (general) | Consequence of hazard | Level of risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | Sealed | Good condition Various minor defects present along the extent including patching, cracking, surface wear and bleeding, polishing, delamination, shoving, corrugations and depressions. Infrequent more significant defects present at very infrequent intervals, such as wide filled cracking west of Maxwelton. | Improbable | Typically 100 to $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, slowing at towns along the extent | More than SSD | Minor | Low |
| 8 | Ayr <br> Ravenswood <br> Road | Sealed through Ravenswood. Typically gravel or dirt thereafter other than at a steep descent at -20.047056, 146.949096 | Poor condition <br> Significant corrugation for extended periods, difficult to traverse floodways and minor laminations and cracking. | Probable | Typically $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (due to the condition of the road, vehicles travel much more slowly) | More than SSD | Minor | High |
| 11 | Burdekin Falls <br> Dam Road | Sealed | Good condition Minor patching, transverse cracking, edge damage and stripping of seal. | Improbable | Typically $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, slowing to $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ through Mingela | More than SSD | Minor | Low |
| 15 | Gregory <br> Developmental <br> Road (north) | Sealed | Excellent condition <br> Very minor expedient patching | Improbable | Typically 70km/h, slowing to $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ through Charters Towers | More than SSD | Limited | Low |


| Road ID | Road name | Road surface type | Road condition | Likelihood of a hazard occurring | Speed limit | Visibility (general) | Consequence of hazard | Level of risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | Gregory <br> Developmental <br> Road (south) | Sealed | Excellent condition <br> Minor polishing in wheel path | Improbable | $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ for approximately 1 km south of Flinders Highway, $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ thereafter. | More than SSD | Minor | Low |
| 37 | Aramac <br> Torrens Creek <br> Road | Sealed | Good condition <br> Significant pothole at Mount Isa Line | Improbable | Unposted - Assume 100km/h Queensland rural speed limit | More than SSD | Minor | Low |
| 45 | Kennedy Developmental Road (south) | Sealed | Good condition <br> Minor infrequent shoving, rutting, delineation, edge break and longitudinal cracking present. Minor rutting and depressions also present. | Improbable | Typically $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, slowing to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and then $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ approaching Hughenden | More than SSD | Minor | Low |
| 54 | Richmond Winton Road | Sealed | Good condition <br> Filled longitudinal cracking, minor corrugations in edgeline, signed section with rough surface, edge break. | Improbable | $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | More than SSD | Minor | Low |
| 61 | Julia Creek Kynuna Road | Sealed | Good condition <br> Polishing in wheel path | Improbable | Typically $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, slowing to 60km/h in Julia Creek | More than SSD | Minor | Low |
| 68 | Landsborough Highway | Sealed | Good condition Very minor polishing, minor shoving in edgeline, rutting in wheelpath and depressions. | Improbable | $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ at northern end, increasing to $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ approximately 750 m south of Flinders Highway and furthermore to $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ 9.5 km south of Flinders Highway | More than SSD | Minor | Low |


| Road ID | Road name | Road surface type | Road condition | Likelihood of a hazard occurring | Speed limit | Visibility (general) | Consequence of hazard | Level of risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | Sealed | Reasonable condition Significant polishing west of Cloncurry. Various minor defects including shoving in the edgeline, rutting, depressions, corrugations, potholing and expedient patching. | Occasional | Typically $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, slowing on approach to and through Cloncurry and Mount Isa | More than SSD | Minor | Medium |
| 76 | Burke <br> Developmental <br> Road | Sealed | Good condition Minor shoving in edgeline, rutting and polishing present. | Improbable | Typically $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, increasing to $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in the northbound direction approximately 0.4 km north of Burke Developmental Road/ Hensley Drive intersection | More than SSD | Minor | Low |
| 78 | Cloncurry <br> Duchess Road | Sealed | Good condition Polishing, minor rutting, edge break and edge drop-off present. | Improbable | Not posted - assume $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ rural default speed limit | More than SSD | Minor | Low |
| 81 | Mount Isa <br> Duchess Road | Sealed | Reasonable condition Minor corrugations, polishing, potholing, edge break, rutting, and cracking present. | Occasional | 60km/h through Mount Isa, increasing to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ approximately 3.3 km south of Barkly Highway intersection | More than SSD | Limited | Low |
| 83 | Diamantina Developmental Road | Sealed | Reasonable condition <br> Edge break and cracking present near Oban Road, minor cracking and expedient patching further south. | Occasional | 60km/h through Mount Isa, increasing to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ approximately 0.55 km south of Oban Road intersection | More than SSD | Limited | Low |
| 87 | Boulia Mount Isa Road | Sealed | Good condition Minor edge break and stripping present | Improbable | $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, increasing to 100km/h approximately 1.7 km south of Diamantina Developmental Road intersection | More than SSD | Minor | Low |

Table 59 shows that drivers may be subject to 19 risks during construction, of which one is high risk, one is medium risk and 17 are low risk.

### 5.4.2 Avoidance, Mitigation and Management Measures

The residual risks for the road condition after applying avoidance, management and mitigation measures are shown in table. Mitigation measures \#13 to \#15 from Table 51 are relevant for the road condition.

### 5.4.3 Residual risks

The residual risks for road comdition after applying avoidance, management and mitigation measures are shown in Table 60.

Table 60: Residual road condition risks

| Road ID | Road name | Initial level of risk | Residual risk | Level of risk |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence |  |
| 4 | Townsville Port Road | Low | Improbable | Minor | Low |
| 5 | Bruce Highway | Low | Improbable | Minor | Low |
| 6 | Ayr Dalbeg Road | Low | Improbable | Minor | Low |
| 7 | Flinders Highway | Low | Improbable | Minor | Low |
| 8 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | High | Improbable | Minor | Low |
| 11 | Burdekin Falls Dam Road | Low | Improbable | Minor | Low |
| 26 | Gregory Developmental Road (north) | Low | Improbable | Limited | Low |
| 37 | Gregory Developmental Road (south) | Low | Improbable | Minor | Low |
| 45 | Kennedy Developmental Road (south) | Low | Improbable | Minor | Low |
| 54 | Richmond Winton Road | Low | Improbable | Minor |  |
| 61 | Julia Creek Kynuna Road | Low | Improbable | Minor |  |


| Road ID | Road name | Initial level of risk | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
| 68 | Landsborough Highway | Low | Improbable | Minor | Low |
| 73 | Barkly Highway | Medium | Improbable | Minor | Low |
| 76 | Burke Developmental Road | Low | Improbable | Minor | Low |
| 78 | Cloncurry Duchess Road | Low | Improbable | Minor | Low |
| 81 | Mount Isa Duchess Road | Low | Improbable | Limited | Low |
| 83 | Diamantina Developmental Road | Low | Improbable | Limited | Low |
| 87 | Boulia Mount Isa Road | Low | Improbable | Minor | Low |

Applying the mitigation measures will not be expected to change the consequence of a crash but should the measures be applied; it would become improbable that the crash would occur as there would be minimal or no hazards.

Post mitigation, there are 19 low risks, a reduction in one road from high to low risk and another reduction of one road from medium to low risk.

### 5.5 Rail safety risk assessment

The rail assessment using the Australian Standards from Section 3.1.3 of this report has considered where there are identified issues or missing signage and linemarking at rail crossings.

In addition, SIDRA Intersection traffic modelling has been completed for the AM and PM peak hours for rail crossings to determine whether issues could arise as a result of:

- Vehicle queues as a result of stopping for a train to pass extending into an intersection; and
- Vehicle queues back from an intersection extending to a rail line.

Information about the trains using the Mt Isa Rail Line has been sourced from the Queensland Rail - Mount Isa System Information Pack (2017) and details:

- The maximum train length is 1009 m
- Trains between Stuart (Townsville) and Hughenden travel at $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (i.e. 45 seconds to pass through a point)
- Trains between Hughenden and Mt Isa travel at $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (i.e. 60 seconds to pass through a point)

Based on the above, the following conservative assumptions have been included in the traffic models:

- Between Stuart (Townsville) and Hughenden vehicles stop for a train for 75 seconds (to allow for speed variation of the train plus wait time before the train arrives and after the train departs)
- Between Hughenden and Mt Isa vehicles stop for a train for 90 seconds
- Due to the nature of traffic movements from camps being condensed, the models assume all vehicles pass through the rail line in a 15 minute period.

Any issues identified through the traffic modelling are included in Table 61Table 61, as are the deficiencies determined in Section 3.1.3.

Table 61: Rail crossing issues

| Rail Crossing Name | Issue | Pre-mitigated risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
| Pioneer Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (west) crossing | It is unknown as to whether no-overtaking lines are provided within the centreline on the eastbound and westbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> Should no-overtaking lines not be provided, drivers may overtake on approach to rail crossings, reducing their ability to stop during times in which a train may be approaching. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (north) crossing | It is unknown as to whether rail crossing flashing signals ahead signage is provided on both the northbound and southbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> Should no rail crossing ahead signage be provided, drivers may be unaware of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  | RAIL X pavement markings are not located on the northbound and southbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> The lack of RAIL $X$ pavement marking may reduce a drivers awareness of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  | No-overtaking lines are not provided within the centreline on approach to the rail crossing in both the northbound and southbound direction. <br> Should no overtaking lines be provided, the lack of no-overtaking lines enables drivers to overtake on approach to rail crossings, reducing their ability to stop during times in which a train may be approaching. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr Dalbeg Road (south) crossing | It is unknown as to whether rail crossing flashing signals ahead signage is provided on both the northbound and southbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> Should no rail crossing ahead signage be provided, drivers may be unaware of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |


| Rail Crossing Name | Issue | Pre-mitigated risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  | RAIL $X$ pavement markings are not located on the northbound and southbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> The lack of RAIL X pavement marking may reduce a drivers awareness of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  | No-overtaking lines are not provided within the centreline on approach to the rail crossing in both the northbound and southbound direction. <br> Should no overtaking lines be provided, the lack of no-overtaking lines enables drivers to overtake on approach to rail crossings, reducing their ability to stop during times in which a train may be approaching. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr <br> Ravenswood <br> Road (east) crossing | It is unknown as to whether rail crossing flashing signals ahead signage is provided on both the eastbound and westbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> Should no rail crossing ahead signage be provided, drivers may be unaware of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  | RAIL X pavement markings are not located on the eastbound and westbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> The lack of RAIL X pavement marking may reduce a drivers awareness of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
| Invicta Mill: Ayr <br> Ravenswood <br> Road (west) crossing | It is unknown as to whether rail crossing ahead/ rail crossing flashing signals ahead signage is provided on both the eastbound and westbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> Should no rail crossing ahead signage be provided, drivers may be unaware of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  | RAIL X pavement markings are not located on the eastbound and westbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> The lack of RAIL $X$ pavement marking may reduce a drivers awareness of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |


| Rail Crossing Name | Issue | Pre-mitigated risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  | No-overtaking lines are not provided within the centreline on approach to the rail crossing in both the eastbound and westbound direction. <br> Should no overtaking lines be provided, the lack of no-overtaking lines enables drivers to overtake on approach to rail crossings, reducing their ability to stop during times in which a train may be approaching. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Braceborough <br> Road (west) crossing | There is potential for the queue back from the rail line to extend to the Flinders Highway | Occasional | Serious | High |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Laidlow crossing | There is potential for the queue back from the rail line to extend to the Flinders Highway | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  | There is potential for the queue back from the Flinders Highway/ Laidlow Crossing intersection to reach the rail line | Occasional | Serious | High |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Aramac Torrens Creek Road crossing | There is potential for the queue back from the rail line to extend to the Flinders Highway | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  | RAIL $X$ pavement markings are not located on the southbound approach to the rail crossing. It is unknown whether they are provided on the northbound approach to the rail crossing. <br> The lack of RAIL X pavement marking may reduce a drivers awareness of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  | A stop line is not provided in the northbound direction and a give-way line in the southbound direction at the rail crossing. <br> This has the potential to result in vehicles stopping too close to the rail line, resulting in a moderatespeed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  | No-overtaking lines are not provided within the centreline on approach to the rail crossing in the southbound direction. <br> Should no overtaking lines be provided, the lack of no-overtaking lines enables drivers to overtake on approach to rail crossings, reducing their ability to stop during times in which a train may be approaching. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |


| Rail Crossing Name | Issue | Pre-mitigated risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Prairie Road crossing | There is potential for the queue back from the rail line to extend to the Flinders Highway | Occasional | Serious | High |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Kennedy Energy <br> Park Access <br> Track crossing | There is potential for the queue back from the rail line to extend to the Flinders Highway | Occasional | Serious | High |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Flinders Highway (east of Redcliffe Road) crossing | Rail crossing flashing signals ahead signage is not provided on the northbound approach to the rail crossing. <br> As such, drivers may be unaware of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Kennedy <br> Developmental <br> Road (south) <br> crossing | It is unknown as to whether rail crossing ahead signage is provided on both the northbound and southbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> Should no rail crossing ahead signage be provided, drivers may be unaware of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  | It is unknown as to whether rail crossing diagrammatic warning assemblies are provided on both the northbound and southbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> Should no diagrammatic warning assemblies and rail crossing ahead signage be provided, drivers may be unaware of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  | A give-way line is not provided in the southbound direction at the rail crossing. This has the potential to result in vehicles stopping too close to the rail line, resulting in a moderatespeed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  | It is unknown as to whether no-overtaking lines are provided within the centreline on the northbound and southbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> Should no-overtaking lines not be provided, drivers may overtake on approach to rail crossings, reducing their ability to stop during times in which a train may be approaching. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |


| Rail Crossing Name | Issue | Pre-mitigated risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
| Mount Isa Line: Unnamed Road (off Flinders Highway - to PTLFLR_284 to FLRDJR_82) crossing | There is potential for the queue back from the rail line to extend to the Flinders Highway | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  | There is potential for the queue back from the Flinders Highway/ : Unnamed Road (off Flinders Highway - to PTL-FLR_284 to FLR-DJR_82)intersection to reach the rail line | Occasional | Serious | High |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Thornhill <br> Tamworth Road crossing | There is potential for the queue back from the rail line to extend to the Flinders Highway | Occasional | Serious | High |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Flinders Highway <br> (West of Yorkshire Nelia Road) crossing | RAIL $X$ pavement markings are not located on the southbound approach to the rail crossing. The lack of RAIL $X$ pavement marking may reduce a drivers awareness of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
| Mount Isa Line: Yorkshire Road crossing | There is potential for the queue back from the rail line to extend to the Flinders Highway | Occasional | Serious | High |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Julia Creek <br> Kynuna Road crossing | There is potential for the queue back from the rail line to extend to the Flinders Highway | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  | Rail crossing ahead signage is not provided on the southbound approach to the rail crossing. As such, drivers may be unaware of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
|  | Rail crossing diagrammatic warning assemblies are not provided on the southbound approach to the rail crossing. <br> As such, drivers may be unaware of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |


| Rail Crossing Name | Issue | Pre-mitigated risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  | No-overtaking lines are not provided within the centreline on approach to the rail crossing in both the northbound and southbound direction. <br> Should no overtaking lines be provided, the lack of no-overtaking lines enables drivers to overtake on approach to rail crossings, reducing their ability to stop during times in which a train may be approaching. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (Cloncurry) crossing | Rail crossing flashing signals ahead signage is not provided on the eastbound approach to the rail crossing. <br> As such, drivers may be unaware of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Diamantina <br> Developmental <br> Road crossing | Insufficient ( $\sim 85 \mathrm{~m}$ ) sight distance to the rail crossing from the west, limited by vegetation and a dip. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | Occasional | Serious | High |

The assessment above has shown that the project construction has the potential to result in 37 high risks prior to the application of mitigation measures.

### 5.5.1 Avoidance, Mitigation and Management Measures

Mitigation measures \#16 to \#21 are relevant for the rail assessment.
Where there is potential for drivers to queue across a rail line due to a downstream intersection, as per mitigation measure \#21, we suggest use of KEEP TRACKS CLEAR signs from the Australian Standard AS1742.7-2016 Manual of uniform traffic control devices - Part 7: Railway crossings. The signs shown in Figure 48 are suitable options.

## KEEP TRACKS CLEAR

KEEP
TRACKS
CLEAR

G9-67-1


G9-67-2
Figure 48: Keep tracks clear signage

### 5.5.2 Residual risks

The residual risks for road safety after applying avoidance, management and mitigation measures are shown in Table 62.
Table 62: Rail residual risks

| Rail Crossing Name | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
| Pioneer Mill: <br> Ayr Dalbeg <br> Road (west) crossing | It is unknown as to whether no-overtaking lines are provided within the centreline on the eastbound and westbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> Should no-overtaking lines not be provided, drivers may overtake on approach to rail crossings, reducing their ability to stop during times in which a train may be approaching. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Potentially apply mitigation measure \#18 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| Invicta Mill: <br> Ayr Dalbeg Road (north) crossing | It is unknown as to whether rail crossing flashing signals ahead signage is provided on both the northbound and southbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> Should no rail crossing ahead signage be provided, drivers may be unaware of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Potentially apply mitigation measure \#17 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  | RAIL X pavement markings are not located on the northbound and southbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> The lack of RAIL X pavement marking may reduce a drivers awareness of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderatespeed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#18 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |


| Rail Crossing Name | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  | No-overtaking lines are not provided within the centreline on approach to the rail crossing in both the northbound and southbound direction. Should no overtaking lines be provided, the lack of no-overtaking lines enables drivers to overtake on approach to rail crossings, reducing their ability to stop during times in which a train may be approaching. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#18 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| Invicta Mill: <br> Ayr Dalbeg Road (south) crossing | It is unknown as to whether rail crossing flashing signals ahead signage is provided on both the northbound and southbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> Should no rail crossing ahead signage be provided, drivers may be unaware of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Potentially apply mitigation measure \#17 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  | RAIL X pavement markings are not located on the northbound and southbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> The lack of RAIL X pavement marking may reduce a drivers awareness of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderatespeed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#18 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  | No-overtaking lines are not provided within the centreline on approach to the rail crossing in both the northbound and southbound direction. Should no overtaking lines be provided, the lack of no-overtaking lines enables drivers to overtake on approach to rail crossings, reducing their ability to stop during times in which a train may be approaching. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#18 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |


| Rail Crossing Name | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
| Invicta Mill: <br> Ayr <br> Ravenswood <br> Road (east) <br> crossing | It is unknown as to whether rail crossing flashing signals ahead signage is provided on both the eastbound and westbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> Should no rail crossing ahead signage be provided, drivers may be unaware of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Potentially apply mitigation measure \#17 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  | RAIL X pavement markings are not located on the eastbound and westbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> The lack of RAIL X pavement marking may reduce a drivers awareness of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderatespeed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#18 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| Invicta Mill: <br> Ayr <br> Ravenswood <br> Road (west) <br> crossing | It is unknown as to whether rail crossing ahead/rail crossing flashing signals ahead signage is provided on both the eastbound and westbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> Should no rail crossing ahead signage be provided, drivers may be unaware of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Potentially apply mitigation measure \#16 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  | RAIL $X$ pavement markings are not located on the eastbound and westbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> The lack of RAIL X pavement marking may reduce a drivers awareness of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderatespeed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#18 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |


| Rail Crossing Name | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  | No-overtaking lines are not provided within the centreline on approach to the rail crossing in both the eastbound and westbound direction. <br> Should no overtaking lines be provided, the lack of no-overtaking lines enables drivers to overtake on approach to rail crossings, reducing their ability to stop during times in which a train may be approaching. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#18 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Braceborough Road (west) crossing | There is potential for the queue back from the rail line to extend to the Flinders Highway | High | Apply mitigation measure \#20 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| Mount Isa Line: Laidlow crossing | There is potential for the queue back from the rail line to extend to the Flinders Highway | High | Apply mitigation measure \#20 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  | There is potential for the queue back from the Flinders Highway/ Laidlow Crossing intersection to reach the rail line | High | Apply <br> mitigation <br> measure \#21 <br> from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| Mount Isa <br> Line: Aramac <br> Torrens Creek <br> Road crossing | There is potential for the queue back from the rail line to extend to the Flinders Highway | High | Apply mitigation measure \#20 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  | RAIL X pavement markings are not located on the southbound approach to the rail crossing. It is unknown whether they are provided on the northbound approach to the rail crossing. <br> The lack of RAIL X pavement marking may reduce a drivers awareness of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderatespeed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious | High | Apply mitigation measure \#18 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |


| Rail Crossing Name | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A stop line is not provided in the northbound direction and a give-way line in the southbound direction at the rail crossing. <br> This has the potential to result in vehicles stopping too close to the rail line, resulting in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#16 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  | No-overtaking lines are not provided within the centreline on approach to the rail crossing in the southbound direction. <br> Should no overtaking lines be provided, the lack of no-overtaking lines enables drivers to overtake on approach to rail crossings, reducing their ability to stop during times in which a train may be approaching. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#18 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| Mount Isa Line: Prairie Road crossing | There is potential for the queue back from the rail line to extend to the Flinders Highway | High | Apply mitigation measure \#20 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| Mount Isa <br> Line: Kennedy <br> Energy Park <br> Access Track crossing | There is potential for the queue back from the rail line to extend to the Flinders Highway | High | Apply mitigation measure \#20 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| Mount Isa Line: Flinders Highway (east of Redcliffe Road) crossing | Rail crossing flashing signals ahead signage is not provided on the northbound approach to the rail crossing. <br> As such, drivers may be unaware of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#17 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |


| Rail Crossing Name | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
| Mount Isa <br> Line: Kennedy <br> Developmental <br> Road (south) crossing | It is unknown as to whether rail crossing ahead signage is provided on both the northbound and southbound approaches to the rail crossing. Should no rail crossing ahead signage be provided, drivers may be unaware of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Potentially apply mitigation measure \#16 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  | It is unknown as to whether rail crossing diagrammatic warning assemblies are provided on both the northbound and southbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> Should no diagrammatic warning assemblies and rail crossing ahead signage be provided, drivers may be unaware of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Potentially apply mitigation measure \#16 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  | A give-way line is not provided in the southbound direction at the rail crossing. <br> This has the potential to result in vehicles stopping too close to the rail line, resulting in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#18 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  | It is unknown as to whether no-overtaking lines are provided within the centreline on the northbound and southbound approaches to the rail crossing. <br> Should no-overtaking lines not be provided, drivers may overtake on approach to rail crossings, reducing their ability to stop during times in which a train may be approaching. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Potentially apply mitigation measure \#18 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |


| Rail Crossing Name | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
| Mount Isa Line: <br> Unnamed <br> Road (off <br> Flinders <br> Highway - to <br> PTL-FLR_284 <br> to FLR- <br> DJR_82) <br> crossing | There is potential for the queue back from the rail line to extend to the Flinders Highway | High | Apply mitigation measure \#20 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  | There is potential for the queue back from the Flinders Highway/ : Unnamed Road (off Flinders Highway - to PTL-FLR_284 to FLRDJR_82)intersection to reach the rail line | High | Apply <br> mitigation measure \#21 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| Mount Isa Line: Thornhill Tamworth Road crossing | There is potential for the queue back from the rail line to extend to the Flinders Highway | High | Apply mitigation measure \#20 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| Mount Isa <br> Line: Flinders <br> Highway <br> (West of <br> Yorkshire <br> Nelia Road) <br> crossing | RAIL X pavement markings are not located on the southbound approach to the rail crossing. <br> The lack of RAIL $X$ pavement marking may reduce a drivers awareness of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderatespeed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#18 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| Mount Isa Line: Yorkshire Road crossing | There is potential for the queue back from the rail line to extend to the Flinders Highway | High | Apply mitigation measure \#20 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| Mount Isa <br> Line: Julia <br> Creek Kynuna <br> Road crossing | There is potential for the queue back from the rail line to extend to the Flinders Highway | High | Apply <br> mitigation <br> measure \#20 <br> from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |


| Rail Crossing Name | Issue | Initial level of risk | Additional management measures | Residual risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Likelihood | Consequence | Level of risk |
|  | Rail crossing ahead signage is not provided on the southbound approach to the rail crossing. <br> As such, drivers may be unaware of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#16 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  | Rail crossing diagrammatic warning assemblies are not provided on the southbound approach to the rail crossing. <br> As such, drivers may be unaware of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#16 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
|  | No-overtaking lines are not provided within the centreline on approach to the rail crossing in both the northbound and southbound direction. Should no overtaking lines be provided, the lack of no-overtaking lines enables drivers to overtake on approach to rail crossings, reducing their ability to stop during times in which a train may be approaching. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#18 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| Mount Isa <br> Line: Flinders <br> Highway <br> (Cloncurry) crossing | Rail crossing flashing signals ahead signage is not provided on the eastbound approach to the rail crossing. <br> As such, drivers may be unaware of an upcoming rail crossing, reducing the time in which they have to stop at a rail crossing. This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed collision between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#17 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |
| Mount Isa <br> Line: <br> Diamantina <br> Developmental <br> Road crossing | Insufficient ( $\sim 85 \mathrm{~m}$ ) sight distance to the rail crossing from the west, limited by vegetation and a dip. <br> This has the potential to result in a moderate-speed between a vehicle and a train, causing death or serious injury. | High | Apply mitigation measure \#19 from Table 51. | Improbable | Serious | Medium |

Post mitigation, there are 37 medium risks, a reduction in 37 issues from high risk to medium risk.

### 5.6 Traffic and Road Impacts During the Operational and Maintenance Phase

Inspections of the transmission lines will be completed periodically, generating very low traffic volumes. The substations would also have low operational traffic volumes, expected to be less than one vehicle per day. Additional light and heavy vehicle movements may occur during minor and major maintenance outages.

Based on this, the traffic and road risks during the operation and maintenance phase are lower than the construction traffic risks due to the significantly lower traffic volumes.

### 5.7 Inspection and monitoring

There are many cases where additional monitoring will be required during the life of the project, these can be broken down into maintenance of vegetation to maintain adequate sight distances, adequate maintenance of gravel roads, monitoring all roads for deterioration of road condition, and reporting crashes.

### 5.7.1 Vegetation growth

During the site investigations there were various locations where the sight distances at intersections could be greatly increased by regular maintenance of the surrounding vegetation. The required maintenance includes cutting grass and/or removal of tree branches. It is recommended that prior to construction phase commencing, in consultation with the relevant road authority, vegetation is cleared at the locations identified as having poor sight distances by the JV. It is recommended that these locations are checked monthly, and vegetation cleared where necessary in consultation with the road owner.

Monthly checks are to be undertaken by the JV. The JV should consult with the road owner to determine whether they would like a representative present at the monthly checks.

### 5.7.2 Road monitoring

While the road defects that were observed during the site investigations may be rectified prior to the project's construction phase commencing, they show the general condition of the roads and what could be expected during the project. None of the contacted councils have future works programmes for the proposed project period, with the proposed works only programmed one year ahead.

It is recommended that prior to construction, the access route is assessed for areas of poor condition and recorded as part of a dilapidation survey. Areas of particular concern should be rectified and recorded as such in negotiation with relevant road authority.

It is recommended that the access routes are continually monitored by construction work drivers, with poor condition reported as part of their daily driver records. Any specific issues should be closely monitored and rectified where necessary. Periodic surveys from the construction contractor should be undertaken to mitigate the risk of drivers not reporting issues.

### 5.7.3 Gravel road maintenance

Many of the gravel roads that were visited were in poor condition with rutting and potholes being prevalent. The increase in heavy vehicle traffic on these roads will increase the rate of degradation. Close monitoring of the gravel roads will give early warning to enable early intervention and prevent further damage to the pavement condition.

It is recommended that prior to construction, all gravel roads along the access route are assessed for areas of poor condition and recorded as part of a dilapidation survey. Areas of particular concern should be rectified and recorded as such in negotiation with relevant road authority.

It is recommended that the gravel roads are continually monitored by drivers, with poor condition reported as part of their daily driver records. Any specific issues should be closely monitored and rectified where necessary. Additional surveys by the construction contractor should be undertaken to mitigate the risk of drivers not reporting issues.

### 5.7.4 Crash reporting

Project-related crashes along the project routes are to be reported to the relevant authorities and to the responsible project personnel. The potential causes of the accident should be investigated, and where appropriate action taken such as those recommended in this report (road maintenance, vegetation clearance, additional signage).

### 5.7.5 Construction work driver consultation

Drivers of both heavy and light vehicles should be consulted during the life of the project to determine if they have any concerns along the route. Drivers are a valuable resource for condition monitoring as they can enable early detection of problem areas that may need further assessment.

Drivers should also be regularly briefed of risks or issues associated with particular sections of the route they will be driving as part of their upcoming shift(s).

It is also recommended that heavy and light vehicle drivers are regularly consulted regarding risks and issues with the access routes being used.

### 5.7.6 Post construction inspection

Inspections should be completed post construction in conjunction with the road owner. The mitigation measures in Table 51 are relevant to any post construction remediation for public roads. Remediation should be carried out within a few weeks of the end of construction.

### 5.8 Traffic management plan

A traffic management plan provides the means of planning and implementing a road work operation that will ensure that first and foremost road workers and road users are safe during construction works. A traffic management plan aims to minimise risk to workers and road users as a result of construction.

A traffic management plan also provides guidance through or around a construction site, advises drivers of changing conditions and ensures that the performance of the road network is not unduly impacted and that inconvenience to road users is minimised.

It is expected that the Contractor(s) delivering the Project implement a Traffic Management Plan prepared in accordance with the requirements of Australian Standard AS1742.3-2019 Manual of uniform traffic control devices - Part 3: Traffic Control for Works on Roads. This will be required to manage safety risks, particularly at access points to construction sites and within construction sites.

Traffic management plans should include:

- Proposed vehicle routes
- Works times
- Traffic volumes
- $\quad$ Signage (speed and regulatory)
- Delineation (bollards, cones, markers)
- Pavement markings
- Detours
- Traffic control (electronic devices, human controllers, controlled site entry)
- Driver training
- Consideration for vulnerable road users (pedestrians, bicycles, motorcycles); and
- Lighting.


### 5.9 Special permit vehicles

It is expected that 5 trips using an OSOM vehicle which requires a special permit will be required for installation of the modular buildings at the substations. The size of this vehicle is currently unknown; and therefore, the following is recommended:

- Once the size of the vehicle is known, the exact route of the vehicle is assessed and specified based on road geometry, condition, and safety considerations
- The oversized vehicle travels to site with escort vehicle(s); and
- Appropriate traffic management is in place when the vehicle is accessing / egressing the site, in accordance with the requirements of Australian Standard AS1742.7-2016 Manual of uniform traffic control devices - Part 7: Railway crossings.


## 6. Pavement Impact Assessment

### 6.1 Assumptions

The following assumptions have been adopted as part of the Pavement Impact Assessment (PIA):

- Background and Project loadings have been assigned in accordance with TMR's Pavement Impact Assessment Note (2018) as follows:
- Background SARs on sealed roads:
- Bruce Highway = 2.9 SAR4s/HV
- All other roads = 3.2 SAR4s/HV
- All roads are assumed to be granular pavement (GN), with a damage unit of ESA/ SAR4.
- The average marginal cost for GN pavements noted in Table 6 of the Note of 13.60 cents/ SAR-km has been adopted for all roads
- The following information extracted from Table 3 of the note states the adopted SAR4 values for loaded and unloaded vehicles:

|  | Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Unloaded SAR4 | 0.54 | 0.5 | 0.46 | 0.6 | 0.56 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 |
| Loaded SAR4 | 2.98 | 3.57 | 4.09 | 4.43 | 5.02 | 5.61 | 4.93 | 6.3 | 8.34 | 11.75 |

- Background traffic has been calculated for all TMR roads within the scope of the assessment based on traffic data available from the TMR counters kml file (latest data generally for either 2019 or 2021) and extrapolated forward to the construction period. Traffic growth rate was calculated based on historic growth rate from previous data points at each counter. Where the calculated growth rate was negative, a presumptive $1 \%$ growth rate was adopted
- Traffic generated for the project has been based on traffic analysis completed as part of this TIA. Refer to Section 5 of this report for traffic breakdown
- This assessment separates the generated traffic into sections centred around each camp or local accommodation hub as follows:
- Mount Isa
- Cloncurry
- Julia Creek
- Richmond
- Hughenden
- Pentland
- Charters Towers
- Woodstock

Camp operation traffic is available for all above camp locations with the exception of Mt Isa and Woodstock.
Traffic for these camps was estimated based on the traffic for all other camps.

- For the PIA, the traffic generated as part of the project has been broken down as follows:
- Camp Establishment traffic - centred around camps/ accommodation
- Substation traffic - centred around camps, based on substation locations noted in traffic management plan for the project. Refer to below extract from Figure 5 of the traffic management plan - project zoning plan

- Daily operational traffic - centred around camps/ accommodation
- Transporting tower components to site. All tower deliveries come from Townsville. 8 B-Double movements/ tower have been allowed for - this is a major contributor to the total traffic increase along the highways.

All camps have been designated a radius around which traffic is generated, based on the CopperString 2.0 Final ECI Submission TiLOS document which shows a distance corresponding to each camp. All camps have been assumed to be located at the midpoint of this distance, except Mount Isa which was assumed to be at the western end.

The above traffic movements have been assumed to approximate a linear distribution around camp extents or per distance from Townsville. Generated traffic volumes have been calculated every 5 km for sections along the highway. Refer to Appendix E for all calculations

- For all movements around camps, including substation traffic, outbound traffic (from camp to tower) is assumed to be loaded, and inbound traffic is assumed to be unloaded
- For tower deliveries from Townsville, all westbound traffic is assumed to be loaded, and eastbound traffic is assumed to be unloaded; and
- The timing of all traffic generation has been assumed to follow the CopperString 2.0 Final ECI Submission TiLOS document. An estimate of yearly traffic based on the peak values calculated for each traffic phase and the distributions shown in the document has been calculated for all sites - refer to Appendix E.


### 6.2 Impact Scoping Assessment

From the PIA, greater than $5 \%$ of the background traffic was generated for a given year on the roads detailed in Appendix F. A summary of the contributions per year corresponding to each camp is shown in Table 63:

Table 63: Total pavement contributions per camp per year

| Camp | $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 7}$ | Total Contributions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mt Isa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cloncurry | 0 | $\$ 16,690.10$ | $\$ 132,125.28$ | $\$ 200,643.26$ | $\$ 349,458.64$ |
| Julia Creek | 0 | 0 | $\$ 396,827.32$ | $\$ 490,185.43$ | $\$ 887,012.75$ |
| Richmond | 0 | $\$ 24,229.28$ | $\$ 542,311.02$ | $\$ 472,238.78$ | $\$ 1,038,779.08$ |
| Hughenden | 0 | $\$ 494,734.78$ | $\$ 589,040.93$ | 0 | $\$ 1,083,775.71$ |
| Pentland | $\$ 25,095.31$ | $\$ 922,768.90$ | $\$ 469,280.78$ | $\$ 469,280.78$ | $\$ 1,886,425.78$ |
| Charters Towers | 0 | $\$ 187,311.66$ | $\$ 188,489.41$ | $\$ 140,429.52$ | $\$ 516,230.59$ |
| Woodstock | 0 | $\$ 34,753.43$ | $\$ 25,550.79$ | 0 | $\$ 60,304.22$ |
| Total | $\$ 25,095.31$ | $\$ 1,680,488.15$ | $\$ 2,343,625.53$ | $\$ 1,772,777.78$ | $\$ 5,821,986.77$ |

### 6.3 Pavement Contribution

From the PIA it has been calculated that the pavement contributions for the impacted segments of the SCroads from 2024-2027 (site establishment and construction phases) is $\$ 5,821,896.77$. Refer to Appendix E for PIA calculations.

## 7. Summary

An assessment of the CopperString 2032 project-related vehicle impacts on the operation, condition and safety of the public road network has been undertaken with reference to relevant Australian Standards and Guidelines.

The analysis presented in this report is summarised as follows:

## Traffic assessment

- The completed assessment concludes that the increase in traffic volumes would not reduce the road network operation to unacceptable levels. However, there are some roads where the traffic volumes are above the practical capacity based on the road width. Mitigation has been proposed for some of these roads where appropriate
- There are several locations throughout the route with insufficient sight distance. With increased traffic volumes, there is an increased risk of crashes. Through vegetation clearance and signage installation, both prior to construction and ongoing maintenance during construction, this risk can be reduced
- Turning paths (shown in Appendix C) undertaken on road bends on Ayr Ravenswood Road indicate that the road width is not sufficient for two heavy vehicles to pass each other. Suitable mitigation should be applied
- There are a small number of areas with local schools, which introduces a crash risk associated with additional traffic volumes and heavy vehicles. The recommendation is to restrict travel during peak school drop-off and pickup times and brief the community and drivers of the construction traffic and associated risks
- Construction access suitability is predominantly impacted by the condition of the road, which is variable across the proposed access routes. With regular monitoring and repairs undertaken prior to and during construction, the risk of crashes due to poor road condition will be appropriately managed; and
- The operation and maintenance phase risk is negligible, with no recommended actions required for implementation.


## Pavement assessment

Pavement impact assessment was undertaken for all TMR roads within the project area. The total pavement contribution for the site establishment and construction phase of the project is $\$ 5,821,986.77$.

## 8. Certification

As a professional engineer registered by the Board of Professional Engineers of Queensland pursuant to the Professional Engineers Act 2002 as competent in my areas of nominated expertise, I understand and recognise:

- The significant role of engineering as a profession;
- The community has a legitimate expectation that my certification affixed to this engineering work can be trusted; and
- I am responsible for ensuring its preparation has satisfied all necessary standards, conduct and contemporary practice.

As the responsible RPEQ, I certify:

- I am satisfied that all submitted components comprising this Traffic Impact Assessment, listed in the following table, have been completed in accordance with the Guide to Traffic Impact Assessment published by the Queensland Department of Transport and Main Roads and using sound engineering principles;
- where specialised areas of work have not been under my direct supervision, I have reviewed the outcomes of the work and consider the work and its outcomes as suitable for the purposes of this traffic impact assessment;
- the outcomes of this Traffic Impact Assessment are a true reflection of results of assessment; and
- I believe the strategies recommended for mitigating impacts by this Traffic Impact Assessment embrace contemporary practice initiatives and will deliver the desired outcomes.

| Name: | Rebekah Ramm | Registration Number | 29697 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RPEQ Competency: | Civil |  |  |
| Signature: | X | Date: | X |
| Postal Address: | 199 Macquarie Street, <br> HOBART TAS 7000 | Email: | rramm@pittsh.com.au |

## Important information about your report

In some circumstances the scope of services may have been limited by a range of factors such as time, budget, access and/or site disturbance constraints. The Report may only be used and relied on by the Client for the purpose set out in the Report. Any use which a third party makes of this document, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, is the responsibility of the Client or such third parties.

The services undertaken by pitt\&sherry in connection with preparing the Report were limited to those specifically detailed in the report and are subject to the restrictions, limitations and exclusions set out in the Report. The Report's accuracy is limited to the time period and circumstances existing at the time the Report was prepared. The opinions, conclusions and any recommendations in the Report are based on conditions encountered and information reviewed at the date of preparation of the Report. pitt\&sherry has no responsibility or obligation to update the Report to account for events or changes occurring after the date that the report was prepared. If such events or changes occurred after the date that the report was prepared render the Report inaccurate, in whole or in part, pitt\&sherry accepts no responsibility, and disclaims any liability whatsoever for any injury, loss or damage suffered by anyone arising from or in connection with their use of, reliance upon, or decisions or actions based on the Report, in whole or in part, for whatever purpose.
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26 m B-DOUBLE ENTERING NORTH
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## Pavement Calculations

Appendix E

| Link Ref ID Road ID | Road Name | Approx Location | Background Traffic Data |  |  |  |  |  | 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lat Long |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Count } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | AADT count year | HV \% | GrowthRate | $\begin{aligned} & 2023 \text { daily } \\ & 2023 \text { AADT } \\ & \text { HVs } \end{aligned}$ |  | SAR4/HV | Direction Factor | Annual Background SAR4s/ Direction | 5\% threshold |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Flinders Highway | 0.5 km south-west of Bruce Highway/ Flinders Highway/ Townsville Port Road intersection, Townsville | -19.3341 | 146.842 | 2021 | 1,899 | 34\% | 2.98 | 2014 | 685 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 399,873 | 19,994 |
| 2 | Woodstock Giru Road | 0.6 km east of Woodstock Giru Road/ Major Creek Road intersection, Majors Creek | -19.6021 | 146.903 | 2019 | 820 | 23\% | 11.12 | 125 | 288 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 167,928 | 396 |
| 3 | Bruce Highway | 13.4km east of Haughton River Bridge, Barratta | -19.5659 | 147.23 | 2021 | 5,673 | 17\% | 1.57 | 585 | 995 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 526,567 | 26,328 |
| 4 | Bruce Highway | 1.6 km north-west of Bruce Highway/ Jones Street intersection, Ayr | -19.5565 | 147.377 | 2019 | 7079 | 21\% | 1 | 7366 | 1547 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 818,724 | 40,936 |
| 5 | Bruce Highway | 50 m north-west of Bruce Highway/ Craig Street intersection, Ayr | -19.5645 | 147.394 | 2021 | 5,566 | 14\% | 1 | 5678 | 795 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 420,702 | 21,035 |
| 6 | Bruce Highway | 40m south of the Bruce Highway/ Little Drysdale Street intersection, Ayr | -19.582 | 147.4 | 2019 | 6362 | 6\% | 1 | 6620 | 397 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 210,228 | 10,511 |
| 7 | Bruce Highway | 0.2 km north of Bruce Highway/Kilrie Road intersection, Ayr | -19.5911 | 147.397 | 2019 | 13486 | 17\% | 5.95 | 16994 | 2889 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 1,528,962 | 76,448 |
| 8 | Bruce Highway | 2.0km north of the Bruce Highway/ Ayr Dalbeg Road intersection, Mcdesme | -19.6123 | 147.393 | 2021 | 8,828 | 13\% | 1 | 9005 | 1171 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 619,597 | 30,980 |
| 9 | Ayr Dalbeg Road | 1.8km east of Ayr Dalbeg Road/ Brown Road intersection, Mona Park | -19.7028 | 147.291 | 2019 | 927 | 22\% | 1 | 965 | 212 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 123,937 | 6,197 |
| 10 | Ayr Dalbeg Road | 0.5km south of Ayr Dalbeg Road/ Granshaw Road intersection, Clare | -19.7964 | 147.233 | 2021 | 436 | 17\% | 1 | 445 | 76 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 44,156 | 2,208 |
| 11 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | UNSEALED 0.2 km west of Ayr Dalbeg Road/ Ayr Ravenswood Road intersection, Clare | -19.8185 | 147.226 | 2019 | 144 | 17\% | 1 | 150 | 25 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 14,877 | 44 |
| 12 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | UNSEALED 50m east of Woodhouse Station, Mulgrave | -19.8322 | 147.13 | 2019 | 46 | 11\% | 1 | 48 | 5 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 3,075 | 154 |
| 13 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | UNSEALED 4.4km north-east of Ayr Ravenswood Road/ Downing Street intersection, Mulgrave UNSEALED 0.5km south-east of Burdekin Falls Dam Road/ Ayr Ravenswood Road intersection, | -20.0647 | 146.924 | 2019 | 36 | 6\% | 1 | 37 | 2 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 1,313 | 66 |
| 14 | Ayr Ravenswood Road | Ravenswood | -20.1013 | 146.88 | 2019 | 275 | 8\% | 1 | 286 | 23 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 13,370 | 668 |
| 15 | Burdekin Falls Dam Road | 1.65 km south of Flinders Highway/ Burdekin Falls Dam Road intersection, Mingela | -19.8837 | 146.635 | 2019 | 197 | 24\% | 1 | 205 | 49 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 28,733 | 1,437 |
| 16 | Flinders Highway | 0.2 km south of Flinders Highway/ Mount Stuart Road intersection, Roseneath | -19.3626 | 146.837 | 2019 | 5998 | 27\% | 2.05 | 6505 | 1756 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 1,025,735 | 51,287 |
| 17 | Flinders Highway | 3.3km south of Flinders Highway/ Woodstock Giru Road intersection, Woodstock | -19.6246 | 146.837 | 2021 | 2,642 | 27\% | 2.55 | 2778 | 750 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 438,108 | 21,905 |
| 18 | Gregory Developmental Road (north) | 0.4km west of Flinders Highway/ Gregory Developmental Road (north) intersection, Charters Towers 0.1 km north of Gregory Developmental Road (north)/ Hackett Terrace/ Bridge Street intersection, | -20.0631 | 146.286 | 202 | 1,595 | 14\% | 1.85 | 1655 | 232 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 135,277 | 6,764 |
| 19 | Gregory Developmental Road (north) | Charters Towers <br> 0.8 km north-west of Gregory Developmental Road (north)/ Old Dalrymple Road intersection, Charters | -20.0664 | 146.259 | 2019 | 3013 | 14\% | 1 | 3135 | 439 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 256,345 | 12,817 |
| 20 | Gregory Developmental Road (north) | Towers | -20.0471 | 146.25 | 2019 | 932 | 27\% | 2.9 | 1045 | 282 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 164,761 | 8,238 |
| 21 | Gregory Developmental Road (south) | 2.1km south of Flinders Highway/ Gregory Developmental Road (south) intersection, Charters Towers 0.4 km south-west of Flinders Highway/ Gregory Developmental Road (north) intersection, Charters | -20.1264 | 146.241 | 2021 | 865 | 17\% | 7.27 | 995 | 169 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 98,818 | 4,941 |
| 22 | Flinders Highway | Towers | -20.0654 | 122.509 | 2019 | 2052 | 28\% | 5.28 | 2521 | 706 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 412,223 | 20,611 |
| 23 | Flinders Highway | 0.3 km south-west of Flinders Highway/ Bluff Road intersection, Charters Towers | -20.083 | 125.749 | 2019 | 2839 | 23\% | 1.08 | 2964 | 682 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 398,077 | 19,904 |
| 24 | Flinders Highway | 1.2 km north-east of Flinders Highway/ Gregory Developmental Road (south) intersection, Charters Towers <br> 0.5 km south-west of Flinders Highway/ Gregory Developmental Road (south) intersection, Charters | -20.0994 | 146.249 | 2019 | 2336 | 20\% | 1 | 2431 | 486 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 283,923 | 14,196 |
| 25 | Flinders Highway | Towers | -20.1111 | 146.24 | 2019 | 1139 | 31\% | 3.58 | 1311 | 406 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 237,357 | 11,868 |
| 26 | Flinders Highway | 0.5 km south-west of Flinders Highway/ Red Road intersection, Homestead | -20.3634 | 145.653 | 2021 | 700 | 40\% | 1.73 | 724 | 290 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 169,227 | 8,461 |
| 27 | Flinders Highway | 4.0km north-east of Flinders Highway/ Aramac Torrens Creek Road intersection, Torrens Creek | -20.7636 | 145.051 | 2021 | 621 | 36\% | 1 | 633 | 228 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 133,183 | 6,659 |
| 28 | Aramac Torrens Creek Road | 35.4km south of Flinders Highway/ Aramac Torrens Creek Road intersection, Torrens Creek | -21.0788 | 145.008 | 2021 | 111 | 34\% | 21.46 | 164 | 56 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 32,515 | 1,626 |
| 29 | Hughenden Muttaburra Road | 7.8 km south of the Flinders Highway/ Hughenden Muttaburra Road intersection, Hughenden | -20.9321 | 144.216 | 2021 | 70 | 24\% | 15.54 | 93 | 22 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 13,097 | 655 |
| 30 | Kennedy Developmental Road (south) | 0.2 km south of Kennedy Developmental Road (south)/ Moran Street intersection, Hughenden | -20.8474 | 144.197 | 2021 | 908 | 15\% | 1 | 926 | 139 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 81,140 | 4,057 |
| 31 | Kennedy Developmental Road (south) | 16.2 km south-east of Kennedy Developmental Road (south)/ Disraeli Street intersection | -20.9655 | 144.1 | 2021 | 163 | 30\% | 4.15 | 177 | 53 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 30,977 | 1,549 |
| 32 | Flinders Highway | 17.4km south-west of Flinders Highway/ Kennedy Developmental Road (north), Hughenden | -20.8664 | 144.042 | 2021 | 497 | 43\% | 2.61 | 523 | 225 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 131,407 | 6,570 |
| 33 | Richmond Winton Road | 16.5km south of Flinders Highway/ Richmond Winton Road intersection, Richmond | -20.8804 | 143.071 | 2021 | 57 | 35\% | 1 | 58 | 20 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 11,885 | 594 |
| 34 | Flinders Highway | 32.3km east of Flinders Highway/ Julia Creek Kynuna Road intersection, Julia Creek | -20.6516 | 142.051 | 2021 | 382 | 48\% | 1 | 390 | 187 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 109,235 | 5,462 |
| 35 | Julia Creek Kynuna Road | 5.1km south of Flinders Highway/ Jlia Creek Kynuna Road | -20.702 | 141.743 | 2021 | 52 | 31\% | 10.2 | 63 | 20 | 3.2 | 2 0.5 | 11,432 | 572 |
| 36 | Flinders Highway | 0.4 km east of Flinders Highway/ Wills Developmental Road intersection, Julia Creek | -20.6577 | 141.712 | 2021 | 519 | 37\% | 1.87 | 539 | 199 | 3.2 | 20.5 | 116,379 | 5,819 |
| 37 | Flinders Highway | 2.8 km west of Flinders Highway/ Wills Developmental Road intersection, Julia Creek | -20.6608 | 141.682 | 2021 | 388 | 36\% | 1 | 396 | 142 | 3.2 | 20.5 | 83,213 | 4,161 |
| 38 | Flinders Highway | 1.7 km east of Flinders Highway/ Landsborough Highway intersection, Cloncurry | -20.7325 | 140.649 | 2021 | 425 | 40\% | 2.87 | 450 | 180 | 3.2 | 20.5 | 105,060 | 5,253 |
| 39 | Landsborough Highway | 15.3km south-east of Flinders Highway/ Landsborough Highway intersection, Cloncurry | -20.7942 | 140.757 | 2021 | 417 | 47\% | 2.64 | 439 | 206 | 3.2 | 20.5 | 120,581 | 6,029 |
| 40 | Flinders Highway | 2.9 km north-west of Flinders Highway/ Landsborough Highway intersection, Cloncurry | -20.724 | 140.607 | 2021 | 858 | 49\% | 4.42 | 936 | 458 | 3.2 | 20.5 | 267,709 | 13,385 |
| 41 | Flinders Highway | 0.2 km west of Flinders Highway/ Round Oak Road intersection, Cloncurry | -20.7071 | 140.528 | 2021 | 1,058 | 26\% | 2 | 1101 | 286 | 3.2 | 20.5 | 167,137 | 8,357 |
| 42 | Flinders Highway | At Flinders Highway/ Sheaffe Street intersection, Cloncurry | -20.7069 | 140.505 | 2021 | 4,098 | 9\% | 4.57 | 4481 | 403 | 3.2 | 20.5 | 235,527 | 11,776 |
| 43 | Barkly Highway | 0.55 km east of Barkly Highway/ Burke Developmental Road, Cloncurry | -20.7035 | 140.491 | 2021 | 1,488 | 22\% | 1.49 | 1533 | 337 | 3.2 | 20.5 | 196,918 | 9,846 |
| 44 | Burke Developmental Road | 8.0km north-west of Barkly Highway/ Burke Developmental Road intersection, Cloncurry | -20.6376 | 140.464 | 2021 | 328 | 43\% | 2.01 | 341 | 147 | 3.2 | 20.5 | 85,712 | 4,286 |
| 45 | Barkly Highway | 5 km south-west of Barkly Highway/ Burke Developmental Road, Cloncurry | -20.7184 | 140.444 | 2021 | 1,078 | 39\% | 3.15 | 1147 | 447 | 3.2 | 20.5 | 261,237 | 13,062 |
| 46 | Barkly Highway | 0.3 km east of Barkly Highway/ Breakaway Drive intersection, Mount Isa | -20.7231 | 139.526 | 2021 | 1,245 | 39\% | 6.17 | 1403 | 547 | 3.2 | 20.5 | 319,632 | 15,982 |
| 47 | Barkly Highway | 0.3 km east of Barkly Highway/ Diamantina Developmental Road intersection, Mount Isa | -20.7241 | 139.49 | 2021 | 4,085 | 17\% | 1 | 4167 | 708 | 3.2 | 20.5 | 413,711 | 20,686 |
| 48 | Mount sa Duchess Road | 1.4km south of Barkly Highway/ Mount Isa Duchess Road intersection, Mount Isa | -20.7366 | 139.493 | 2021 | 7,067 | 10\% | 1.62 | 7298 | 730 | 3.2 | 20.5 | 426,193 | 21,310 |
| 49 | Mount Isa Duchess Road | 1.4km south of Mount Isa Duchess Road/ Twenty Third Avenue intersection, Mount sa | -20.7576 | 139.497 | 2021 | 371 | 14\% | 1 | 378 | 53 | 3.2 | 20.5 | 30,943 | 1,547 |
| 50 | Diamantina Developmental Road | 1.2km south of Barkly Highway/ Diamantina Developmental Road intersection, Mount Isa | -20.7339 | 139.485 | 2021 | 3,094 | 17\% | 1 | 3156 | 537 | 3.2 | 20.5 | 313,346 | 15,667 |
| 51 | Diamantina Developmental Road | 0.5 km south of Diamantina Developmental Road/ Oban Road intersection, Mount lsa | -20.7496 | 139.48 | 2021 | 609 | 16\% | 2.74 | 643 | 103 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 60,066 | 3,003 |


| 2024 |  |  |  | 2025 |  |  |  | 2026 |  |  |  | 2027 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2024 AADT | 2024 daily HVs | Annual Background SAR4s/ Direction | 5\% threshold | 2025 AADT | 2025 daily HVs | Annual Background SAR4s/ Direction | 5\% threshold | 2026 AADT | 2026 daily HVs | Annual Background SAR4s/ Direction | 5\% threshold | 2027 AADT | 2027 daily HVs | Annual Background SAR4s/ Direction | 5\% threshold |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2074 | 705 | 411,790 | 20,589 | 2,136 | 726 | 424,061 | 21,203 | 2,199 | 748 | 436,698 | 21,835 | 2,265 | 770 | 449,712 | 22,486 |
| 1389 | 320 | 186,602 | 9,330 | 1,544 | 355 | 207,352 | 10,368 | 1,715 | 395 | 230,409 | 11,520 | 1,906 | 438 | 256,031 | 12,802 |
| 5944 | 1011 | 534,834 | 26,742 | 6,038 | 1,026 | 543,231 | 27,162 | 6,133 | 1,043 | 551,760 | 27,588 | 6,229 | 1,059 | 560,422 | 28,021 |
| 7440 | 1562 | 826,911 | 41,346 | 7,515 | 1,578 | 835,180 | 41,759 | 7,590 | 1,594 | 843,532 | 42,177 | 7,666 | 1,610 | 851,968 | 42,598 |
| 5735 | 803 | 424,909 | 21,245 | 5,792 | 811 | 429,158 | 21,458 | 5,850 | 819 | 433,450 | 21,672 | 5,908 | 827 | 437,784 | 21,889 |
| 6687 | 401 | 212,331 | 10,617 | 6,753 | 405 | 214,454 | 10,723 | 6,821 | 409 | 216,598 | 10,830 | 6,889 | 413 | 218,764 | 10,938 |
| 18005 | 3061 | 1,619,936 | 80,997 | 19,076 | 3,243 | 1,716,322 | 85,816 | 20,211 | 3,436 | 1,818,443 | 90,922 | 21,414 | 3,640 | 1,926,640 | 96,332 |
| 9095 | 1182 | 625,793 | 31,290 | 9,186 | 1,194 | 632,051 | 31,603 | 9,278 | 1,206 | 638,371 | 31,919 | 9,371 | 1,218 | 644,755 | 32,238 |
| 974 | 214 | 125,176 | 6,259 | 984 | 216 | 126,428 | 6,321 | 994 | 219 | 127,692 | 6,385 | 1,004 | 221 | 128,969 | 6,448 |
| 449 | 76 | 44,598 | 2,230 | 454 | 77 | 45,044 | 2,252 | 458 | 78 | 45,494 | 2,275 | 463 | 79 | 45,949 | 2,297 |
| 151 | 26 | 15,026 | 751 | 153 | 26 | 15,176 | 759 | 154 | 26 | 15,328 | 766 | 156 | 27 | 15,481 | 774 |
| 48 | 5 | 3,106 | 155 | 49 | 5 | 3,137 | 157 | 49 | 5 | 3,168 | 158 | 50 | 5 | 3,200 | 160 |
| 38 | 2 | 1,326 | 66 | 38 | 2 | 1,339 | 67 | 39 | 2 | 1,352 | 68 | 39 | 2 | 1,366 | 68 |
| 289 | 23 | 13,503 | 675 | 292 | 23 | 13,638 | 682 | 295 | 24 | 13,775 | 689 | 298 | 24 | 13,913 | 696 |
| 207 | 50 | 29,020 | 1,451 | 209 | 50 | 29,310 | 1,466 | 211 | 51 | 29,603 | 1,480 | 213 | 51 | 29,899 | 1,495 |
| 6639 | 1792 | 1,046,762 | 52,338 | 6,775 | 1,829 | 1,068,221 | 53,411 | 6,913 | 1,867 | 1,090,120 | 54,506 | 7,055 | 1,905 | 1,112,467 | 55,623 |
| 2849 | 769 | 449,279 | 22,464 | 2,922 | 789 | 460,736 | 23,037 | 2,996 | 809 | 472,485 | 23,624 | 3,073 | 830 | 484,533 | 24,227 |
| 1685 | 236 | 137,780 | 6,889 | 1,716 | 240 | 140,328 | 7,016 | 1,748 | 245 | 142,925 | 7,146 | 1,780 | 249 | 145,569 | 7,278 |
| 3167 | 443 | 258,909 | 12,945 | 3,198 | 448 | 261,498 | 13,075 | 3,230 | 452 | 264,113 | 13,206 | 3,263 | 457 | 266,754 | 13,338 |
| 1075 | 290 | 169,539 | 8,477 | 1,106 | 299 | 174,456 | 8,723 | 1,138 | 307 | 179,515 | 8,976 | 1,171 | 316 | 184,721 | 9,236 |
| 1068 | 182 | 106,002 | 5,300 | 1,145 | 195 | 113,708 | 5,685 | 1,229 | 209 | 121,975 | 6,099 | 1,318 | 224 | 130,842 | 6,542 |
| 2654 | 743 | 433,988 | 21,699 | 2,794 | 782 | 456,902 | 22,845 | 2,942 | 824 | 481,027 | 24,051 | 3,097 | 867 | 506,425 | 25,321 |
| 2996 | 689 | 402,376 | 20,119 | 3,028 | 696 | 406,722 | 20,336 | 3,061 | 704 | 411,114 | 20,556 | 3,094 | 712 | 415,554 | 20,778 |
| 2455 | 491 | 286,763 | 14,338 | 2,480 | 496 | 289,630 | 14,482 | 2,505 | 501 | 292,527 | 14,626 | 2,530 | 506 | 295,452 | 14,773 |
| 1358 | 421 | 245,854 | 12,293 | 1,407 | 436 | 254,656 | 12,733 | 1,457 | 452 | 263,773 | 13,189 | 1,509 | 468 | 273,216 | 13,661 |
| 737 | 295 | 172,154 | 8,608 | 750 | 300 | 175,133 | 8,757 | 763 | 305 | 178,162 | 8,908 | 776 | 310 | 181,245 | 9,062 |
| 640 | 230 | 134,515 | 6,726 | 646 | 233 | 135,860 | 6,793 | 653 | 235 | 137,219 | 6,861 | 659 | 237 | 138,591 | 6,930 |
| 199 | 68 | 39,492 | 1,975 | 242 | 82 | 47,968 | 2,398 | 293 | 100 | 58,261 | 2,913 | 356 | 121 | 70,764 | 3,538 |
| 108 | 26 | 15,133 | 757 | 125 | 30 | 17,484 | 874 | 144 | 35 | 20,202 | 1,010 | 167 | 40 | 23,341 | 1,167 |
| 936 | 140 | 81,951 | 4,098 | 945 | 142 | 82,770 | 4,139 | 954 | 143 | 83,598 | 4,180 | 964 | 145 | 84,434 | 4,222 |
| 184 | 55 | 32,263 | 1,613 | 192 | 58 | 33,602 | 1,680 | 200 | 60 | 34,996 | 1,750 | 208 | 62 | 36,448 | 1,822 |
| 537 | 231 | 134,836 | 6,742 | 551 | 237 | 138,356 | 6,918 | 565 | 243 | 141,967 | 7,098 | 580 | 249 | 145,672 | 7,284 |
| 59 | 21 | 12,004 | 600 | 59 | 21 | 12,124 | 606 | 60 | 21 | 12,245 | 612 | 61 | 21 | 12,368 | 618 |
| 394 | 189 | 110,327 | 5,516 | 398 | 191 | 111,430 | 5,572 | 401 | 193 | 112,545 | 5,627 | 406 | 195 | 113,670 | 5,683 |
| 70 | 22 | 12,599 | 630 | 77 | 24 | 13,884 | 694 | 85 | 26 | 15,300 | 765 | 93 | 29 | 16,860 | 843 |
| 549 | 203 | 118,555 | 5,928 | 559 | 207 | 120,772 | 6,039 | 569 | 211 | 123,031 | 6,152 | 580 | 215 | 125,331 | 6,267 |
| 400 | 144 | 84,045 | 4,202 | 404 | 145 | 84,885 | 4,244 | 408 | 147 | 85,734 | 4,287 | 412 | 148 | 86,592 | 4,330 |
| 463 | 185 | 108,076 | 5,404 | 476 | 190 | 111,177 | 5,559 | 490 | 196 | 114,368 | 5,718 | 504 | 201 | 117,651 | 5,883 |
| 451 | 212 | 123,765 | 6,188 | 463 | 218 | 127,032 | 6,352 | 475 | 223 | 130,386 | 6,519 | 488 | 229 | 133,828 | 6,691 |
| 977 | 479 | 279,542 | 13,977 | 1,020 | 500 | 291,898 | 14,595 | 1,065 | 522 | 304,800 | 15,240 | 1,112 | 545 | 318,272 | 15,914 |
| 1123 | 292 | 170,480 | 8,524 | 1,145 | 298 | 173,889 | 8,694 | 1,168 | 304 | 177,367 | 8,868 | 1,191 | 310 | 180,914 | 9,046 |
| 4686 | 422 | 246,291 | 12,315 | 4,900 | 441 | 257,547 | 12,877 | 5,124 | 461 | 269,316 | 13,466 | 5,358 | 482 | 281,624 | 14,081 |
| 1556 | 342 | 199,852 | 9,993 | 1,579 | 347 | 202,830 | 10,141 | 1,602 | 352 | 205,852 | 10,293 | 1,626 | 358 | 208,919 | 10,446 |
| 348 | 150 | 87,435 | 4,372 | 355 | 153 | 89,192 | 4,460 | 362 | 156 | 90,985 | 4,549 | 370 | 159 | 92,814 | 4,641 |
| 1183 | 461 | 269,466 | 13,473 | 1,220 | 476 | 277,954 | 13,898 | 1,259 | 491 | 286,710 | 14,335 | 1,298 | 506 | 295,741 | 14,787 |
| 1490 | 581 | 339,353 | 16,968 | 1,582 | 617 | 360,292 | 18,015 | 1,679 | 655 | 382,522 | 19,126 | 1,783 | 695 | 406,123 | 20,306 |
| 4209 | 715 | 417,848 | 20,892 | 4,251 | 723 | 422,026 | 21,101 | 4,293 | 730 | 426,246 | 21,312 | 4,336 | 737 | 430,509 | 21,525 |
| 7416 | 742 | 433,097 | 21,655 | 7,536 | 754 | 440,114 | 22,006 | 7,658 | 766 | 447,243 | 22,362 | 7,782 | 778 | 454,489 | 22,724 |
| 382 | 54 | 31,252 | 1,563 | 386 | 54 | 31,565 | 1,578 | 390 | 55 | 31,880 | 1,594 | 394 | 55 | 32,199 | 1,610 |
| 3188 | 542 | 316,480 | 15,824 | 3,220 | 547 | 319,645 | 15,982 | 3,252 | 553 | 322,841 | 16,142 | 3,284 | 558 | 326,070 | 16,303 |
| 660 | 106 | 61,712 | 3,086 | 679 | 109 | 63,403 | 3,170 | 697 | 112 | 65,140 | 3,257 | 716 | 115 | 66,925 | 3,346 |


|  | Start | Finish |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mulgrave | Jun-24 | Feb-26 | Full lifecycle |
| Woodstock | Jun-24 | Jun-26 |  |
| Pentland | Oct-24 | Aug-26 |  |
| Flinders | Jul-24 | Mar-27 |  |
| Dajarra | Jan-25 | Dec-26 |  |
| Mount Isa | Feb-25 | Sep-27 |  |

For all sites, assumed the following monthly traffic each month:

| Rigid <br> Class 3 | Rigid Deliveries Class 5 | Semi-Trailer: SARs Generated - One Way |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Class 9 | SARs Unloaded/ Day | SARs Loaded/ Day | SARs Unloaded / Yr | SARs Loaded/ Yr |
|  | 10 | 4 | 4.4 | 36.27 | 1606 | 13238.55 |

Substation

| Mt Isa | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cloncurry | 2 |
| Julia Creek | 0 |
| Richmond | 0 |
| Hughenden | 1 |
| Pentland | 1 |
| Charters Tou | 0 |
| Woodstock | 1 |

Above SS distribution based on project zoning plan in Traffic management plan
Assume substation establishment is undertaken concurrently with camp set up
Assume 12 month period for substation traffic generation based on program.

|  | Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Unloaded SA | 0.54 | 0.5 | 0.46 | 0.6 | 0.56 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 |
| Loaded SAR4 | 2.98 | 3.57 | 4.09 | 4.43 | 5.02 | 5.61 | 4.93 | 6.3 | 8.34 | 11.75 |


| Orange | TMR Road |
| :--- | :--- |
| lue | Local Road |
| Red marker | Transmission tower |

Minibus Rigid-Crew - Rigid - Deliveries Semi Trailers/TıB-Doubles

| ClassCAMP | Minibus | Rigid - Crew Rigid - Deliveries |  | Semi Trailers/ TiB-Doubles |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4 | 5 | 9 | 10 SARs Generated - One Way |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAMP TRAFFIC GENERATION - Movements (2 way) (includes water) |  |  |  | SARs Unloaded/ Day |  | SARs Loaded/ Day | SARs Unloaded / Yr | SARs Loaded/ Yr |
| Woodstock | 6 | 12 | 25 | 2 | 2 | 11.41 | 92.715 | 4164.65 | 33840.975 |
| Charters Towers | 12 | 24 | 50 | 4 | 4 | 22.82 | 185.43 | 8329.3 | 67681.95 |
| Pentland | 16 | 38 | 50 | 4 | 4 | 27.4 | 216.38 | 10001 | 78978.7 |
| Hughenden | 16 | 52 | 50 | 4 | 4 | 30.9 | 241.37 | 11278.5 | 88100.05 |
| Richmond | 12 | 24 | 50 | 4 | 4 | 22.82 | 185.43 | 8329.3 | 67681.95 |
| Julia Creek | 12 | 24 | 50 | 4 | 4 | 22.82 | 185.43 | 8329.3 | 67681.95 |
| Cloncurry | 12 | 30 | 50 | 4 | 4 | 24.32 | 196.14 | 8876.8 | 71591.1 |
| Mount Isa | 6 | 12 | 25 | 2 | 2 | 11.41 | 92.715 | 4164.65 | 33840.975 |
| ESTABLISHMENT | CAMP ESTABLI | TRA | (2 |  |  | - One | Way |  |  |
| Woodstock | 2 | 4 | 25 | 6 | 5 | 10.145 | 91.785 | 3702.925 | 33501.525 |
| Charters Towers | 2 | 4 | 50 | 12 | 10 | 18.75 | 173.45 | 6843.75 | 63309.25 |
| Pentland | 2 | 4 | 50 | 12 | 10 | 18.75 | 173.45 | 6843.75 | 63309.25 |
| Hughenden | 2 | 4 | 50 | 12 | 10 | 18.75 | 173.45 | 6843.75 | 63309.25 |
| Richmond | 2 | 4 | 50 | 12 | 10 | 18.75 | 173.45 | 6843.75 | 63309.25 |
| Julia Creek | 2 | 4 | 50 | 12 | 10 | 18.75 | 173.45 | 6843.75 | 63309.25 |
| Cloncurry | 2 | 4 | 50 | 12 | 10 | 18.75 | 173.45 | 6843.75 | 63309.25 |
| Mount Isa | 2 | 4 | 25 | 6 | 5 | 10.145 | 91.785 | 3702.925 | 33501.525 |

## Unloaded SAR4

Loaded SAR4

| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.54 | 0.5 | 0.46 | 0.6 | 0.56 |
| 2.98 | 3.57 | 4.09 | 4.43 | 5.02 |

Class

| $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.51 | 0.53 | 0.55 |
| 4.93 | 6.3 | 8.34 |


| Jul-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug-24 |  |  |  |  |  | 80 |  |  | 80 |
| Sep-24 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 90 |  |  | 100 |
| Oct-24 |  |  |  |  | 50 | 10 | 40 |  | 100 |
| Nov-24 |  |  |  |  | 20 |  | 80 |  | 100 |
| Dec-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  | 10 |
| 2024 |  |  |  |  | 6.666666667 | 15 | 10.83333333 |  |  |
| Jan-25 |  |  |  |  | 50 |  | 50 |  | 100 |
| Feb-25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar-25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr-25 |  |  |  |  | 50 |  | 50 |  | 100 |
| May-25 |  |  |  |  | 50 |  | 10 | 40 | 100 |
| Jun-25 |  |  |  | 70 | 20 |  |  | 10 | 100 |
| Jul-25 |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Aug-25 |  |  | 20 | 80 |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Sep-25 |  |  | 90 | 10 |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Oct-25 |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
| Nov-25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec-25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2025 |  |  | 10.833333 | 21.66666667 | 14.16666667 | 0 | 9.166666667 | 4.166666667 |  |
| Jan-26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb-26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar-26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr-26 |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| May-26 |  | 10 | 90 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Jun-26 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Jul-26 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Aug-26 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Sep-26 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Oct-26 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Nov-26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2026 | 6667 | 25.83333333 | 15.833333 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Substations - histogram |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mount Isa | Cloncurry Camp | Julia Creek | Richmond Camp |  | Hughenden Camp | Pentland Camp | Charters Towers Camp |  | oodstock Camp |
| Jul-24 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |
| Aug-24 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |
| Sep-24 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |
| Oct-24 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |
| Nov-24 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |
| Dec-24 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |
| 2024 |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 50 |  | 0 |  |
| Jan-25 |  | 100 |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |
| Feb-25 |  | 100 |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |
| Mar-25 |  | 100 |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |
| Apr-25 |  | 100 |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |
| May-25 |  | 100 |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |  |  | 100 |
| Jun-25 |  | 100 |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |  |  | 100 |
| Jul-25 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Aug-25 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Sep-25 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Oct-25 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Nov-25 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Dec-25 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| 2025 |  | 100 |  | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 |  | 0 | 66.66666667 |
| Jan-26 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Feb-26 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Mar-26 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Apr-26 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| May-26 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jun-26 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul-26 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug-26 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep-26 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct-26 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov-26 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2026 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33.33333333 |



4 B-doubles per direction per tower come from townsville to deliver tower components

|  | Cumulative towers |  |  |  |  |  | Average at Camp Loca |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 66 | 1781 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 7}$ |  |  |
| Woodstock | 66 | 1715 | 1748 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Charters Tow $\epsilon$ | 152 | 1563 | 1416.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Pentland | 293 | 1270 | 1121.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Hughenden | 297 | 973 | 831.5 | 0 | 0.75 | 1 |  |
| Richmond | 283 | 690 | 535 |  | 0.5 | 1 |  |
| Julia Creek | 310 | 380 | 308 |  | 0.25 | 1 |  |
| Cloncurry | 144 | 236 | 118 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Mount Isa | 236 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Tower distribution |  |  |  | Unloaded <br> Eastbound | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded <br> Westbound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Westbound | Eastbound | Westbound |  |  |
|  | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2330.666667 | 2330.667 | 2330.667 | 1235.2533 | 14683.2 | 1235.25333 | 14683.2 | 1235.2533 | 14683.2 |
| 3 | 2185.333333 | 2185.333 | 2185.333 | 1158.2267 | 13767.6 | 1158.22667 | 13767.6 | 1158.2267 | 13767.6 |
| 3 | 1888.666667 | 1888.667 | 1888.667 | 1000.9933 | 11898.6 | 1000.99333 | 11898.6 | 1000.9933 | 11898.6 |
| 2.5 | 897.2 | 1794.4 | 1794.4 | 475.516 | 5652.36 | 951.032 | 11304.72 | 951.032 | 11304.72 |
| . 75 | 0 | 1425.429 | 1900.571 | 0 | 0 | 755.477143 | 8980.2 | 1007.3029 | 11973.6 |
| 1.5 | 0 | 713.3333 | 1426.667 | 0 | 0 | 378.066667 | 4494 | 756.13333 | 8988 |
| 25 | 0 | 246.4 | 985.6 | 0 | 0 | 130.592 | 1552.32 | 522.368 | 6209.28 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 472 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250.16 | 2973.6 |

Unloaded SAR 0.53
Loaded SAR4 6.3

Mt Isa-100km

Total Spacing 425m
Camp locat

| 6 | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | From camp eastbound - Counter ID 46 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 m | 2024 |  | 2025 |  | 2026 |  | 2027 |  | 2026 5\% TT\| | 19126.08 | 2027 5\% T1 | 20306.16 |
| ffic | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2223.154 | 18822.14 | 1291.323 | 11433.84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2111.996 | 17881.03 | 1239.264 | 11010.83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2000.839 | 16939.92 | 1187.206 | 10587.82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1889.681 | 15998.82 | 1135.148 | 10164.81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1778.523 | 15057.71 | 1083.09 | 9741.795 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1667.366 | 14116.6 | 1031.032 | 9318.783 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1556.208 | 13175.5 | 978.9738 | 8895.771 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1445.05 | 12234.39 | 926.9156 | 8472.758 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1333.893 | 11293.28 | 874.8575 | 8049.746 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1222.735 | 10352.18 | 822.7994 | 7626.734 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1111.577 | 9411.069 | 770.7413 | 7203.722 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000.419 | 8469.962 | 718.6831 | 6780.71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 889.2617 | 7528.855 | 666.625 | 6357.697 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 778.104 | 6587.748 | 614.5669 | 5934.685 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 666.9462 | 5646.641 | 562.5087 | 5511.673 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 555.7885 | 4705.534 | 510.4506 | 5088.661 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 444.6308 | 3764.427 | 458.3925 | 4665.649 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 333.4731 | 2823.321 | 406.3344 | 4242.637 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222.3154 | 1882.214 | 354.2762 | 3819.624 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111.1577 | 941.1069 | 302.2181 | 3396.612 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250.16 | 2973.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Mt Isa Camp <br> 2024 Total Contribution <br> $0 \mid$ <br> 2025 Total Contribution 0 <br> 2026 Total Contribution 0 <br> 2027 Total Contribution 0 <br> \section*{Camp Total}

\$


\section*{Cloncurry Camp <br> 2024 Total Contribution <br> | 0 |
| :---: |
| 2025 Total Contribution | <br> \$ 16,690.10 <br> 2026 Total Contribution <br> \$ 132,125.28 <br> 2027 Total Contribution <br> \$200,643.26 <br> Camp Total}

\$ 349,458.64

|  |  | From camp westbound - Counter ID 45 |  |  |  | From Camp southbound connection to highway - ID 44 |  |  |  | 2027 5\% Tr | 4640.680146 | Branching Eastbound - Landsborough Hwy ID 39 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2025 5\% Thre | 13897.707 | 2026 5\% Threshold | 14335.48468 | 2027 5\% Threshold | 14787.05244 | 2025 5\% thres | 4459.602405 | 2026 5\% TT | 4549.240413 |  |  | 2026 5\% 까 | 6519.285 | 2027 5\% T1 | 6691.394339 |
| Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | Length |  |  |  | Length |  |  |  |
| 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 2.57 | km |  |  | 16 | km |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | all towers | are serviced by this | his road |  | assume 25\% | \% towers ar | re serviced | by this road |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10753.81 | total SA | R 2025 | total | I SAR 2026 | total S | SAR 2027 | total SA | AR 2026 | tota | al SAR 2027 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 10529.78 | 0 | 12060.10 | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 12334.40 | 0 | 13553.02 | 1606 | 13238.55 | 4078.99 | 36277.63 | 4447.51 | 39861.82 | 509.87 | 4534.70 | 555.94 | 4982.73 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 10529.78 | 0 | 12060.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10753.81 |  | 4627.14 |  | 12679.76 |  | 13932.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 33,393.97 | \$ | \$ 59,180.84 |  | \$ 4,627.14 |  | \$ 12,679.76 |  | \$ 13,932.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



| From camp westbound - Counter ID 37 |  |  |  |  |  | From camp southbound - Counter ID 35 |  |  |  | 2027 5\% Tr | 843.01918 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2025 5\% TTㅏ | 4244.266 | 2026 5\% T1 | 4286.708447 | 2027 5\% Th | 4329.575531 | 2025 5\% Tㅏ | 694.1835 | 2026 5\% Tr | 764.99018 |  |  |
| Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3055.92 | 0 | 6111.84 | Length |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3418.09 | 0 | 6294.02 | 4.74 | km |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3925.14 | 0 | 6549.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 4432.18 | 0 | 6804.11 | Assume 10 percent of camp towers serviced by this road |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 4939.22 | 0 | 7059.16 | total SAR 2025 |  | total SAR 2026 |  | total SAR 2027 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 5446.26 | 0 | 7314.21 | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 5953.30 | 0 | 7569.26 | 37.07 | 342.93 | 161.40 | 1514.61 | 141.55 | 1434.62 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 6460.35 | 0 | 7824.31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 6967.39 | 0 | 8079.35 |  |  |  | 976.38108 |  | 924.8105 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 7474.43 | 0 | 8334.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 7981.47 | 0 | 8589.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 8488.51 | 0 | 8844.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 8995.56 | 0 | 9099.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 9502.60 | 0 | 9354.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 10009.64 | 0 | 9609.64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 10299.38 | 0 | 9755.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 10009.64 | 0 | 9609.64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 9502.60 | 0 | 9354.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 8995.56 | 0 | 9099.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 8488.51 | 0 | 8844.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 7981.47 | 0 | 8589.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 7474.43 | 0 | 8334.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 6967.39 | 0 | 8079.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 6460.35 | 0 | 7824.31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 5953.30 | 0 | 7569.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 5446.26 | 0 | 7314.21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 4939.22 | 0 | 7059.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 4432.18 | 0 | 6804.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3925.14 | 0 | 6549.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3418.09 | 0 | 6294.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3055.92 | 0 | 6111.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ 204,399.48 |  |  |  | \$ 244,630.31 |  | \$ 976.38 |  |  |  | \$ 924.81 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| From camp westbound - Counter ID 34 |  |  |  | 2027 5\% Thi | 5683.497777 | From camp southbound - Counter ID 33 |  |  | 612.26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2025 5\% Th | 5571.51042 | 2026 5\% Th\| | 5627.225521 |  |  | 2025 5\% Thres | 606.19 | 2026 5\% Thr |  |
| Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 6106.54 | 0 | 8142.05 | Length |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 6676.08 | 0 | 8142.05 | 15.38 | km |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 7119.06 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 7562.04 | 0 | 8142.05 | Assume 20 percent of camp towers serviced by this road |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 8005.01 | 0 | 8142.05 | total SAR 2025 |  | total SAR 2026 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 8447.99 | 0 | 8142.05 | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 8890.97 | 0 | 8142.05 | 148.28 | 1371.70 | 380.15 | 3657.29 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 9333.95 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 9776.93 | 0 | 8142.05 | 0 | 2869.16 | 0 | 7649.89 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 10219.91 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 10662.88 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 11105.86 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 4010.85 | 0 | 11548.84 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 4337.32 | 0 | 11991.82 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 4663.78 | 0 | 12434.80 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 4337.32 | 0 | 11991.82 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 4010.85 | 0 | 11548.84 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 11105.86 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 10662.88 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 10219.91 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 9776.93 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 9333.95 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 8890.97 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 8447.99 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 8005.01 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 7562.04 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 7119.06 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 6676.08 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 6106.54 | 0 | 8142.05 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | \$ 21,360.12 | 0 | \$ 267,330.56 | \$ | \$ 236,119.39 | 0 | \$ 2,869.16 | 0 | \$ 7,649.89 |




|  | Pentland - 139 km |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total towers - 293 | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | From camp | eastbound | d - Counter | ID 26 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Spacing 475m | 202 |  | 202 |  | 202 |  | 20 |  | 2024 5\% T1 | 8607.72 | 2025 5\% Tr | 8756.63 | 2026 5\% T | 8908.12 | 2027 5\% Ti | 9062.23 |
|  | \% Traffic | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded |
|  | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | $5 \quad 0.045$ | 82.33 | 725.20 | 1265.90 | 14003.11 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9522.11 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | $10 \quad 0.08$ | 146.37 | 1289.25 | 1471.94 | 15639.94 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10635.16 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | 150.115 | 210.40 | 1853.30 | 1677.98 | 17276.78 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11748.21 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | $20 \quad 0.15$ | 274.43 | 2417.35 | 1884.02 | 18913.62 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12861.26 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | $25 \quad 0.185$ | 338.47 | 2981.40 | 2090.06 | 20550.45 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13974.31 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | $30 \quad 0.22$ | 402.50 | 3545.45 | 2296.10 | 22187.29 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15087.36 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | $35 \quad 0.255$ | 466.54 | 4109.49 | 2502.14 | 23824.13 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16200.41 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | $40 \quad 0.29$ | 530.57 | 4673.54 | 2708.18 | 25460.97 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17313.46 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | $45 \quad 0.325$ | 594.61 | 5237.59 | 2914.22 | 27097.80 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18426.51 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | $50 \quad 0.36$ | 658.64 | 5801.64 | 3120.26 | 28734.64 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19539.56 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | 550.395 | 722.68 | 6365.69 | 3326.30 | 30371.48 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20652.61 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | $60 \quad 0.43$ | 786.71 | 6929.73 | 3532.34 | 32008.32 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21765.65 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | $65 \quad 0.465$ | 850.75 | 7493.78 | 3738.37 | 33645.15 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22878.70 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
| Camp location | $70 \quad 0.5$ | 914.78 | 8057.83 | 3944.41 | 35281.99 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23991.75 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | 750.465 | 850.75 | 7493.78 | 3738.37 | 33645.15 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22878.70 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | $80 \quad 0.43$ | 786.71 | 6929.73 | 3532.34 | 32008.32 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21765.65 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | 850.395 | 722.68 | 6365.69 | 3326.30 | 30371.48 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20652.61 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | $90 \quad 0.36$ | 658.64 | 5801.64 | 3120.26 | 28734.64 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19539.56 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | 950.325 | 594.61 | 5237.59 | 2914.22 | 27097.80 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18426.51 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | 1000.29 | 530.57 | 4673.54 | 2708.18 | 25460.97 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17313.46 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | 1050.255 | 466.54 | 4109.49 | 2502.14 | 23824.13 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16200.41 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | $110 \quad 0.22$ | 402.50 | 3545.45 | 2296.10 | 22187.29 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15087.36 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | 1150.185 | 338.47 | 2981.40 | 2090.06 | 20550.45 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13974.31 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | $120 \quad 0.15$ | 274.43 | 2417.35 | 1884.02 | 18913.62 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12861.26 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | 1250.115 | 210.40 | 1853.30 | 1677.98 | 17276.78 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11748.21 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | $130 \quad 0.08$ | 146.37 | 1289.25 | 1471.94 | 15639.94 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10635.16 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | 1350.045 | 82.33 | 725.20 | 1265.90 | 14003.11 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9522.11 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |
|  | 140 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 1000.99 | 11898.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 | 0 | 8091.05 |

Pentland Camp 2024 Total Contribution



## Charters Towers Camp

 2024 Total Contribution
## 2025 Total Contribution

\$ 187,311.66 2026 Total Contribution \$ 188,489.41 2027 Total Contribution
\$ 140,429.52

## amp Total

\$ 516,230.59

| ID 17 |  |  |  |  | From camp westbound - Counter ID 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | From camp southbound to highway - Counter ID 18 |  |  |  |  | 7146.2259 | 2027 5\% Tr | 7278.431 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23036.8 | 2026 5\% T1 | 23624.2 | 2027 5\% Th | 24226.7 | 2024 5\% T T | 12292.71 | 2025 5\% T1 | 12732.7939 | 2026 5\% Th | 13188.62792 | 2027 5\% T\| | 13660.7808 | 2024 5\% Th | - 6888.976 | 2025 5\% T\| | 7016.4221 | 2026 5\% T\| |  |  |  |
| Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9361.97 | 0 | 9361.97 | 0 | 9361.97 | Length |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10121.46 | 0 | 10140.54 | 0 | 9361.97 | 4.33 | km |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10880.94 | 0 | 10919.10 | 0 | 9361.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11640.43 | 0 | - 11697.67 | 0 | 9361.97 | All camp tra | raffic servic | ed by this ro | oad |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12399.92 | 0 | 12476.24 | 0 | 9361.97 | total SAR | AR 2024 | total S | SAR 2025 | total S | SAR 2026 | total SA | AR 2027 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13159.41 | 0 | - 13254.81 | 0 | 9361.97 | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13918.90 | 0 | 14033.38 | 0 | 9361.97 | 741.41 | 6858.50 | 1876.74 | 15955.64 | 2012.91 | 16356.47 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14786.89 | 0 | 14923.17 | 0 | 9361.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13918.90 | 0 | 14033.38 | 0 | 9361.97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9395.96 | 0 | 9632.00 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13159.41 | 0 | 13254.81 | 0 | 9361.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12399.92 | 0 | 12476.24 | 0 | 9361.97 | From highw | way branch | ing south on | n Gregory Dev | velopmental | al Road - Coun | nter ID 21 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11640.43 | 0 | 11697.67 | 0 | 9361.97 | 2024 5\% Th | 析 5300.084 | 2025 5\% TT\| | 5685.3997 | 20265\% TW | 6098.7283 | 2027 5\% Tr | 6542.106 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10880.94 | 0 | - 10919.10 | 0 | 9361.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10175.71 | 0 | 10196.15 | 0 | 9361.97 | Assume 40\% | \% of tower | es serviced by | by this road |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9470.47 | 0 | - 9473.19 | 0 | 9361.97 | total SA | AR 2024 | total S | SAR 2025 | total S | SAR 2026 | total SA | AR 2027 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 177,915.70 |  | \$ 178,857.41 |  | \$ 140,429.52 | 148.28 | 1371.70 | 375.35 | 3191.13 | 402.58 | 3271.29 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Townsvile to Woodstock
0
Woodstock Camp
2024 Total Contribution

| 2024 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2025 Total Contribution |


| 2025 |
| :--- |

2026 Total Contribution
25 25,550.79
2027 Total Contribution
\$ -
Camp Total
\$60,304.22

| From camp eastbound - Counter ID 17 |  |  |  | 2027 5\% T\| | 24226.65 | From camp westbound - Counter ID 17 |  |  |  | 2027 5\% Tt | 24226.65 | From camp southbound - minor rd - Counter ID 15 |  |  |  | 2027 5\% Tr | 1480.164 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2025 5\% TT | 23036.8 | 2026 5\% T1 | 23624.23 |  |  | 2025 5\% TT | 23036.8 | 2026 5\% T\| | 23624.23 |  |  | 2025 5\% Th | 1450.999251 | 2026 5\% T1 | 1465.509243 |  |  |
| Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Length | 50 | km |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Assume all | traffic camp servi | iced by this | road |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | tota | I SAR 2025 | tota | I SAR 2026 | total SA | AR 2027 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded | Unloaded | Loaded |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 612.48 | 5110.80 | 458.55 | 3757.47 | 17.35 | 141.00 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 34753.43 |  | 25550.79 |  |  |

[^2]|  | Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |  | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| Unloaded SAR4 | 0.54 | 0.5 | 0.46 | 0.6 | 0.56 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 |  |
| Loaded SAR4 | 2.98 | 3.57 | 4.09 | 4.43 | 5.02 | 5.61 | 4.93 | 6.3 | 8.34 | 11.75 |  |


| Class | Typical description | Dominant vehicle in each class | able 3: SAR calculation | st | h | v |  | fic |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medium (5.5m to 14.5 m ) |  |  | Austroads vehicle class | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 3 | Two axde truck | (19) | Legal Loading (t) | 15.0 | 22.5 | 27.5 | 24.0 | 31.5 | 39.0 | 42.5 | 62.5 | 79.0 | 115.5 |
| 4 | Three axie truck | 保(0) | Base Load per SAR4 | 13.6 | 19.2 | 23.0 | 21.8 | 27.4 | 33.0 | 37.7 | 56.2 | 70.0 | 102.3 |
|  |  |  | Unloaded Axle Group Load (t) | 8.5 | 9.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 13.5 | 14.5 | 16.0 | 22.5 | 27.5 | 39.0 |
| 5 | Four axie truck |  | Unloaded SAR4 | 0.54 | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0.60 | 0.56 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 |
|  |  |  | Unloaded SAR5 | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.37 | 0.46 | 0.44 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.44 |
| Long 111.5 mm 1019.0 m$)$ |  |  | Unloaded SAR12 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
|  | Three axde aricuilted |  | Loaded Axle Group Load (t) | 15.0 | 22.5 | 27.5 | 24.0 | 31.5 | 39.0 | 42.5 | 62.5 | 79.0 | 115.5 |
| б |  |  | Loaded SAR4 | 2.98 | 3.57 | 4.09 | 4.43 | 5.02 | 5.61 | 4.93 | 6.30 | 8.34 | 11.75 |
| $\tau$ | Fout axie artculated |  | Loaded SAR5 | 3.29 | 4.14 | 4.89 | 4.88 | 5.73 | 6.58 | 5.61 | 7.09 | 9.53 | 13.45 |
|  |  |  | Loaded SAR12 | 6.60 | 12.08 | 17.07 | 9.65 | 15.13 | 20.61 | 14.63 | 17.17 | 25.71 | 36.79 |
| 8 | Five axte articulated |  | Payload (t) | 6.5 | 13.0 | 15.0 | 11.5 | 18.0 | 24.5 | 26.5 | 40.0 | 51.5 | 76.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Roads Assessed for Pavement Calculations 

Appendix F

|  |  | Contribution per year |  |  | 2026 |  | 2027 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2024 |  | 2025 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Camp | Mt Isa | \$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
|  | Cloncurry | \$ | \$ | 16,690.10 | \$ | 132,125.28 | \$ | 200,643.26 | \$ | 349,458.64 |
|  | Julia Creek | \$ |  | 0 | \$ | 396,827.32 | \$ | 490,185.43 | \$ | 887,012.75 |
|  | Richmond | \$ | \$ | 24,229.28 | \$ | 542,311.02 | \$ | 472,238.78 | \$ | 1,038,779.08 |
|  | Hughenden | \$ | \$ | 494,734.78 | \$ | 589,040.93 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,083,775.71 |
|  | Pentland | \$ 25,095.31 | \$ | 922,768.90 | \$ | 469,280.78 | \$ | 469,280.78 | \$ | 1,886,425.78 |
|  | Charters Towers | \$ | \$ | 187,311.66 | \$ | 188,489.41 | \$ | 140,429.52 | \$ | 516,230.59 |
|  | Woodstock | \$ | \$ | 34,753.43 | \$ | 25,550.79 | \$ | - | \$ | 60,304.22 |
|  | Total | \$ 25,095.31 | \$ | 1,680,488.15 | \$ | 2,343,625.53 | \$ | 1,772,777.78 | \$ | 5,821,986.77 |


| Camp | Road Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cloncurry | Flinders Highway |
| Cloncurry | Barkly Highway |
| Cloncurry | Burke Developmental Road |
| Julia Creek | Flinders Highway |
| Julia Creek | Flinders Highway |
| Julia Creek | Julia Creek Kynuna Road |
| Richmond | Flinders Highway |
| Richmond | Flinders Highway |
| Richmond | Richmond Winton Road |
| Hughenden | Flinders Highway |
| Hughenden | Flinders Highway |
| Hughenden | Hughenden Muttaburra Road |
| Pentland | Flinders Highway |
| Pentland | Flinders Highway |
|  |  |
| Charters Towers | Gregory Developmental Road (north) |
| Charters Towers | Flinders Highway |
| Woodstock | Burdekin Falls Dam Road |
|  |  |


| Description | Length (km) | 2024 |  | 2025 |  | 2026 |  | 2027 | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.7km east of Flinders Highway/ Landsborough Highway intersection, Cloncurry | 68 |  | \$ | 12,062.97 | \$ | 86,051.55 | \$ | 127,529.92 | \$ | 225,644.44 |
| 5km south-west of Barkly Highway/ Burke Developmental Road, Cloncurry | 68 |  |  |  | \$ | 33,393.97 | \$ | 59,180.84 | \$ | 92,574.80 |
| 8.0km north-west of Barkly Highway/ Burke Developmental Road intersection, Cloncurry | 2.57 |  | \$ | 4,627.14 | \$ | 12,679.76 | \$ | 13,932.50 | \$ | 31,239.40 |
| 32.3km east of Flinders Highway/ Julia Creek Kynuna Road intersection, Julia Creek | 147 |  |  |  | \$ | 191,451.46 | \$ | 244,630.31 | \$ | 436,081.77 |
| 2.8km west of Flinders Highway/ Wills Developmental Road intersection, Julia Creek | 147 |  |  |  | \$ | 204,399.48 | \$ | 244,630.31 | \$ | 449,029.79 |
| 5.1 km south of Flinders Highway/ Julia Creek Kynuna Road | 4.74 |  |  |  | \$ | 976.38 | \$ | 924.81 | \$ | 1,901.19 |
| 17.4 km south-west of Flinders Highway/ Kennedy Developmental Road (north), Hughenden | 134 |  |  |  | \$ | 267,330.56 | \$ | 236,119.39 | \$ | 503,449.96 |
| 32.3 km east of Flinders Highway/ Julia Creek Kynuna Road intersection, Julia Creek | 134 |  | \$ | 21,360.12 | \$ | 267,330.56 | \$ | 236,119.39 | \$ | 524,810.08 |
| 16.5 km south of Flinders Highway/ Richmond Winton Road intersection, Richmond | 15.38 |  | \$ | 2,869.16 | \$ | 7,649.89 |  |  | \$ | 10,519.05 |
| 4.0km north-east of Flinders Highway/ Aramac Torrens Creek Road intersection, Torrens Creek | 141 |  | \$ | 246,928.71 | \$ | 294,119.79 |  |  | \$ | 541,048.50 |
| 17.4km south-west of Flinders Highway/ Kennedy Developmental Road (north), Hughenden | 141 |  | \$ | 246,928.71 | \$ | 294,119.79 |  |  | \$ | 541,048.50 |
| 7.8 km south of the Flinders Highway/ Hughenden Muttaburra Road intersection, Hughenden | 6.32 |  | \$ | 877.36 | \$ | 801.35 |  |  | \$ | 1,678.71 |
| 0.5 km south-west of Flinders Highway/ Red Road intersection, Homestead | 139 |  | \$ | 461,384.45 | \$ | 234,640.39 | \$ | 234,640.39 | \$ | 930,665.24 |
| 4.0km north-east of Flinders Highway/ Aramac Torrens Creek Road intersection, Torrens Creek | 139 | \$ 25,095.31 | \$ | 461,384.45 | \$ | 234,640.39 | \$ | 234,640.39 | \$ | 955,760.55 |
| 0.4km west of Flinders Highway/ Gregory Developmental Road (north) intersection, Charters Towers | 4.33 |  | \$ | 9,395.96 | \$ | 9,632.00 |  |  | \$ | 19,027.96 |
| 4.0km north-east of Flinders Highway/ Aramac Torrens Creek Road intersection, Torrens Creek | 70 |  | \$ | 177,915.70 | \$ | 178,857.41 | \$ | 140,429.52 | \$ | 497,202.64 |
| 1.65 km south of Flinders Highway/ Burdekin Falls Dam Road intersection, Mingela | 50 |  | \$ | 34,753.43 | \$ | 25,550.79 |  |  | \$ | 60,304.22 |
|  |  | \$ 25,095.31 | \$ | 1,680,488.15 | \$ | 2,343,625.53 | \$ | 1,772,777.78 | \$ | 5,821,986.77 |
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