# Yeronga Priority Development Area Infrastructure Planning Background Report 

July 2023

The Department of State Development, Infrastructure, Local Government and Planning improves productivity and quality of life in Queensland by leading economic strategy, industry development, infrastructure and planning, for the benefit of all.

## Copyright

This publication is protected by the Copyright Act 1968.

## Licence



This work, except as identified below, is licensed by the Department of State Development under a Creative Commons Attribution-No Derivative Works (CC BY-ND) 4.0 Australia licence. To view a copy of this licence, visit: http://creativecommons.org.au/

You are free to copy and communicate this publication, as long as you attribute it as follows:
© State of Queensland, Department of State Development, Infrastructure, Local Government and Planning, July 2023
Third party material that is not licensed under a Creative Commons licence is referenced within this document. All content not licensed under a Creative Commons licence is all rights reserved. Please contact the Department of State Development, Infrastructure, Local Government and Planning / the copyright owner if you wish to use this material.

The Queensland Government is committed to providing accessible services to Queenslanders of all cultural and linguistic backgrounds. If you have difficulty understanding this publication and need a translator, please call the Translating and Interpreting Service (TIS National) on 131450 and ask them to contact the Queensland Department of State Development, Infrastructure, Local Government and Planning on 0734527100

## Disclaimer

While every care has been taken in preparing this publication, to the extent permitted by law, the State of Queensland accepts no responsibility and disclaims all liability (including without limitation, liability in negligence) for all expenses, losses (including direct and indirect loss), damages and costs incurred as a result of decisions or actions taken as a result of any data, information, statement or advice, expressed or implied, contained within. To the best of our knowledge, the content was correct at the time of publishing.

Any references to legislation are not an interpretation of the law. They are to be used as a guide only. The information in this publication is general and does not take into account individual circumstances or situations. Where appropriate, independent legal advice should be sought.

Copies of this publication are available on our website at www.statedevelopment.qld.gov.au and further copies are available upon request to:

Economic Development Queensland
Department of State Development, Infrastructure, Local Government and Planning
GPO Box 2202, Brisbane Queensland 4002.
1 William Street Brisbane Qld 4000 (Australia)
Phone: 13 QGOV (13 7468)
Email: edq@dsdmip.qld.gov.au
Web: https://www.statedevelopment.qld.gov.au/economic-development-qld

## Contents

1 Background ..... 5
1.1 Purpose of Infrastructure Planning Background Report (IPBR) .....  5
2 Growth projections ..... 6
2.1 Introduction .....  .6
2.2 Growth projection years .....  6
2.3 Potential development capacity .....  6
2.4 Development constraints .....  6
2.5 Growth rates .....  6
2.6 Growth projection summary .....  6
3 Demand projections ..... 8
4 Desired standards of service ..... 10
4.1 Water supply ..... 10
4.2 Sewerage ..... 10
4.3 Stormwater ..... 10
4.4 Transport ..... 10
4.5 Public parks and land for community facilities ..... 10
5 Infrastructure planning ..... 11
5.1 Introduction ..... 11
5.2 Water supply ..... 11
5.3 Sewerage ..... 11
5.4 Stormwater ..... 12
5.5 Transport ..... 12
5.6 Public parks and land for community facilities ..... 13
6 Infrastructure costs ..... 15
6.1 Cost of land ..... 15
6.2 Cost of works. ..... 15
6.3 On-costs allowance ..... 15
6.4 Contingency allowance ..... 16
7 Development Charges ..... 17
7.1 Infrastructure charges ..... 17
7.2 Funding non- Trunk Infrastructure and other infrastructure ..... 17
Appendix A - Yeronga PDA boundary map ..... 20
Appendix B - Yeronga PDA External Water Network Augmentation Works - Briefing Note 21Appendix C - Urban Utilities Services Advice Notice - 70 Park Road, Yeronga22
Appendix D - Former Yeronga TAFE - 70 Park Road, Yeronga - Engineering Site Analysis Report ..... 23
Appendix E - Technical Note: Transport Infrastructure Development Contributions June 2022 ..... 24

## List of Tables

Table 1 - Residential dwellings and non-residential floor space projections ..... 7
Table 2 - Population and employment projections ..... 7
Table 3 - Demand generation rates ..... 8
Table 4 - Demand projection rates ..... 9
Table 5 - Infrastructure funding determination ..... 13
Table 6 - Cost of future Trunk Infrastructure (works) ..... 15
Table 7 - On-cost allowance ..... 16
Table 8 - Contingency allowance ..... 16
Table 9 - Schedule of Works ..... 18

## 1 Background

The Yeronga Development Area (PDA) was declared on 10 August 2018 under the Economic Development Act 2012 (the Act)ㅁ. The Yeronga PDA Development Scheme (the development scheme) is applicable to all land within the boundaries of the PDA as well as to development outside the PDA if that development is declared to be PDA-associated development. The development scheme became effective on 9 August 2019.

The Development Charges and Offsets Plan (DCOP) provides guidance on infrastructure matters by stating the Development Charges applicable to development within the PDA, identifying any Trunk Infrastructure within the water supply, sewerage, stormwater, transport, public parks and community facilities networks made necessary by development of the PDA as well as matters relevant to calculating a Credit, offset or refund for the provision of trunk infrastructure.

The PDA is located within the Brisbane City Council (BCC) local government area. A map showing the extent and boundary of the PDA is provided in Appendix A - Yeronga PDA boundary map.

### 1.1 Purpose of Infrastructure Planning Background Report (IPBR)

This IPBR documents information relevant to infrastructure planning and development charging in the Yeronga PDA. The report will assist users of the PDA development scheme (section 3 Infrastructure Plan) and the DCOP to understand how infrastructure planning was undertaken and how Development Charges were determined.

Additionally, section 5 of this report outlines the Desired Standard of Service (DSS) for the Yeronga PDA. The DSS is a summary of the trunk and non-trunk design standards used to inform the planning of the infrastructure networks. These standards also provide guidance to applicants of the form, type and arrangement of infrastructure that is likely to be acceptable to EDQ in the Yeronga PDA.

These standards generally reflect those currently adopted by BCC and Urban Utilities (UU) under the Local Government Infrastructure Plan (LGIP) and Netserv Plan, except where specific reference indicates otherwise.
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## 2 Growth projections

### 2.1 Introduction

The projections of future residential and non-residential growth in the Yeronga PDA provide a consistent basis for the planning of infrastructure to service the PDA. The following section is a summary of the growth projections prepared for the PDA.

### 2.2 Growth projection years

The Yeronga growth projections are based on the anticipated staging of development and were prepared for:

- the base date 2020 and the following projection years:
- 2023
- 2026. 


### 2.3 Potential development capacity

Assumptions about the timing of development have been prepared by the MEDQ having regard to the likely staging of development that may be proposed for the PDA.

The development capacity estimated to be achieved within the PDA was prepared by EDQ having regard to the land use and built form requirements of the development scheme.

### 2.4 Development constraints

The key development constraint is flooding which impacts a portion of the PDA closest to the railway line. It has been assumed that building development within this portion of the PDA will not be feasible. Noise from the adjoining railway line is capable of being mitigated and does not impact the potential development yield of the PDA.

### 2.5 Growth rates

The rate of growth for residential and non-residential development in the PDA was determined by the MEDQ having regard to the likely staging of development that may be proposed for the PDA. Development that may be proposed for the PDA was determined having regard to an assessment of market demands in the area. It has been assumed that the PDA will be fully developed by 2026.

### 2.6 Growth projection summary

The growth projections for the PDA are summarised in Table 1 and Table 2.

Table 1 - Residential dwellings and non-residential floor space projections

| Column 1 <br> Description | Column 2 <br> Projections by year |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base date (2020) | 2023 | 2026 |
| Attached/Semi-Detached <br> Dwellings | 0 | 73 | 262 |
| Educational Facility (GFA) | 21,674 | 0 | 0 |
| Commercial Office (GFA) | 0 | 3,000 | 6,000 |
| Community (GFA) | 0 | 730 | 730 |

Table 2 - Population and employment projections

| Column 1 <br> Description | Column 2 <br> Projections by year |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base date (2020) | 2023 | 2026 |
| Population | 0 | 256 | 917 |
| Employment | 217 | 96 | 181 |

## 3 Demand projections

Growth projections are converted into demand projections to enable infrastructure planning to be undertaken.

Networks express demand using different demand units. The demand units used by each local network in the PDA are as follows:

- for the water supply network, equivalent persons (EP)
- for the sewerage network, equivalent persons (EP)
- for the stormwater quantity network, impervious area (imp area)
- for the transport network, trips per day (trips)
- for the public parks and community facilities network, equivalent persons (EP).

The demand generation rates used by each network to convert growth projections into demand are stated in Table 3.

Table 3 - Demand generation rates

| Column 1 <br> Development scheme zone / area | Column 2 <br> Demand generation rate for an infrastructure network |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Water supply network (EP) | Sewerage network (EP) | Stormwater quantity network (Imp m2 / m2 dev area) |  |  | Transport network (vpd/ dwelling or vpd/m2 GFA) | Public parks and community facilities (EP) |
|  |  |  | 2020 | 2023 | 2026 |  |  |
| Attached/SemiDetached Dwellings | 1.75 | 1.75 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 4.2 | 1.75 |
| Educational Facility (GFA) | 0.0113 | 0.0113 |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0 |
| Commercial Office (GFA) | 0.006 | 0.006 |  |  |  | 0.16 | 0 |
| Community (GFA) | 0.006 | 0.006 |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0 |
| Source | South East <br> Queensland Water Supply and Sewerage Design and Construction Code (SEQ Code) | South East <br> Queensland Water Supply and Sewerage Design and Construction Code (SEQ Code) | BCC LGIP Schedule 3 - SC3.1.3-Planned density and demand generation rate for a Trunk Infrastructure network (Principal Centre Zone) |  |  | Rates reflect typical industry averages | Rates calculated using an occupancy rate of 1.75 persons per dwelling |

The demand projections for each network are stated in Table 4.

Table 4 - Demand projection rates
Existing and projected demand for the water supply network

| Column 1 <br> Service catchment | Column 2 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing and projected demand (EP) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| (2020) |  |  |  |$\quad 2023$| 2026 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Existing and projected demand for the sewerage network

| Column 1 <br> Service catchment | Column 2 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base date (2020) | 2023 | 2026 |
|  | 245 | 150 | 555 |

Existing and projected demand for the stormwater network

| Column 1 <br> Service catchment | Column 2 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing and projected demand (Imp Ha)) |  |  |
| Yeronga PDA | 2.58 | 2023 | 2026 |

Existing and projected demand for the transport network

| Column 1 <br> Service catchment | Column 2 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing and projected demand (trips) |  |  |

Existing and projected demand for the public parks and land for community facilities

| Column 1 <br> Service catchment | Column 2 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing and projected demand (EP) |  |  |
| Yeronga PDA (2020) | 2023 | 2026 |  |

## 4 Desired standards of service

### 4.1 Water supply

The Desired Standards of Service (DSS) for the water supply network are those stated in the South East Queensland Design and Construction Code, as may be amended from time to time.

### 4.2 Sewerage

The DSS for the sewerage network are those stated in the South East Queensland Design and Construction Code, as may be amended from time to time.

### 4.3 Stormwater

The DSS for the stormwater network are those stated in the Brisbane City Plan 2014, State Planning Policy 2017 and the Queensland Urban Drainage Manual, Second Edition 2007.

### 4.4 Transport

The DSS for the transport network are those stated in the Brisbane City Plan 2014 Local Government Infrastructure Plan (LGIP).

### 4.5 Public parks and land for community facilities

The DSS for the Public parks and land for community facilities network are those stated in the Brisbane City Plan 2014 Local Government Infrastructure Plan (LGIP) and supporting policy, as may be amended from time to time.

Future community facilities will be delivered to meet the specifications of the Department of Communities, Child Safety and Disability Services.

## 5 Infrastructure planning

### 5.1 Introduction

Infrastructure planning for the Yeronga PDA was undertaken using a planning horizon of 2026. This horizon was chosen to align with the time period within which the PDA is expected to be fully developed. The DCOP identifies infrastructure requirements external to the PDA only if the infrastructure is made necessary by development of the PDA. These requirements are subject to further assessment and discussion with the relevant agency.

### 5.2 Water supply

Planning of water supply infrastructure to service development within the PDA is documented in the following report:

- Yeronga PDA External Water Network Augmentation Works - Briefing Note, Stantec, 14 October 2021 (Appendix B - Yeronga PDA External Water Network Augmentation Works Briefing Note)

It has been determined that:

- an upgrade of the existing DN100 water main in Ovendean Street between Fairfield Road and Park Road to a DN150 is required
- internal water supply reticulation mains that connect the development to the existing water supply network will be required.

A determination of whether the identified infrastructure is trunk or non-Trunk Infrastructure is provided in Table 5.

### 5.3 Sewerage

Planning of sewerage infrastructure to service development within the PDA is documented in the:

- Urban Utilities Services Advice Notice - 70 Park Road, Yeronga, Urban Utilities, 5 May 2021 (Appendix C - Urban Utilities Services Advice Notice - 70 Park Road, Yeronga).

It has been determined that:

- the existing external sewerage network has capacity to service the Yeronga PDA and that no augmentations are required to the external network
- internal sewerage reticulation mains that connect the development to the existing sewerage network will be required.

A determination of whether the identified infrastructure is trunk or non-Trunk Infrastructure is provided in Table 5.

### 5.4 Stormwater

Planning of stormwater infrastructure has been undertaken to service an assumed amount of development within the PDA similar to that stated in Section 2 of this DCOP. The planning is documented in a report titled:

- Former Yeronga TAFE - 70 Park Road, Yeronga - Engineering Site Analysis Report, Jacobs, 14 December 2018 (Appendix D)

It was determined that:

- the development site is generally unaffected by flooding from the Brisbane River.
- the site is affected by flooding from overland flow paths and development of the PDA need to account for and design an appropriate drainage system to convey this flow through the site.
- the northern portion of the PDA is the lowest point within the site and the entire PDA and part of the adjacent school site drains to this location. This portion of the site is considered to be constrained from future development.
- future development of the PDA is unlikely to result in an increase in the amount of impervious area when compared with the previous TAFE use of the site. Consequently, the amount of stormwater runoff from the site is not expected to increase when compared with the previous TAFE use of the site.
- as the existing stormwater quantity network is providing a satisfactory level of service, no new external stormwater quantity infrastructure is planned to service the PDA.
- internal pipe infrastructure within the site will be required to convey stormwater runoff to the lawful point of discharge
- to achieve the water quality objectives of the State Planning Policy, an on-site solution will be needed. This could take the form of a centralised bio-retention basin or decentralised treatment throughout the PDA.

A determination of whether the identified infrastructure is trunk or non-Trunk Infrastructure is provided in Table 5.

### 5.5 Transport

Planning of transport infrastructure has been undertaken to service an assumed amount of development within the PDA similar to that stated in Section 2 of this DCOP. The planning is documented in the following reports:

- Former Yeronga TAFE - 70 Park Road, Yeronga - Engineering Site Analysis Report, Jacobs, 14 December 2018 (Appendix D)
- Technical Note: Transport Infrastructure Development Contributions June 2022 - Point8 (Appendix E)

It was determined that:

- an internal road within the site may be required. This internal road could enter/exit to Park Road and Villa Street, however road safety design risks will have to be managed in the design of these non-signalised intersections.
- the existing external network has capacity to service the Yeronga PDA and that no immediate augmentations are required to existing roads. However, upgrades will be required into the future as growth from the PDA and surrounding areas continue to put pressure on existing infrastructure.
- several local active transport upgrades have been identified through feedback from the local community as being required in the medium/long term. Funding has been prioritised to these upgrades in the DCOP in response to the consultation feedback received.
- an intersection upgrade at Park Road and Villa Street has been identified as required in the medium/long term and a portion of infrastructure charges will be allocated to that upgrade.

A determination of whether the identified infrastructure is trunk or non-Trunk Infrastructure is provided in Table 5.

### 5.6 Public parks and land for community facilities

The PDA development scheme proposes a community facility to be available for use by local community organisations. MEDQ anticipate that this facility will require $930 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of land.

A determination of whether the identified infrastructure is trunk or non-Trunk Infrastructure is provided in Table 5.

Table 5 - Infrastructure funding determination

| Infrastructure <br> network | Infrastructure details | Trunk/non-trunk | Funding source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Water supply | Internal water reticulation network. | Non-trunk | Developer |
|  | Upgrade of existing DN100 water main in <br> Ovendean Street between Fairfield Rd and <br> Park Rd to DN150 | Trunk | Developer charges |
|  | Internal sewerage reticulation network | Non-trunk | Developer |
| Stormwater (quantity <br> and quality) | On-site stormwater quality solution. | Non-trunk | Developer |
| Transport (roads, <br> intersections, <br> pedestrian and cycle <br> paths) | Non-signalised intersection(s) to provide <br> site access from Villa Street or Park Road. | Non-trunk | Developer |
|  | Internal local access roads. | Non-trunk | Developer |
|  | Intersection - Contribution towards Villa <br> Rd/Park Rd intersection upgrade | Trunk | Development |
| Charges |  |  |  |


|  | Park Rd - widen the existing footpath on <br> the western side of Park Road, between <br> Dublin Street and the northern boundary of <br> 25 Park Rd | Trunk | Development <br> Charges |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Contribution towards upgrades to <br> Christensen St to facilitate safer cycling <br> and walking | Trunk | Development <br> Charges |
|  | Contribution towards upgrades on Lake <br> Street to facilitate safer cycling and walking | Trunk | Development <br> Charges |
|  | Contribution towards upgrades on Honour <br> Avenue to facilitate safer cycling and <br> walking | Trunk | Development <br> Charges |
| Public parks and land <br> for community <br> facilities | Land for community centre. | Trunk | Development <br> Charges |
| Electricity and gas | As required | Other | Developer |
| Telecommunications | As required | Other | Developer |

## 6 Infrastructure costs

The cost of infrastructure has been determined as follows.

### 6.1 Cost of land

The cost of infrastructure (land) for the community facility was determined through a site-specific valuation.

### 6.2 Cost of works

The cost of future infrastructure (works) for each network is stated in Table 6.

## Table 6 - Cost of future Trunk Infrastructure (works)

| Column 1 <br> Network | Column 2 <br> Source |
| :--- | :--- |
| Water supply | Cost estimate prepared by Rider Levett Bucknall Qld Pty Ltd. |
| Sewerage | No future Trunk Infrastructure has been identified. |
| Stormwater | No future Trunk Infrastructure has been identified. |
| Transport | Cost prepared by Point 8. |
| Public parks and land for <br> community facilities | No works component applicable for land for community facility. |

### 6.3 On-costs allowance

On-costs represent the owner's project costs and may include:

- survey for the work
- geotechnical investigations for the work
- strategic planning
- detailed design for the work
- project management, procurement and contract administration
- environmental investigations for the work, and
- portable long-service leave payment for a construction contract for the work.

The on-costs allowances that have been applied to infrastructure costs in the PDA are stated in Table 7.

## Table 7 - On-cost allowance

| Network | On-costs allowance |
| :--- | :--- |
| Water supply | $23 \%$. |
| Sewerage | No future Trunk Infrastructure has been identified. |
| Stormwater | No future Trunk Infrastructure has been identified. |
| Transport | $15 \%$. |
| Public parks and land <br> for community facilities | $0 \%$. |

### 6.4 Contingency allowance

A contingency allowance is included in the cost of future infrastructure works to deal with known risks. The level of contingency allowance applied for infrastructure works in each network are stated in Table 8.

Table 8 - Contingency allowance

| Network | Contingency allowance |
| :--- | :--- |
| Water supply | $30 \%$ |
| Sewerage | No future Trunk Infrastructure has been identified. |
| Stormwater | No future Trunk Infrastructure has been identified. |
| Transport | Up to $50 \%$. |
| Public parks and land <br> for community facilities | $0 \%$ |

## 7 Development Charges

Development charges are imposed on development in the PDA to fund Trunk Infrastructure which has been provided or is planned to be provided to service the PDA. The following Development Charges apply in the PDA.

- infrastructure charges.

The infrastructure charges for the Yeronga PDA are those currently outlined in the Yeronga PDA DCOP.

### 7.1 Infrastructure charges

Infrastructure charges imposed on development within the Yeronga PDA will fund the provision of Trunk Infrastructure made necessary by development of the Yeronga PDA. Trunk infrastructure is identified in Table 9 - Schedule of WorksError! Reference source not found..

### 7.2 Funding non- Trunk Infrastructure and other infrastructure

Non- Trunk Infrastructure and other infrastructure that is made necessary by development of the Yeronga PDA will be delivered and/or funded by parties undertaking development.

## Table 9 - Schedule of Works

## Schedule of future Trunk Infrastructure works - Water Supply

| DCOP <br> ID | Map <br> ref | Infrastructure <br> type | Infrastructure description | Estimated <br> timing | Land <br> cost | Works <br> base cost | Works on- <br> costs | Works <br> contingency | Total <br> works <br> cost $^{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| WAT-01 | 2 | Water Main | Upgrade of existing DN100 <br> water main in Ovendean Street <br> between Fairfield Rd and Park <br> Rd to DN150 | 2023 | N/A | $\$ 870,000$ | $\$ 103,868$ | $\$ 175,915$ | $\$ 1,149,783$ |

Notes:
1 - The total works cost is the sum of the following: construction cost, construction on costs and construction contingency.
2 - The estimated cost is the sum of the following: land cost and total works cost. This is expressed in FY 2022/23 dollars.

## Schedule of future Trunk Infrastructure works - Public Parks and Community Facilities

| DCOP <br> ID | Map <br> ref | Infrastructure <br> type | Infrastructure description | Estimated <br> timing | Land cost | Works <br> base cost | Works on- <br> costs | Works <br> contingency | Total works <br> cost $^{1}$ | Estimated <br> cost $^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CF-01 | 2 | Community <br> Facility | Land for a future Yeronga <br> Community Facility | 2023 | $\$ 422,320$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 422,320$ |
| CF-02 | 2 | Community <br> Facility | Public Realm Land | 2023 | $\$ 302,400$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 302,400$ |

Notes:
1 - The total works cost is the sum of the following: construction cost, construction on costs and construction contingency.
2 - The estimated cost is the sum of the following: land cost and total works cost. This is expressed in FY 2022/23 dollars.

## Schedule of future Trunk Infrastructure works - Transport

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { DCOP } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Map ref | Infrastructure type | Infrastructure description | Estimated timing | Land cost | Works base cost | Works on- costs | Works contingency | Total works cost ${ }^{1}$ | PDA \% of cost | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \operatorname{cost}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I-01 | 2 | Intersection | Contribution towards Villa Rd/Park Rd intersection upgrade | When <br> the upgrade is delivered | - | \$1,698,987 | \$368,067 | \$643,258 | \$2,705,312 | 4.7\% | \$126,850 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AT - } \\ & 01 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | Pathway Upgrade | Park Rd - widen the existing footpath on the western side of Park Road, between Dublin Street and the northern boundary of 25 Park Rd | 2023 | N/A | \$225,726 | \$42,840 | \$46,310 | \$314,876 | N/A | \$314,876 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AT - } \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | Pathway Upgrade | Contribution towards upgrades to Christensen St to facilitate safer cycling and walking | When <br> the upgrade is delivered | - | \$142,367 | \$27,019 | \$29,208 | \$198,594 | N/A | \$198,594 |
| AT - | 2 | Pathway Upgrade | Contribution towards upgrades on Lake Street to facilitate safer cycling and walking | When <br> the upgrade is delivered | - | \$189,688 | \$\$36,000 | \$38,916 | \$264,605 | N/A | \$264,605 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AT - } \\ & 04 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | Pathway Upgrade | Contribution towards upgrades on Honour Avenue to facilitate safer cycling and walking | When <br> the upgrade is delivered | - | \$232,600 | \$44,145 | \$47,720 | \$324,465 | N/A | \$324,465 |

Notes:
1 - The total works cost is the sum of the following: construction cost, construction on costs and construction contingency.
2 - The estimated cost is the sum of the following: land cost and total works cost. This is expressed in FY 2022/23 dollars.

## Appendix A - Yeronga PDA boundary map
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# Appendix B - Yeronga PDA External Water Network Augmentation Works - Briefing Note 

32 Cordelia Street
PO Box 3848
South Brisbane QLD 4101 Australia
T +61 730267100
F +61 730267300
www.jacobs.com

| Subject | Yeronga Development Water <br> Demand Analysis | Project Name | Yeronga Heart |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Attention |  | Project No. | IH141300 |
| From | Gabriella Lucchi |  |  |
| Date | 9 August 2019 |  |  |
| Copies to | Steve Gager |  |  |

Based on the request from Economic Development Queensland (EDQ), the water demands of the proposed development have been analysed to evaluate the network's ability to meet demands. The water demands have been calculated in accordance with SEQ Water Supply and Sewerage Design and Construction Code (2013) and the Queensland Government's Planning Guidelines for Water Supply and Sewerage (2010).

## Proposed Development Demands

To determine the number of Equivalent Persons (EP) of the new development, Appendix A4 of the SEQ Water Supply and Sewerage Design and Construction Code and Table A of the Planning Guidelines for Water Supply and Sewerage were utilised. Where the Queensland Government's Planning Guidelines provided a more specific estimate of EP for a particular Lot, this was used over the SEQ Code guidelines which in some instances is more general. The estimated EP for each Lot and the total development is provided below in Table 1 and is 695 EPs.

Table 1: Determination of EP

| Land Use / Development Parcel | Adopted Equivalent Persons (EP) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Lot 1.1 - Road Reserve | 0 |
| Lot 2.01 - Yeronga Community Centre | 36 |
| Lot 4.01 - Community Retail - Lauden |  |
| Retail Area | 18 |
| Apartments | 38 |
| Lot 4.02 - Transforming Townhouses - Lauden | 16 |
| Lot 4.03 - Transforming Townhouses - Lauden | 16 |
| Lot 4.04 - Transforming Townhouses - Lauden | 16 |
| Lot 4.05 - Transforming Townhouses - Lauden | 16 |
| Lot 4.06 - Transforming Townhouses - Lauden | 16 |
| Lot 4.07 - Courtyard Townhouses - Lauden | 20 |
| Lot 5.01 - Smarter Smaller - Brisbane Housing Company | 145 |

Yeronga Development Water Demand Analysis

| Land Use / Development Parcel | Adopted Equivalent Persons (EP) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Lot 6.01 - Aging in Place - Retire Australia | 104 |
| Lot 6.02 - Aging in Place - Retire Australia | 57 |
| Lot 6.03 - Aging in Place - Retire Australia | 134 |
| Lot 7.01 - Community Health and Wellbeing - Heathley |  |
| Child Care Centre | 24 |
| Food and Beverage | 2 |
| Child Health Queensland | 37 |
| TOTAL EP | $\mathbf{6 9 5}$ |

## Water Supply Requirements

The water supply flow parameters for the network were calculated in accordance with Table 4.1 of the SEQ Water Supply and Sewerage Design and Construction Code. With an EP of 695, the flow parameters calculated for the development are provided below in Table 2.

Table 2: Flow Parameters

| Flow Parameter | Flow (L/d) | Flow (L/s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Day Demand (AD) $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{AD} & =\left({ }^{\text {demand category }} \mathrm{AD} \times \mathrm{EP}\right)+(\text { NRW } \times \mathrm{EP}) \\ & =(230 \text { LEEP/d } \times \mathrm{EP})+(30 \text { L/EP/d } \times E P) \end{aligned}$ | 180,679 | 2.09 |
| Peak Day Demand (PD) <br> $\mathrm{PD}=\left({ }^{\text {demand category }} \mathrm{PD} / \mathrm{AD} \times \mathrm{AD}\right)+(\mathrm{NRW} \times \mathrm{EP})$ <br> (Assuming high density res $P D / A D$ factor $=2$ ) | 340,511 | 3.94 |
| Peak Hour Demand (PH) <br> $\mathrm{PH}=\left({ }^{\text {demand category }} \mathrm{PH} / \mathrm{AD} \times \mathrm{AD}\right)+(\mathrm{NRW} \times \mathrm{EP})$ <br> (Assuming high density res PH/AD factor $=3.5$ ) | 580,258 | 6.72 |

Appendix A below provides full water demand calculations with the determination of EP.

## Appendix A - Determination of EP and Water Demand Analysis

## Yeronga heart yield summ ary

DEMAND REQUIREMENTS - Determination of EP

|  |  |  |  |  | SEQ Water \& Sewerage Planning Guidelines | QLD Planning Guidelines for Water Supply and Sewerage | Adopted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Development Parcels | Site Area (m2) | GBA | Apartment | Net dev area (Ha) | EP | EP |  |
| Lot 1.01 - Road Reserve | 2951 | n/a | n/a |  |  |  |  |
| Lot 2.01 Yeronga Community Centre | 1155 | 1279 | n/a | 0.1155 | 2 | 36 | 36 |
| Lot 4.01 - Community Retail - Laudan | 1523 | 4612 | 21 | 0.1523 | 7 |  |  |
| Retail Area (Net Letable Area) | 741 |  |  |  |  | 18 | 18 |
| Apartments |  |  | 21 |  | 38 |  | 38 |
| Lot 4.02 - Transforming Townhouses - Laudan (Townhouses) | 803 | 1675 | 9 |  | 16 |  | 16 |
| Lot 4.03 - Transforming Townhouses - Laudan (Townhouses) | 802 | 1675 | 9 |  | 16 |  | 16 |
| Lot 4.04 - Transforming Townhouses - Laudan (Townhouses) | 801 | 1675 | 9 |  | 16 |  | 16 |
| Lot 4.05 - Transforming Townhouses - Laudan (Townhouses) | 801 | 1675 | 9 |  | 16 |  | 16 |
| Lot 4.06 - Transforming Townhouses - Laudan (Townhouses) | 800 | 1675 | 9 |  | 16 |  | 16 |
| Lot 4.07 - Courtyard Townhouses - Laudan (Townhouses) | 1510 | 2257 | 11 |  | 20 |  | 20 |
| Proposed Parkland (Pt of Lot 4.07) | 3634 | n/a | n/a |  |  |  |  |
| Lot 5.01 - Smarter Smaller - Brisbane Housing Company (Apartments) | 2693 | 7571 | 81 |  | 145 |  | 145 |
| Lot 6.01 - Aging in Place - Retire Australia (Apartments) | 5402 | 10457 | 58 |  | 104 |  | 104 |
| Lot 6.02 - Aging in Place - Retire Australia (Apartments) | 1402 | 6337 | 32 |  | 57 |  | 57 |
| Lot 6.03 - Aging in Place - Retire Australia (Apartments) | 3962 | 11322 | 75 |  | 134 |  | 134 |
| Lot 7.01 - Community Health and Wellbeing - Heathley | 2673 | 9601 | n/a | 0.2673 | 16 |  |  |
| Child Care Centre (Net Letable Area) (Assuming 100 staff\&students) | 1230 |  |  |  |  | 24 | 24 |
| Food and Beverage (Net Letable Area) | 25 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| Child Health Queensland | 1557 |  |  |  |  | 37 | 37 |
|  | 30912 | 61810 | 323 |  |  |  | 695 |

## WATER DEM AND ANALYSIS

## Average Day Demand (AD

## Average Day Demand (AD)



| AD (excluding NRW) | $=230 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | $159,832 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| Non-Revenue Water (NRW) | $=30 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{d}$ |
|  | $20,848 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{d}$ |
|  |  |
| Tot. Average Day Demand (AD) | $\mathbf{1 8 0 , 6 7 9} \mathbf{~ L / d}$ |

2.09 L/s

## Peak Day Demand (PD)

PD/AD factor
Peak Day Demand (PD)

2 (assuming high density res)
340,511 L/d
$3.94 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$

## Peak Hour Demand (PH)

Peak Hour Demand (PH)
$P H=\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { demand category } \\ P H / A D\end{array} \times A D L_{\text {LEP/day }} \times E P S\right)+\left(\right.$ NRW $\left._{\text {LEFPlay }} \times E P s\right)$

$$
P D=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { demanand catesgar } \\
P D / A D
\end{array} \times A D\right.
$$

# Appendix C - Urban Utilities Services Advice Notice - 70 Park Road, Yeronga 



Dear Applicant,

## Queensland Urban Utilities Services Advice Notice

QUU Application Number:
Applicant Name:
Street Address:
Real Property Description:


70 Park Road, Yeronga
Lot 3 on SP300888

Proposed service connection/alteration/disconnection type:

| Drinking water | $\square$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Non-drinking water | $\square$ |
| Wastewater | $\square$ |

Queensland Urban Utilities provides this Services Advice Notice in response to the request received on $22^{\text {nd }}$ January 2019. In accordance with section 99BRAC(3) of the South East Queensland Water (Distribution and Retail Restructuring) Act 2009, this Services Advice Notice provides advice about the proposed connection having regard to the connections policy in the Queensland Urban Utilities Water Netserv Plan, the charges and conditions that may apply to the connection and other relevant matters about the connection. All terms used in this Services Advice Notice are defined by reference to the Queensland Urban Utilities Water Netserv Plan.

This Services Advice Notice does not constitute an application for connection, is not an approval to connect to the Queensland Urban Utilities network(s) and does not bind any future Queensland Urban Utilities' decision if the applicant applies for a connection.

Queensland Urban Utilities understands that the proposed development will consist of 232 residential units, $330 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of retail space and $1483 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of community space in a 6 storey building complex. As per the request for a Service Advice Notice submitted, a material change of use will be applied for as part of this development.

The applicant has requested advice on any upgrades required to existing infrastructure and sizing.

Based on your proposal and discussion with Queensland Urban Utilities officers, the following advice is provided:

## Queensland Urban Utilities Services Advice

## Infrastructure and Design

A map of existing water and wastewater infrastructure at the vicinity of subject property is provided in Figure 1 below.


Figure 1: Existing infrastructure within the vicinity of the subject site

## Water

The subject site is currently serviced by multiple connections from the existing 150 mm water main in Villa Street and the existing 125mm PE water main in Park Road. Both these water mains are dead end mains and are supplied from one direction only.
has proposed to provide a loop main in a future Council Road inside the property, connecting these 2 water mains.

Queensland Urban Utilities does not object to the proposed water supply arrangement.

## Wastewater

The subject site is currently serviced by a property connection on existing 150 mm sewer main in Park Road. Flows from the site are transferred to a larger diameter main at a short distance.

The existing combined drain through the subject site must be disestablished. Upstream properties

Developments must accommodate upstream properties by enabling access to the reticulated sewer network in the process of developing a site. It is a design requirement in the SEQ WS\&S D\&C Code that developments enable future extension of the sewerage to ensure upstream properties can also develop.

The wastewater infrastructure shall terminate in a location and in an arrangement that allows future connection to the network to be made without requiring the consent of private landowners.

All property connections within the subject site servicing upstream properties must be upsized to a minimum DN160 PE100 size.

Where upstream properties do not have an existing property connection, and where the development is likely to isolate upstream properties from the sewer, a property connection through the subject site and servicing the upstream lot must be provided.

Note that the water \& wastewater infrastructure required for the proposed development is to be provided in accordance with QUU requirements, including but not limited to the SEQ Water Supply and Sewerage Design and Construction Code (SEQ WS\&S D\&C Code, 2013), or current equivalent.

## Network Demand and Capacity

## Water

An assessment of the water supply available at the site, including computational hydraulic modelling of the network under peak demand and fire flow conditions, has been completed.

The analysis assumes a Peak Hour Demand of $4.3 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ (corresponding to the details of the proposed development).

| Estimated RL <br> Connection (m AHD) | Hydraulic Grade Line (m AHD) |  |  | Pressure (kPa) ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ | $5 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ | $10 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ | $0 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ | $5 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ | $10 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| 20.1 | 80.1 | 77.1 | 72.1 | 589 | 559 | 510 |
| 17.0 | 81.0 | 78.0 |  | 628 | 598 |  |

Notes: ${ }^{1}$ Modelled pressure in supply main, relative to the estimated connection RL (m AHD).
${ }^{2}$ Designers are required to adjust the Hydraulic Grade Line/Pressure model results for site/building RL differences and calculate the extra hydraulic losses from point of connection with the main.
${ }^{3}$ Field performance of cast iron spun (or cement) lined mains can be variable. Field testing to ascertain actual pressure drops may be advisable.
${ }^{4}$ Indicative flow and pressure results assume a background demand of $2 / 3$ Peak Hour has been applied throughout the network.

## Disclaimer

Information provided by Queensland Urban Utilities is based on hydraulic modelling ("Hydraulic Modelling Information"). Model results are for the anticipated performance. The Hydraulic Modelling Information has not been verified by field measurements and may be inaccurate due to field conditions.

As such, users relying on Hydraulic Modelling Information do so at their own risk and should make their own independent investigations to verify model outputs.

The Hydraulic Modelling Information does not state nor imply a guaranteed level of service. Designers are referred to Queensland Urban Utilities' Customer Charter and Customer Service Standards for facility hydraulic service considerations. Queensland Urban Utilities does
not provide a service of minimum flows and pressures to private fire-fighting systems.
Due to changing operational circumstances, pressure and flows delivered to a service may vary. Designers are advised to make adequate provisions within the fire system installation for the pressure, flow and reliability requirements, for the life of the system.

The existing water infrastructure at the vicinity of the subject site has insufficient capacity to service the proposed development in accordance with the SEQ WS\&S D\&C Code.

To service the proposed development in accordance with the SEQ WS\&S D\&C Code, the developer is required to upgrade the existing water main in Dublin Street from Point A to Point B with a $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ mm pipe, as indicated in Figure 2.


Figure 2: Proposed Water Main Upgrade in Dublin Street

## Wastewater

A hydraulic assessment of the sewerage network servicing the site under peak wet weather flow conditions has been completed.

The analysis assumes a Peak Wet Weather Flow from the development of $5.41 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ (corresponding to the details of the proposed development).

According to the information supplied by $\qquad$ this development will consist of approximately 445 EP. The analysis contained in this Service Advice Notice is not an endorsement of the full extent of the proposed development beyond land use totalling 445 EP.

The assessment indicates that the localised gravity mains at the vicinity of the subject site have sufficient capacity to service the proposed development.

If reuse of existing wastewater property connection is intended as part of the servicing arrangement for this development, Queensland Urban Utilities need to be satisfied that the connection is:

- Suitably located
- Has the hydraulic capacity to meet the requirements of the proposed development
- Is in sound condition as assessed by CCTV, material and age

At this stage, the grade and condition of the existing sewer property connection is unknown. To reuse the existing property connection, the applicant will need to provide the following information prior to receiving a Connection Certificate :

- CCTV inspection of the existing property connection to confirm the pipe condition
- confirmation that the hydraulic capacity of the existing property connection meets the requirements of the proposed development

Reuse of an existing property connection must be endorsed and signed off by a Registered Professional Engineer of Queensland (RPEQ). Where investigations determine that a property connection cannot be reused, a new connection will be required. Queensland Urban Utilities recommends that investigations be undertaken as soon as practical in order to avoid delays at the end of the project.

## Infrastructure Charges (as at 1 July 2018)

Infrastructure Charges will be levied in accordance with the Queensland Urban Utilities' Water Netserv Plan (Part A) Charges Schedule applicable at the time the water approval application is lodged.

Further information is available at the following website:
https://www.urbanutilities.com.au/development-services/help-and-advice/water-netserv-plan

## Trade Waste

The proposed development (the subject of this Services Advice Notice) has been identified as a potential generator of Trade Waste. Trade Waste is water-borne waste from business, trade or manufacturing premises excluding domestic sewerage, stormwater, and prohibited substances. It is an offence under section 193(1) of the Water Supply (Safety and Reliability) Act 2008 to discharge trade waste into Queensland Urban Utilities' infrastructure without a Trade Waste Approval.

To obtain a Trade Waste Approval, the proponent for the proposed development must submit an application to Queensland Urban Utilities, who will assess and decide the application. Any Trade Waste Approval granted by Queensland Urban Utilities will be subject to Trade Waste Approval conditions and the Queensland Urban Utilities Trade Waste Environmental Management Plan (TWEMP).

The TWEMP and an online application form are available on the Queensland Urban Utilities website:

## www.urbanutilities.com.au/business/business-services/trade-waste

For advice on the suitability of waste for discharge to sewer, and likely Trade Waste Approval
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Proposed trade waste drainage solutions will be assessed for compliance with plumbing and drainage regulations and the requirements of the TWEMP at the time of plumbing compliance assessment. Proposed trade waste solutions that do not meet the requirements in the TWEMP and plumbing and drainage regulations may result in delays to the plumbing compliance process and the issue of a Trade Waste Approval.

Further information is available at the following website:
https://www.urbanutilities.com.au/business/business-services/trade-waste

## Connection Application Process

A formal assessment as to whether your application qualifies as a Standard Connection, Minor Works Approval, or Major Works Approval will be resolved on application for a Water Approval. For the purposes of preliminary advice, and based on the information provided, it is expected that the following applications will be required to assess the ability to connect to Queensland Urban Utilities networks:

## 1. Network and/or Property Service Connection - Minor Works

The Water Approval will require connection works to be undertaken. These works are expected to be available under the Endorsed Consultant Certification Scheme for Minor Works. You will be able to choose a QUU Endorsed Consultant and a contractor to appoint to design and construct the works, including live works in most cases (Permit to Work required) and then maintain the works for a specified period (usually 12 months) in accordance with the conditions stated in your Water Approval (including compliance with the SEQ WS\&S D\&C Code). Further information regarding the Endorsed Consultant Certification Scheme for Minor Works is available at:
http://www.urbanutilities.com.au/development-services/our-services/water-and-sewerage-connections/endorsed-consultant-certification-scheme

## 1. Network and/or Property Service Connection - Major Works

The Water Approval will require connection works to be undertaken. You will be able to choose which consultants and contractors to appoint to design and construct the works, including live works (in most cases) and then maintain the works for a specified period (usually 12 months) in accordance with the conditions stated in your Water Approval.

Please note that the information provided within this section is subject to the specific aspects of the development and water application.

## Fees and Charges

Queensland Urban Utilities fees and charges are stated in the Queensland Urban Utilities' Water Netserv Plan (Part A) Charges Schedule. The fees and charges that are likely to be associated with these applications are outlined below:

## 1. Application Phase

Base Application Fee - Property Services Connection \$609 (per connection / disconnection / alteration)
Base Application Fee - Network (1-10 lots) \$609 (per application for each service)
Fast-track application process (up to 10 lots only) $\$ 2,436$ (per application for each service)

[^1]
## Major Works

## Design Approval Fee (reticulation)

Property Service Connection Fee \$2,128 (per connection / disconnection / alteration)

## Re-checking Amended Plans Fee

Re-checking Amended Plans Fee $\$ 602$ per plan

## Works Inspection Fee (reticulation)

Works Inspection Fee Type A \$365 (per inspection)
Works Re-inspection Fee Type A \$547 (per inspection)

## Notes:

1. The customer may incur additional fees and charges during the approval and works phase, including but not limited to, fees levied by the RPEQ and construction contractor, fees associated with the provision of maintenance / uncompleted works bond(s), re-checking amended plans fees, re-inspection of works fees and infrastructure agreement preparation fees;
2. The above estimates are indicative only and are subject to review of the detailed application upon lodgement; and
3. Please refer to the QUU Water Netserv Plan - for further details / clarifications on Fees and Charges.

## Time Frames for Assessment

## Connection Assessments (for applications other than Standard Connection)

To be completed within 20 business days of receipt of Properly Made Connection Application (including payment of the relevant assessment fee), or within a further 20 business days of receipt of requested information (unless extended by agreement).

## Design Phase

For Minor Works:
Typically, for an application which is classified as minor works, no assessment of the design phase is expected to be required from Queensland Urban Utilities.

However, Queensland Urban Utilities may audit a selection of certified designs based on its assessment of the risk of non-compliance

For Major Works:
Typically for an application classified as major works, the assessment of the design phase is to be completed within 20 business days of receipt of all designs.

This Services Advice Notice is current for a period of two (2) years from the date of issue. Should you wish to proceed with applying for a service connection please lodge your application via Queensland Urban Utilities Development Services Online Lodgement Portal at http://www.urbanutilities.com.au/development-services. Please include your Services Advice Notice reference number in your application.

Queensland Urban Utilities may, at its discretion, provide a reduced fee for a service connection application based on this Services Advice Notice if your application is received within 12 months of the date of issue and is substantially in accordance with the proposal upon which this advice was issued.
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If you have any questions in relation to this Service Advice Notice, please do not hesitate to contact your account manager, Vindy Hapuarachchi on 0738556251 or vindy.hapuarachchi@urbanutilities.com.au.

Alternatively, please email DCMTenquiries@urbanutilities.com.au.

Yours sincerely

Sajid Imam Syed
Development Assessment Team Leader
Queensland Urban Utilities
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## Important note about your report

The sole purpose of this technical report and the associated services performed by Jacobs is to document the assessment of proponents as Engineering Advisor of the supply service provisions and civil infrastructure which would be required and undertaken for the development, in accordance with the scope of services set out in the contract between Jacobs and the Client.

In preparing this report, Jacobs has relied upon, and presumed accurate, any information provided by the Client, data available from Brisbane City Council (BCC) eMap, BCC City Plan 2014, planning documentation (such as QUDM, SEQ Code), discussion meetings and/or from other sources, such as development yields, flood modelling information, drainage capacity reports, background traffic counts and traffic generation rates etc. Except as otherwise stated in the report, Jacobs has not attempted to verify the accuracy or completeness of any such information. If the information is subsequently determined to be false, inaccurate or incomplete then it is possible that our conclusions and recommendations as expressed in this report may change.

Jacobs derived the data in this report from information sourced from the Client (if any) and/or available in the public domain at the time or times outlined in this report. The passage of time, manifestation of latent conditions or impacts of future events may require further examination of the project and subsequent data analysis, and reevaluation of the data, findings, observations and conclusions expressed in this report. Jacobs has prepared this report in accordance with the usual care and thoroughness of the consulting profession, for the sole purpose described above and by reference to applicable standards, guidelines, procedures and practices at the date of issue of this report. For the reasons outlined above, however, no other warranty or guarantee, whether expressed or implied, is made as to the data, observations and findings expressed in this report, to the extent permitted by law.

This report should be read in full and no excerpts are to be taken as representative of the findings. No responsibility is accepted by Jacobs for use of any part of this report in any other context.

This report has been prepared on behalf of, and for the exclusive use of, Jacobs's Client, and is subject to, and issued in accordance with, the provisions of the contract between Jacobs and the Client. Jacobs accepts no liability or responsibility whatsoever for, or in respect of, any use of, or reliance upon, this report by any third party.

## 1. Introduction

This technical note is to accompany the Engineering Aspects Advisor Assessment and provides a high-level traffic and transport assessment of the Yeronga Heart proponent, outlining major differences with the assumed development of the site as in the Engineering Site Analysis Report ${ }^{1}$. The assessment is based on a nominal development opening year of 2020 and a future design year of 2030 (10 years beyond the opening year).

The proponent has provided a detailed masterplan covering all aspects of the requirements including built form, public realm, on grade infrastructure and landscape. A ' $U$ ' shaped internal road forms two t-intersections with Park Road. The southern intersection is intended to be an all movements exit for the site and a left in entry, whereas the northern intersection is intended to be a left-in/left-out arrangement with a northbound right-turn in.

The concept plan for the proponent is as shown in Figure 1.
Figure 1 - Yeronga Heart concept plan


The concept plan for the assumed development of the site as in the Engineering Site Analysis Report is as shown in Figure 2. The assumed development provided two access points, one each on Villa Street and Park Road.

[^2]Figure 2 - Assumed development concept plan


Due to the increase in yield for the site beyond that envisaged during the feasibility stage and the proposed single exit point, there are concerns regarding traffic performance and capacity. Traffic queues within the site may be an issue and traffic may find it difficult to turn right out of the site onto Park Road without signalisation. External traffic impacts may require further mitigation measures due to interaction with the existing Villa Street intersection ( 60 m nominal separation).

To understand these impacts, a traffic and transport assessment of the traffic generation and distribution has been conducted. Site access treatment, car parking supply, service vehicle access and circulation, public transport, active transport and car sharing opportunities will not be addressed in this technical note and is assumed to be provided by the proponent.

## 2. Traffic assessment

### 2.1 Traffic generation and distribution

The traffic generation from the development associated with the range of proposed uses (residential, retail, allied health and community uses) as set out by the proponent in their 150419 Clarifications document is presented in Table 1.

Table 1 - Yeronga Heart trip generation and directional split

| Type of Use | Number of Units | Peak Hour Trip Generation Rate |  | Peak Hour Trip Generation (vph) |  | Directional Split (In/Out for AM and Out/In for PM) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM | PM | AM | PM |  |
| Multiple dwelling (Lot A) | 8 dwellings | 0.5 per dwelling | 0.5 per dwelling | 4 | 4 | 25/75 |
| Multiple dwelling (Lot B-F) | 45 dwellings | 0.5 per dwelling | 0.5 per dwelling | 23 | 23 | 25/75 |
| Multiple dwelling (Lot G) | 21 dwellings | 0.5 per dwelling | 0.5 per dwelling | 11 | 11 | 25/75 |
| Retail (Lot G) | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { staff } \\ \text { spaces } \end{gathered}$ | 0.5 per staff space | 0.5 per staff space | 2 | 2 | 60/40 |
| Retirement facility | $\begin{gathered} 157 \\ \text { ILUs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \mathrm{per} \\ & \text { room } \end{aligned}$ | 0.1 per room | 16 | 16 | 60/40 |
| Residential care facility | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ \text { beds } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \text { per } \\ & \text { bed } \end{aligned}$ | 0.1 per bed | 3 | 3 | 60/40 |
| Multiple dwelling (BHC) | 90 dwellings | 0.2 per dwelling | 0.2 per dwelling | 18 | 18 | 25/75 |
| Allied Health / Office | $\begin{aligned} & 4500 \\ & \text { sqm } \\ & \text { GFA } \end{aligned}$ | 1.6 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \mathrm{per} \\ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \text { GFA } \end{gathered}$ | 72 | 54 | 60/40 |
| Child care centre | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ \text { children } \end{gathered}$ | 1 per child | 0.95 per child | 70 | 67 | 60/40 |
| Community Use | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ \text { staff } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \text { per } \\ \text { staff } \\ \text { member } \end{gathered}$ | 0.5 per staff member | 8 | 8 | 60/40 |
|  |  |  | Total | 227 | 206 | AM (118 in / 110 out) PM (102 in / 105 out) |

AM and PM peak hours are assumed to be typical journey to/from work trip time periods, 7:30 am - 8:30am and 4:30pm - 5:30pm.

The peak hour trip generation rates provided appear to be consistent with recent surveys undertaken by RMS on similar developments in inner city locations in Sydney and average recommended rates from Trip Generation Guide (RMS, 2002). As this is a concept design and exact details on the land use operations and number of units have not been given, trip generation data should be refined as further details are resolved.

The proponent's trip generation is 227 vehicles per hour (vph) for the AM peak and 206 vph for PM peak compared to the assumed development concept plan's trip generation of 139 vph in each peak. This represents an increase of $88 \mathrm{vph}(63 \%)$ and 67 ( $48 \%$ ) in the AM and PM peaks respectively.

The proponent's in/out splits were 60/40 for the AM peak and $40 / 60$ for the PM peak, however, it is unclear how this value was estimated. Based on the proposed land uses, in / out splits have been estimated based on each land use type as presented in Table 1. When applied to the individual land uses the total in/out split was estimated to be close to $50 / 50$ in both peaks compared to the assumed development concept plan's total in/out split of $15 / 85$ for the AM peak and $85 / 15$ for the PM peak.

Table 2 summarises the differences in trip generation and distribution between the proponent's Yeronga Heart development and the assumed development concept.

Table 2 - Development Comparison

|  | Assumed Development | Yeronga Heart |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Trips Per Peak hour | 139 | 228 |
| In/Out Ratio AM Peak | $15 / 85$ | $52 / 48$ |
| In/Out Ratio PM Peak | $85 / 15$ | $49 / 51$ |
| AM Peak In/Out (vph) | $21 / 118$ | $118 / 110$ |
| PM Peak In/Out (vph) | $118 / 21$ | $102 / 105$ |
| Access use | Villa St - 70\% in/70\% out <br> Park Rd -30\% in/30\% out | Park Rd (north) - entry only <br> Park Rd (south) - entry/exit |
| Trip Distribution | Park Rd north 40\% <br> Park Rd south 20\% <br> Villa St east 40\% | Park Rd north 27\% <br> Park Rd south 43\% <br> Villa St east 30\% |
| Increase in traffic from <br> development | Up to 140 vph | Up to 230 vph |
| \% increase in traffic volume at <br> Park Road/Villa Street <br> intersection | $4-5 \%$ | $18-24 \%$ |

Table 3 shows the development trip distribution between the Park Road (north) - entry only and Park Road (south) - entry/exit in the AM and PM peak period. It is assumed that $30 \%$ of entry traffic from the Park Road north approach uses the southern entry point to the development and the remaining $70 \%$ uses the northern access.

Table 3 -Yeronga Heart trip distribution between Park Road (north) and Park Road (south) access points

|  | AM Peak Trips (vph) |  |  | PM Peak Trips (vph) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Park Road (north) entry only | 109 | 0 | 109 | 93 | 0 | 93 |
| Park Road (south) entry/exit | 9 | 110 | 119 | 9 | 105 | 114 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 7}$ |

### 2.2 Traffic impacts from development

For a development capable of having a significant adverse impact on the external transport system or the adjacent community, including land uses with high trip-end densities, Brisbane City Council (council) requires a transport impact assessment (TIA) report. The TIA needs to include assessment of the traffic operations for the site and the adjacent transport network (streets and intersections) within the sphere of impact of the development.

The sphere of impact of the development is typically determined based on a minimum nominal threshold increase (usually $5 \%$ ) in traffic volumes through intersections near the development.

Table 4 summarises the \% increase in traffic volumes due to the Yeronga Heart development at key intersections providing access to/from the development in the nominal opening year (2020).

The overall traffic increase at Park Road and Villa Street intersection is expected to be between 18-24\% of background traffic at opening year. There will also be a $10 \%$ traffic increase at Park Road and School Street intersection, 200m south of Park Road and Villa Street intersection. The overall intersection impacts will be less significant further away from the development, e.g. 2\% at Park Road/Fairfield Road intersection and 1\% at Villa Street/Ipswich Road intersection.

The greatest impact from Yeronga Heart development is likely to be along Park Road between Fairfield Road and School Road where two site access points are proposed. Although no site access is proposed on Villa Street from Yeronga Heart development, there will be a $15 \%-38 \%$ traffic increase along Villa Street between Ipswich Road and Park Road in the nominal opening year, however the absolute change in the one-way traffic volume is relatively modest (less than 35 vph ).

Table 4-Key intersection impacts - Opening year 2020

| Intersection \& key <br> approach movements | AM Peak Trips (vph) |  |  |  | PM Peak Trips (vph) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Background | Development | \% Impact | Background | Development | \% Impact |  |
| Park Road/Villa Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park Road north approach | 208 | 78 | $38 \%$ | 340 | 79 | $23 \%$ |  |
| Villa Street east approach | 181 | 35 | $19 \%$ | 111 | 31 | $28 \%$ |  |
| Park Road south approach | 512 | 54 | $11 \%$ | 202 | 41 | $20 \%$ |  |
| Intersection total | $\mathbf{9 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ |  |
| Park Road/School Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park Road north approach | 227 | 45 | $20 \%$ | 365 | 47 | $13 \%$ |  |
| Intersection total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ |  |
| Park Road/Fairfield Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park Road approach | 436 | 32 | $7 \%$ | 101 | 26 | $26 \%$ |  |
| Intersection total | $\mathbf{3 5 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |  |


| Intersection \& key <br> approach movements | AM Peak Trips (vph) |  |  | PM Peak Trips (vph) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Background | Development | \% Impact | Background | Development | \% Impact |
| Villa Street/lpswich Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Villa Street west approach | 86 | 33 | $38 \%$ | 217 | 32 | $15 \%$ |
| Intersection total | 5112 | 68 | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |

For the purposes of this evaluation traffic assessment has been limited to the proposed site access treatments and capacity analysis of the Park Road (south) Access and Park Road and Villa Street intersection. It is understood that consideration of wider traffic impacts will be required in a later assessment.

### 2.3 Access treatment assessment

The types of right-turn and left-turn treatments available, as defined by the Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 4A: Unsignalised and Signalised Intersection (AGRD Part 4), are as follows:

- A basic turn treatment $(B A)$ where turning vehicles may share the lane with through traffic movements
- An auxiliary lane turn treatment (AU) where a separate lane is provided to enable the turn to be performed in an additional lane
- A channelised turn treatment $(\mathrm{CH})$ which provides a traffic island to enhance the safety of right-turning or left-turning vehicles.

Illustration of each type of turn is shown in Appendix F of Jacobs Engineering Site Analysis Report.
The two development access points were assessed to determine the appropriate left and right-turn treatment types in accordance with the above listed treatment types.

Warrants for turn treatments on Park Road north and south access points were assessed using Department of Transport and Main Roads (DTMR) Supplement to AGRD Part 4 (Figure 3). The design speed along the subject section of both streets is less than $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Figure 4 was used to calculate the value of the major road traffic volume parameter (QM).

Figure 3 - Warrants for turn treatments on the major road at unsignalised intersections


Figure 4-Calculation of the major road traffic volume parameter $Q_{M}$


Background traffic volumes for Park Road in 2020 and 2030 were sourced from Yeronga TAFE Traffic Advice (Point8, 2017). This data was used to calculate the opening year and design year traffic volumes (2020 and 2030) at each access point. Table 5 and

Table 6 illustrate the turn warrants assessment parameters and outcomes for opening year 2020 and design year 2030. As the AM peak trip generation was greater than the PM peak, the following table is based on AM peak volumes.

Table 5 - Turn warrants assessment parameters and outcomes - opening year 2020

|  | $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{T 1}}$ <br> (veh/h) | $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{R}}$ <br> (veh/h) | $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{T} 2}$ <br> (veh/h) | $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{L}}$ <br> (veh/h) | $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{m}}$ Left <br> Turn <br> (veh/h) | Left Turn <br> Type | $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{m}}$ Right <br> Turn <br> (veh/h) | Right <br> Turn <br> Type |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Park Road (north) <br> Access | 397 | 89 | 208 | 20 | $\mathbf{2 0 8}$ | BAL | $\mathbf{6 2 5}$ | CHR |
| Park Road (south) <br> Access | 397 | 0 | 208 | 9 | $\mathbf{2 0 8}$ | BAL | $\mathbf{6 1 4}$ | N/A |

Table 6 - Turn warrants assessment parameters and outcomes - design year 2030

|  | $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{T 1}}$ <br> (veh/h) | $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{R}}$ <br> (veh/h) | $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{T} 2}$ <br> (veh/h) | $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{L}}$ <br> (veh/h) | $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{m}}$ Left <br> Turn <br> (veh/h) | Left Turn <br> Type | $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{m}}$ Right <br> Turn <br> (veh/h) | Right <br> Turn <br> Type |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Park Road (north) <br> Access | 517 | 89 | 271 | 20 | $\mathbf{2 7 1}$ | BAL | $\mathbf{8 0 8}$ | CHR |
| Park Road (south) <br> Access | 517 | 0 | 271 | 9 | $\mathbf{2 7 1}$ | BAL | $\mathbf{7 9 7}$ | N/A |

The above turn warrants assessment suggests a basic left turn (BAL) and a channelised right turn (CHR) arrangement would be required at Park Road (north) Access and a basic left turn (BAL) arrangement for Park Road (south) Access.

Yeronga Heart development has proposed an indented right turn lane at Park Road (north) Access. Based on the assumed development trip generation, the current concept design right turn lane length (approximately 87 m ) is sufficient to accommodate the right turning demands.

For Park Road (south) Access, right turn site entry movement has been restricted to avoid queue impacts to Park Road and Villa Street intersection however a right turn exit movement is proposed from the site to Park Road via a median break. A capacity assessment has been undertaken and detailed in Section 2.4 to confirm the proposed arrangement would work without any major impacts to the surrounding network including the internal road.

The location of Park Road (south) Access is approximately 55m from Park Road and Villa Street Intersection (centre to centre) which meets the council's minimum requirement of an access driveway from a major road intersection ( 30 m from the property boundary of the intersecting road). The proposed separation of Park Road (north) Access and Park Road (south) Access is approximately 100m (centre to centre) which also exceeds the council's minimum requirements ( 15 m along the kerb).

### 2.4 SIDRA analysis

SIDRA analysis was undertaken for the Park Road (south) Access and Park Road and Villa Street Intersection using the calculated trip generation data and background traffic volumes for Park Road in 2020 and 2030 which were sourced from Yeronga TAFE Traffic Advice (Point8, 2017). The analysis assumes $30 \%$ of entry traffic from the Park Road north approach uses the southern entry point to the development as detailed in Section 2.1 of this report.

The analysis was to confirm the network in the proximity of the development would operate within the acceptable level of operation in the nominal opening year and design year (10 years beyond the opening year).

### 2.4.1 Park Road (south) Access

SIDRA results of Park Road South Access for 2020 and 2030 are shown in Table 7 and Table 8. Based on the proposed access arrangement, there will be minimum impacts for all approaches in both 2020 and 2030.
Queues within the site access would be no more than one vehicle length ( 5.5 m ) in all scenarios analysed.

Table 7: Park Road (south) Access - 2020 AM and PM peak period

| Movement | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Degree of <br> Saturation | Average <br> Delay (sec) | 95\% Back of <br> Queue (m) | Degree of <br> Saturation | Average <br> Delay (sec) | 95\% Back of <br> Queue (m) |
| Park Road south approach | 0.25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.12 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| South Access | 0.11 | 7.1 | 2.8 | 0.10 | 7.1 | 2.7 |
| Park Road north approach | 0.11 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.18 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Intersection total | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ |

Table 8: Park Road South Access - 2030 AM and PM peak period

| Movement | AM Peak |  |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Degree of <br> Saturation | Average <br> Delay (sec) | 95\% Back of <br> Queue (m) | Degree of <br> Saturation | Average <br> Delay (sec) | 95\% Back of <br> Queue (m) |  |
| Park Road south approach | 0.31 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.15 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| South Access | 0.13 | 7.8 | 3.2 | 0.12 | 7.8 | 3.0 |  |
| Park Road north approach | 0.14 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.23 | 0.1 | 0.0 |  |
| Intersection total | $\mathbf{0 . 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ |  |

### 2.4.2 Park Road and Villa Street Intersection

SIDRA results of Park Road and Villa Street Intersection for 2020 and 2030 are shown in Table 9 and Table 10.

Table 9: Park Road and Villa Street Intersection - 2020 AM and PM peak period

| Movement | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Degree of Saturation | Average Delay (sec) | $95 \%$ Back of Queue (m) | Degree of Saturation | Average Delay (sec) | 95\% Back of Queue (m) |
| Without development |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park Road south approach | 0.31 | 2.5 | 9.8 | 0.13 | 3.3 | 4.2 |
| East approach (Villa Street) | 0.25 | 10.8 | 7.0 | 0.15 | 10.5 | 4.0 |
| Park Road north approach | 0.12 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.19 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| West approach (Dublin Street) | 0.01 | 11.5 | 0.3 | 0.02 | 9.5 | 0.4 |
| Intersection total | 0.31 | 4.0 | 9.8 | 0.19 | 3.5 | 4.2 |
| With development |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park Road south approach | 0.35 | 2.6 | 11.3 | 0.16 | 3.2 | 5.0 |
| East approach (Villa Street) | 0.41 | 14.5 | 14.6 | 0.25 | 12.1 | 6.5 |
| Park Road north approach | 0.16 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.23 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| West approach (Dublin Street) | 0.02 | 12.5 | 0.4 | 0.02 | 10.0 | 0.5 |
| Intersection total | 0.41 | 4.9 | 14.6 | 0.25 | 3.9 | 6.5 |

Table 10: Park Road and Villa Street Intersection - 2030 AM and PM peak period

| Movement | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Degree of Saturation | Average Delay (sec) | 95\% Back of Queue (m) | Degree of Saturation | Average Delay (sec) | 95\% Back of Queue (m) |
| Without development |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park Road south approach | 0.41 | 2.9 | 16.4 | 0.18 | 3.9 | 6.4 |
| East approach (Villa Street) | 0.43 | 14.6 | 16.3 | 0.24 | 12.0 | 6.4 |
| Park Road north approach | 0.15 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.24 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| West approach (Dublin Street) | 0.03 | 14.1 | 0.6 | 0.03 | 10.4 | 0.6 |
| Intersection total | 0.43 | 5.1 | 16.4 | 0.24 | 3.9 | 6.4 |
| With development |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park Road south approach | 0.46 | 3.4 | 22.5 | 0.22 | 3.9 | 7.6 |
| East approach (Villa Street) | 0.69 | 24.9 | 36.0 | 0.37 | 15.2 | 11.8 |
| Park Road north approach | 0.19 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.29 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| West approach (Dublin Street) | 0.03 | 15.7 | 0.7 | 0.03 | 11.2 | 0.7 |
| Intersection total | 0.69 | 7.4 | 36.0 | 0.37 | 4.6 | 11.8 |

The SIDRA analysis indicates the development will have a marginal impact on the intersection operation in the opening year 2020 in both AM and PM peak periods, with average delays increasing by less than 1 second in both peaks. In 2030, the intersection will experience increased average delays but still less than 3 seconds. Queues on the Villa Street approach will increase from 16.4 metres to 36.0 metres in the AM Peak as the result of development traffic. However, the overall intersection operation measured in degree of saturation (0.69) remains below the maximum practical acceptance level for an unsignalised intersection of 0.8 .

The extent of development's traffic operational impacts and mitigation measures should be confirmed with the council and documented in Yeronga Heart's TIA along with DA submission should the proponent's tender be successful.

### 2.5 Internal street network

Similar to the assumed development assessment, the cross-sections for internal streets and accesses have sufficient space to accommodate 2-way traffic with on-street parallel parking either on one side or both sides of the road. There are sufficient footpath widths for pedestrians and/or bicycle users. It should be noted that these streets are within a residential development with potential high pedestrian activities, hence the speed limits are recommended to be no more than $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ for the local streets and possibly no more than $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ for local accesses connecting between individual units.

## 3. Summary of findings

This technical note provides a high-level traffic and transport assessment of the Yeronga Heart proponent, outlining major differences with the assumed development of the site from the Engineering Site Analysis Report.

The key findings drawn from the assessment are:

- The proponent's trip generation is 227 vehicle per hour (vph) for the AM peak and 206 vph for PM peak compared to the assumed development concept plan's trip generation of 139 vph in each peak. This represents an increase of $88 \mathrm{vph}(63 \%)$ and $67(48 \%)$ in the AM and PM peaks respectively.
- The overall traffic increase at Park Road and Villa Street Intersection is expected to be between $18-24 \%$ of background traffic at opening year.
- Location and configuration of the two Park Street access points are in accordance with Council's requirements.
- Both Park Street northern and southern accesses appear to have adequate capacity to accommodate traffic in nominal opening year 2020 and design year 2030.
- Yeronga Heart's development is unlikely to have major impacts on Park Road and Villa Street Intersection which is located in close vicinity of the development. The intersection should operate within the accepted level of capacity with the development traffic.
- The successful proponent will however be required to prepare a Transport Impact Assessment (TIA) to assess the wider impacts to the surrounding traffic network. The successful proponent would need to confirm the geographic extent of this impact assessment with Council prior to undertaking the TIA.
- Site access treatment, car parking supply, service vehicle access and circulation, public transport, active transport and car sharing opportunities have not been addressed in this technical note. The successful proponent will be required to address these transport related aspects in the TIA.
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## SUMMARY

The Yeronga Priority Development Area (PDA) facilitates the development of master-planned residential, commercial and community uses located on the north-eastern corner of the Park Road and Villa Street intersection in Yeronga.

This Technical Note has been prepared for Economic Development Queensland (EDQ). It summarises the calculation and documentation of the monetary contribution by the development of the PDA towards transport infrastructure nominated in the PDA Draft Development Charges and Offset Plan (DCOP).

The calculated development proportion has been based on a user-pays principle. The estimated volume of active transport and private vehicles attributable to the Yeronga PDA as a proportion of all other traffic utilising each infrastructure item has been applied to the cost estimate of the infrastructure item to determine the Yeronga PDA's monetary contribution.

Table 1 below summarises the development proportion and the resultant monetary contribution towards each infrastructure item nominated. It is important to note that a key limitation of this Technical Note is that no engineering design has been undertaken to support the nominated transport infrastructure nor the cost estimates prepared. The cost estimates have been based on aerial imagery, familiarity with the site and environs, and engineering judgement relating to construction methods and potential site constraints and opportunities.

Table I: Development Contributions

| ITEM | YERONGA PDA PROPORTION | YERONGA PDA CONTRIBUTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Park Road/Villa Street intersection upgrade | 4.7\% | \$126,850.31 |
| 2. Park Road pathway widening | 2.5\% | \$8,005 |
| 3. Christensen Street active transport upgrade | 6.2\% | \$12,285 |
| 4. Lake Street active transport upgrade | 11.2\% | \$29,577 |
| 5. Honour Avenue active transport upgrade | 3.9\% | \$12,730 |

## INTRODUCTION

This Technical Note has been prepared for EDQ. It summarises the calculation and documentation of the monetary contribution by the development of the PDA towards transport infrastructure nominated in the PDA DCOP.

The DCOP nominates several trunk transport infrastructure items as part of delivering the PDA. The DCOP allows for a partial contribution towards trunk infrastructure equal to the PDA's estimated proportional usage of each infrastructure item.

This Technical Note will present the:

- transport infrastructure items
- total number of existing users
- estimated volume of PDA users
- estimated the target out-turn costs to deliver each infrastructure item
- PDA proportion and monetary contribution for each infrastructure item

It is important to note that a key limitation of this Technical Note is that no engineering design has been undertaken to support the nominated transport infrastructure nor the cost estimates prepared. The cost estimates have been based on aerial imagery, familiarity with the site and environs, and engineering judgement relating to construction methods and potential site constraints and opportunities.

The calculated development proportion has been based on a user-pays principle. The estimated volume of active transport and private vehicles attributable to the Yeronga PDA as a proportion of all other traffic utilising each infrastructure item has been applied to the cost estimate of the infrastructure item to determine the Yeronga PDA's monetary contribution.

The basis of the development proportion calculations is summarised below for the vehicular transport infrastructure item:

- Source traffic generation estimate for all available time periods documented in the Yeronga PDA Master Plan application
- Source existing traffic surveys provided in the Yeronga PDA Master Plan application
- Project background traffic surveys to the expected year of infrastructure delivery
- Quantify PDA development traffic utilising the vehicular transport infrastructure item based on trip distribution documented in the Yeronga PDA Master Plan application
- Calculation of Yeronga PDA proportion based on PDA traffic generation against projected background traffic

The basis of the development proportion calculations is summarised below for the active transport infrastructure items:

- Estimate the quantum of persons arriving or departing the Yeronga PDA daily
- Determine the proportion of active transport users generated by the Yeronga PDA
- Project background active transport surveys to the expected year of infrastructure delivery
- Quantify PDA active transport trips utilising each active transport infrastructure item based on trip distribution documented in the Yeronga PDA Master Plan application
- Calculation of Yeronga PDA proportion based on PDA traffic generation against projected background traffic


## NOMINATED TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE

The list below presents transport infrastructure items are nominated in the DCOP:

1. Park Road/Villa Street intersection upgrade

- Upgrade the existing give-way intersection to a signalised intersection
- Road widening on the south-east corner
- Road widening on the north-east corner
- Road widening on the south-west corner
- partial land resumption to properties 27, 29 and 31 Dublin Street and 51 Park Road
- closure of eastbound movements on Dublin Street onto Park Road
- Provision of a short right turn pocket from Park Road (south) to Villa Street in addition to a stand-up through lane
- Provision of a short right turn pocket from Villa Street to Park Road in addition to a stand-up left turn lane
- Provision of a short raised concreted median island on Park Road (north)
- Removal of the existing signalised pedestrian crossing on Park Road, just north of Villa Street

2. Park Road pathway widening:

- The western side between Dublin Street and the northern boundary of 25 Park Road
- Widening pathway to generally 3.0m, except where constrained by existing public realm infrastructure, significant street trees and/or public utilities
- Located wholly within the verge
- Include a taper at the northern end to join smoothly to the existing path under the rail overpass

3. Christensen Street active transport upgrade:

- The northern side between Lake Street and Park Road, widen the existing footpath to generally 1.8 m , except where constrained by existing public realm infrastructure, significant street trees and/or public utilities
- Provision of on-road advisory bicycle lanes

4. Lake Street active transport upgrade:

- Eastern side between School Road and Killarney Street
- widen existing footpath to generally 1.8 m , except where constrained by existing public realm infrastructure, significant street trees and/or public utilities

5. Honour Avenue active transport upgrade:

- Widen access point from Park Road onto Honour Avenue
- Upgrade traffic calming devices along Honour Avenue to provide improved cyclist movement
- Provide as required on-road cycle lanes
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## COST ESTIMATES

Cost estimates have been based on the above infrastructure descriptions. No engineering design has been undertaken to support the cost estimates. The following summarises the assumptions and exclusions of each of the estimates:

- Construction commences mid-2026
- Cost estimates represent at best a P30 estimate certainty
- All land resumption costs excluded
- No Dial Before You Dig information has been sourced
- Allowances only for public utility and service requirements
- $5 \%$ design fees
- $10 \%$ project management fees
- $5 \%$ principal auxiliary fees
- $50 \%$ contingency
- $8 \%$ site overheads
- $5.1 \%$ annual cost escalation

Table 2 below presents the cost estimates for each nominated transport infrastructure. Detailed breakdowns of each cost estimate are provided in the Supporting Information.

Table 2: Cost Estimates

| ITEM |  | COST ESTIMATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Park Road/Villa Street intersection upgrade | $\$ 2,705,312$ |
| 2. | Park Road pathway widening | $\$ 314,876$ |
| 3. | Christensen Street active transport upgrade | $\$ 198,594$ |
| 4. | Lake Street active transport upgrade | $\$ 264,605$ |
| 5. | Honour Avenue active transport upgrade | $\$ 324,465$ |

## BACKGROUND DATA

The development contribution calculations have been prepared based on traffic survey data procured as part of this study and information supplied as part of the development application for the Yeronga PDA. The information relied upon are:

- Parkside Yeronga Master Plan Traffic Impact Assessment Issue B dated 16 September 2021
- A vehicle survey at the intersection of Park Road/Villa Street dated 25 May 2021 undertaken as part of the Applicant's development application
- A pedestrian survey at the intersection of Park Road/Villa Street dated 21 July 2020 undertaken as part of the Applicant's development application
- Pedestrian and cyclist surveys were undertaken at the following locations on Tuesday, 7 June 2022:
- Park Road/Killarney Street
- Lake Street/Christensen Street
- Christensen Street/Park Road
- Australian Bureau of Statistics 2016 Census data for Yeronga (Area Code SSC33249)


## CONTRIBUTION TO VEHICULAR TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE

The PDA apportionment and, therefore, monetary contribution to the signalised intersection upgrade of the Park Road/Villa Street is the result of the vehicular trips generated by the PDA as a proportion to existing traffic volumes through the intersection.

Recent traffic surveys provided within the PDA Master Plan Traffic Impact Assessment have been utilised in this assessment. These traffic surveys were undertaken for the 7am-9am AM and 2pm-6pm PM periods. A $1.5 \%$ annual linear growth rate has been applied to the survey data to represent the estimated background traffic when the infrastructure is delivered. The projected growth rate has been adopted from the PDA Master Plan Traffic Impact Assessment. These future volumesd form the base volume to determine the proportion. The existing traffic volume during this period is presented in Table 3 below.

Table 3: PDA Vehicle Trip Proportion (based on May 2021 survey)

| TIME PERIOD | TOTAL SURVEY VOLUME | PDA GENERATED TRIPS | PROPORTION |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM peak | 2,887 | 130 | $4.5 \%$ |
| School peak | 2,242 | 95 | $4.2 \%$ |
| PM peak | 2,548 | 135 | $5.3 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 , 6 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 7 \%}$ |

## CONTRIBUTION TO ACTIVE TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE

The PDA proportion and, therefore, monetary contribution to each active transport infrastructure item is the resultant of the trips generated by the PDA as a proportion to existing user volumes at each location. Active transport user surveys were undertaken at three key locations identified in Background Data, and the pedestrian survey at the Park Road/Villa Street provided within the PDA Master Plan Traffic Impact Assessment have been utilised in this assessment. The total 24 -hour two-way volume along each link has been utilised as the base volume to determine the proportion. The active transport surveys have been summarised and included within the Supporting Data.
The estimation of PDA-generated active transport trips has been undertaken by:

- Determine the approved number of dwellings, retirement units and commercial and community use floor space
- Apply equivalencies of dwelling units and floor space to determine the number of residents and employees arriving and leaving the PDA. The equivalencies utilised are:
- 2.3 persons per private household for Yeronga, based on 2016 Census data (provided in the Supporting Data)
- 1.12 person per retirement unit, based on Queensland statistics in the 2021 Retirement Census, Property Council of Australia
- 12sq.m GFA maximum workplace density for commercial uses. 2019 State Government Guideline 3. Office accommodation workspace and fit-out standards).
- The maximum workplace density for commercial uses has also been applied to the community uses proposed in the PDA.
- Assume each person undertakes a single two-way trip to/from the PDA on a typical day that could potentially be undertaken by an active transport mode
- Apply a $4.2 \%$ cycling mode share to all two-way trips for a typical day to determine active transport demand. The cycling mode share applied has been determined based on 2016 Census data for Yeronga (provided in the Supporting Data)
- A $1.5 \%$ annual linear growth rate has been applied to the survey data to represent the estimated background traffic when the infrastructure is delivered. The projected growth rate has been adopted from the PDA Master Plan Traffic Impact Assessment.
- Active transport trip distribution applies the same as the vehicular trip distribution documented in the PDA's Master Plan Traffic Impact Assessment Issue B dated 16 September 2021, Table 7.4
The resultant trip totals and distribution on the active transport network are presented in Table 4 and Figure 1.
Table 4: Estimated Active Transport Trips

| LAND USE | YIELD | EQUIVALENT <br> PERSONS | ACTIVE <br> TRANSPORT TRIPS | TO/FROM <br>  <br> SOUTH EAST | TO/FROM <br> WEST | TO/FROM <br> NORTH |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | 6,000 sq.m GFA | 500 | 21 | 7 | 11 | 3 |
| Residential | 116 dwellings | 267 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| Retirement Living | 178 dwellings | 199 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Community Use | 730 GFA | 61 | 3 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| TOTAL |  | $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |  |
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Figure I: Proportion of Active Transport Trips


## Appendix A

## Supporting Information


+

| $\begin{gathered} \text { TIME } \\ (1 / 4 \mathrm{hr} \text { end) } \end{gathered}$ | Movement 1 |  |  |  | Movement 2 |  |  |  | Movement 3 |  |  |  | Movement 4 |  |  |  | Movement 5 |  |  |  | Movement 6 |  |  |  | Movement 7 |  |  |  | Movement 8 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{0}}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{5}{5} \\ \frac{5}{8} \\ \hline 0 . \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | \% | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{0}{8} \\ \stackrel{y y y y}{8} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | \% | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{5}{5} \\ \frac{5}{8} \\ \hline 0 . \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | \% | $\frac{\frac{5}{5}}{\frac{5}{8}}$ |  |  | \% | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{9}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{8} \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | \% | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{0}{5} \\ \stackrel{y y y y}{8} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | \% | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{6}{6} \\ \frac{5}{8} \\ \hline 8 \end{array}$ |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 1 |
| 8:15 Am | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 1 | 34 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 31 | 0 |
| 8:45 Am | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 0 |
| 9:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 1 | 57 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 0 |
| 항 | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | $\cdots$ | - | $\stackrel{\circ}{\%}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ |  | - | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ | $\sim$ | F | - | - | - | - | - | ${ }^{8}$ | - | $\mathscr{\square}$ | $\infty$ | - | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | - | $\stackrel{\text { - }}{ }$ | $\sim$ | ลั | - |
| $\stackrel{\stackrel{5}{4}}{\sim}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | - | $\bigcirc$ | $\sim$ | - | $\sim$ | - | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ | - | \% | - | \% | - | - | - | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | - | ${ }^{+}$ | - | $\stackrel{\square}{6}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 뀪 | - | ¢ | $\bigcirc$ |


|  | Movement 1 |  |  |  | Movement 2 |  |  |  | Movement 3 |  |  |  | Movement 4 |  |  |  | Movement 5 |  |  |  | Movement 6 |  |  |  | Movement 7 |  |  |  | Movement 8 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{6}{6} \\ \frac{5}{8} \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\frac{\text { ® }}{5}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{0}{6} \\ \frac{5}{8} \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ |  |  | \% |  |  |  | \% | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{0}{6} \\ \frac{50}{8} \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ®o }}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{8} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | \% | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{0}{6} \\ \frac{5}{8} \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{20} \\ & \frac{0}{8} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{0}{6} \\ \frac{5}{8} \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 2:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | ${ }^{23}$ | 1 | 24 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 2:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 2:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 35 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 3:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 55 | 1 | 56 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | ${ }^{73}$ | 1 | 74 | 0 |
| 3:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 51 | 1 | 52 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | - | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 23 | 0 |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | - | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |  | 14 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 3 | 37 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 12 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 1 | 47 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 63 | 2 | 65 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 58 | 1 | 59 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{13}$ | 0 | 13 | - |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 68 | 1 | 69 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  | 0 | 69 | 1 | 70 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 65 | 1 | 66 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 68 | 1 | 69 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 49 | 2 | 51 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
|  | - | - | - | - | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | - | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | - | 읗 | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | §్ఱ | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\sim}$ | - | ¢ | $\stackrel{\square}{0}$ | $\sim$ | - | $\sim$ | - | $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { ¢ }}$ | - |  | - | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | - | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | - | 茄 | $\stackrel{\square}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | - |
| 产 | - | - | - | - | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | - | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | - | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\square}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\text { @ }}{\sim}$ | - | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | - | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\sim$ | - | $\bigcirc$ | - | - | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | - | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | - | $\cdots$ | - | ¢ | - | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{-}}$ | - |




宛

| $\begin{gathered} \text { TIME } \\ (1 / 4 \mathrm{hr} \mathrm{end}) \end{gathered}$ | Movement 1 |  |  |  | Movement 2 |  |  |  | Movement 3 |  |  |  | Movement 4 |  |  |  | Movement 5 |  |  |  | Movement 6 |  |  |  | Movement 7 |  |  |  | Movement 8 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \％ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{8} \\ & \hline 0 . \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | \％ | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{0}{5} \\ \frac{5}{8} \\ \hline 0 . \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { 훌 }}{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{0}{5} \\ \frac{5}{8} \\ \hline 0 . \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | 吡 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \frac{5}{8} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 浐 | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{0}{5} \\ \stackrel{y y y y}{8} \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { º }}{ }$ | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{0}{2} \\ \begin{array}{c} 5 \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | \％ | 咢 |
| 7：15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | ${ }^{23}$ | 3 | 26 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 7：30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 32 | 2 | 34 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| 7：45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 4 | 31 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 1 |
| 8：00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 2 | 37 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| 8：15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 0 |
| 8：30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 34 | 0 |
| 8：45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 3 | 56 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 1 |
| 9：00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 45 | 6 | 51 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 0 |
|  | － | － | － | － | － | $\sim$ | F | － | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\bar{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | － | ！ | $\sim$ | ¢ | $\sim$ | － | － | － | － | 8 | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{\square}{8}$ | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | $\cdots$ | － | ¢ | － | － | － | $\stackrel{\bar{\sim}}{ }$ | $\sim$ |
|  | － | － | － | － | ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | － | $\stackrel{\circ}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{ }{\sim}$ | \％ | － | \％ | － | － | － | － | － | \％ | － | \％ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\sim$ | － | $\sim$ | － | $\stackrel{\text { g }}{\text { g }}$ | － |  | － |


| $\underset{\substack{\text { TIME } \\(1 / 4 \mathrm{hrend})}}{ }$ | Movement 1 |  |  |  | Movement 2 |  |  |  | Movement 3 |  |  |  | Movement 4 |  |  |  | Movement 5 |  |  |  | Movement 6 |  |  |  | Movement 7 |  |  |  | Movement 8 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ơ } \\ & \frac{0}{0} 5 \\ & \stackrel{y}{5} \\ & \text { 亭 } \end{aligned}$ |  | \％ | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{8}{8} \\ \stackrel{y y y y}{8} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { \％}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{6}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{8} \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | \％ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{20} \\ & \frac{5}{5} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | \％ | $\frac{\frac{y}{2}}{\frac{5}{8}}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { \％}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{20} \\ & \frac{20}{5} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { \％}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{9}{2} \\ & \frac{5}{8} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | \％ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{8} \\ & \frac{5}{8} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\stackrel{1}{\circ}}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{9}{6} \\ \frac{5}{8} \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 2：15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 1 | ${ }^{31}$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 2：30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 5 | 36 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 0 |
| 2：45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 53 | 1 | 54 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 3：00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 59 | 2 | 61 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 1 | 64 | 1 |
| 3：15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 67 | 4 | 71 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 28 | 0 |
| 3：30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 77 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 18 | 0 |
| 3：45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 61 | 1 | 62 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| 4：00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 67 | 2 | 69 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 4：15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 1 |
| 4：30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 97 | 1 | 98 | 2 | 17 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 4：45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 73 | 3 | 76 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 0 |
| 5：00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 106 | 1 | 107 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| 5：15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 103 | 1 | 104 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{11}$ | 0 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| 5：30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 95 | 1 | 96 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| 5：45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 86 | 1 | 87 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 0 |
| 6：00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 1 | 72 | 3 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 0 |
|  | － | － | － | － | ल | － | \％ | － | $\stackrel{\text { F }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\square}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{8}{-}$ | $\cdots$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | － | － | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\stackrel{\circ}{2}}$ | － | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\sim}$ | $\cdots$ | ¢ | － | ¢ | － | 亏̄－¢ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\sim$ |
|  | － | － | － | － | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | － | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | － | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ！ | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\square}{6}$ | $\sim$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | F | － | $\bar{F}$ | － | $\cdots$ | － | $\cdots$ | － | \％ | $\bigcirc$ | ¢ | － |
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Based on 2021 and 2022 data surveys


Technical Note Calculation of Development Proportions Title 2026 rorecast total active transport volumes



Project Number: P22049
Project Name: Yeronga PDA DCOP
Technical Note Calculation of Development Proportions
Title: PDA Proportion of active transport trips

Date: 24/06/2022

CPoint8




| Anticipated Project Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Project (Construction Contract) Duration (weeks) | 28 w |

## SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION ESTIMATE

| CuvilWorks ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| I. Preliminary Items | \$59,898.54 |
| 2. Drainage | \$0.00 |
| 3. Roadworks | \$290,684.26 |
| CIVIL WORKS TOTAL | \$350,582.80 |


| SSins and Pavement Markng |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signs - Sub Total |  | \$0 |
| Supervision \& Administration | 10.0\% | \$0 |
| SIGNS TOTAL |  | \$0 |
| Pavement Marking - Sub Total |  | \$6,258 |
| Supervision \& Administration | 10.0\% | \$626 |
| PAVEMENT MARKING TOTAL |  | \$6,884 |
| SIGNS AND PAVEMENT MARKING TOTAL |  | \$6,883.77 |





| Anticipated Project Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Project (Construction Contract) Duration (weeks) | 7 w |

## SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION ESTIMATE

| Cuvorks |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| I. Preliminary Items | \$28,851.30 |
| 2. Drainage | \$0.00 |
| 3. Roadworks | \$132,962.82 |
| CIVIL WORKS TOTAL | \$161,814.12 |


| Sons and Pavement Marking \% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signs - Sub Total |  | \$0 |
| Supervision \& Administration | 10.0\% | \$0 |
| SIGNS TOTAL |  | \$0 |
| Pavement Marking - Sub Total |  | \$1,260 |
| Supervision \& Administration | 10.0\% | \$126 |
| PAVEMENT MARKING TOTAL |  | \$1,386 |
| SIGNS AND PAVEMENT MARKING TOTAL |  | \$1,386.00 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. QUU : Water Reticulation | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 2. QUU : Sewerage | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 3. Telecommunications | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 4. Gas | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 5. Energex | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 6. Street Lighting [Rate 2 \& Rate 3] | \$0 | 10\% | \$0 |
| 7. Traffic Signals [excluding Conduiting] | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 8. Traffic Signals [new Signal Controller] | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 9. Landscaping [Built Env. Planting] | \$0 | 10\% | \$0 |
| PUBLIC SERVICES TOTAL |  |  | \$0.00 |




| Anticipated Project Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Project (Construction Contract) Duration (weeks) | 5 w |

## SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION ESTIMATE

| Cun Works ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Amount \$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| I. Preliminary Items | \$21,546.16 |
| 2. Drainage | \$0.00 |
| 3. Roadworks | \$78,590.93 |
| CIVIL WORKS TOTAL | \$100,137.09 |


| :Signs and Pavement Markung : 0 : 0 : |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signs - Sub Total |  | \$0 |
| Supervision \& Administration | 10.0\% | \$0 |
| SIGNS TOTAL |  | \$0 |
| Pavement Marking - Sub Total |  | \$2,540 |
| Supervision \& Administration | 10.0\% | \$254 |
| PAVEMENT MARKING TOTAL |  | \$2,794 |
| SIGNS AND PAVEMENT MARKING TOTAL |  | \$2,793.78 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. QUU : Water Reticulation | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 2. QUU : Sewerage | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 3. Telecommunications | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 4. Gas | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 5. Energex | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 6. Street Lighting [Rate 2 \& Rate 3] | \$0 | 10\% | \$0 |
| 7. Traffic Signals [excluding Conduiting] | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 8. Traffic Signals [new Signal Controller] | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 9. Landscaping [Built Env. Planting] | \$0 | 10\% | \$0 |
| 10. Property Resumptions | (EXCLUDED) |  | TBC |
| PUBLIC SERVICES TOTAL |  |  | \$0.00 |




| Anticipated Project Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Project (Construction Contract) Duration (weeks) | 6 w |

## SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION ESTIMATE

| CuvilWorks ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| I. Preliminary Items | \$25,860.57 |
| 2. Drainage | \$0.00 |
| 3. Roadworks | \$109,897.84 |
| CIVIL WORKS TOTAL | \$135,758.40 |


| Signs and Pavement Markung : 0 : |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signs - Sub Total |  | \$0 |
| Supervision \& Administration | 10.0\% | \$0 |
| SIGNS TOTAL |  | \$0 |
| Pavement Marking - Sub Total |  | \$1,260 |
| Supervision \& Administration | 10.0\% | \$126 |
| PAVEMENT MARKING TOTAL |  | \$1,386 |
| SIGNS AND PAVEMENT MARKING TOTAL |  | \$1,386.00 |


| Public Services ${ }^{\text {a }}$ S Srvice Authority Quate MiFee |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. QUU : Water Reticulation | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 2. QUU : Sewerage | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 3. Telecommunications | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 4. Gas | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 5. Energex | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 6. Street Lighting [Rate 2 \& Rate 3] | \$0 | 10\% | \$0 |
| 7. Traffic Signals [excluding Conduiting] | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 8. Traffic Signals [new Signal Controller] | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| 9. Landscaping [Built Env. Planting] | \$0 | 10\% | \$0 |
| PUBLIC SERVICES TOTAL |  |  | \$0.00 |




| Anticipated Project Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Project (Construction Contract) Duration (weeks) | 7 w |

## SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION ESTIMATE

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| I. Preliminary Items | \$28,964.75 |
| 2. Drainage | \$0.00 |
| 3. Roadworks | \$133,857.83 |
| CIVIL WORKS TOTAL | \$162,822.57 |


| Signs and Payement Marking |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signs - Sub Total |  | \$0 |
| Supervision \& Administration | 10.0\% | \$0 |
| SIGNS TOTAL |  | \$0 |
| Pavement Marking - Sub Total |  | \$4,861 |
| Supervision \& Administration | 10.0\% | \$486 |
| PAVEMENT MARKING TOTAL |  | \$5,347 |
| SIGNS AND PAVEMENT MARKING TOTAL |  | \$5,347.43 |
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## Demographics \& education

demographics \& education | cultural \& language diversity | employment
People tables are based on a person's place of usual residence on Census night

## People

| Persons count based on place of usual <br> residence on Census night | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | \% | Australia | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Male | 3,181 | 48.7 | $2,321,889$ | 49.4 | $11,546,638$ | 49.3 |
| Female | 3,356 | 51.3 | $2,381,308$ | 50.6 | $11,855,248$ | 50.7 |
| Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait | 67 | 1.0 | 186,482 | 4.0 | 649,171 | 2.8 |

In the 2016 Census, there were 6,535 people in Yeronga. Of these $48.7 \%$ were male and $51.3 \%$ were female. Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander people made up $1.0 \%$ of the population.
View the data quality statement for Place of Usual Residence (PURP).

| Age | Yeronga | $\%$ | Queensland | $\%$ | Australia | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Median age | 36 | -- | 37 | -- | 38 | -- |
| 0-4 years | 307 | 4.7 | 296,466 | 6.3 | $1,464,779$ | 6.3 |
| 5-9 years | 281 | 4.3 | 317,138 | 6.7 | $1,502,646$ | 6.4 |
| $10-14$ years | 319 | 4.9 | 299,097 | 6.4 | $1,397,183$ | 6.0 |
| $15-19$ years | 405 | 6.2 | 296,287 | 6.3 | $1,421,595$ | 6.1 |
| $20-24$ years | 633 | 9.7 | 316,860 | 6.7 | $1,566,793$ | 6.7 |
| $25-29$ years | 562 | 8.6 | 320,753 | 6.8 | $1,664,602$ | 7.1 |


| $30-34$ years | 540 | 8.3 | 325,943 | 6.9 | $1,703,847$ | 7.3 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $35-39$ years | 469 | 7.2 | 305,218 | 6.5 | $1,561,679$ | 6.7 |
| $40-44$ years | 411 | 6.3 | 322,901 | 6.9 | $1,583,257$ | 6.8 |
| $45-49$ years | 471 | 7.2 | 322,982 | 6.9 | $1,581,455$ | 6.8 |
| $50-54$ years | 416 | 6.4 | 308,727 | 6.6 | $1,523,551$ | 6.5 |
| $55-59$ years | 384 | 5.9 | 292,198 | 6.2 | $1,454,332$ | 6.2 |
| $60-64$ years | 310 | 4.7 | 260,685 | 5.5 | $1,299,397$ | 5.6 |
| $65-69$ years | 250 | 3.8 | 242,192 | 5.1 | $1,188,999$ | 5.1 |
| $70-74$ years | 211 | 3.2 | 180,406 | 3.8 | 887,716 | 3.8 |
| $75-79$ years | 172 | 2.6 | 126,084 | 2.7 | 652,657 | 2.8 |
| $80-84$ years | 191 | 2.9 | 83,731 | 1.8 | 460,549 | 2.0 |
| 85 years and over | 208 | 3.2 | 85,528 | 1.8 | 486,842 | 2.1 |

The median age of people in Yeronga was 36 years. Children aged 0-14 years made up $13.9 \%$ of the population and people aged 65 years and over made up $15.8 \%$ of the population.
View the data quality statement for Age (AGEP).

| Registered marital status <br> People aged 15 years and over | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | \% | Australia | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Married | 2,346 | 41.7 | $1,775,920$ | 46.9 | $9,148,218$ | 48.1 |
| Separated | 134 | 2.4 | 134,953 | 3.6 | 608,059 | 3.2 |
| Divorced | 449 | 8.0 | 354,382 | 9.3 | $1,626,890$ | 8.5 |
| Widowed | 317 | 5.6 | 184,671 | 4.9 | 985,204 | 5.2 |
| Never married | 2,382 | 42.3 | $1,340,580$ | 35.4 | $6,668,910$ | 35.0 |

Of people in Yeronga aged 15 years and over, $41.7 \%$ were married and $10.4 \%$ were either divorced or separated.
View the data quality statement for Registered marital status (MSTP).

| Social marital status <br> People aged 15 years and over | Yeronga | $\%$ | Queensland | $\%$ | Australia | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Registered marriage | 2,041 | 42.1 | $1,546,502$ | 46.7 | $8,001,141$ | 47.7 |
| De facto marriage | 510 | 10.5 | 394,739 | 11.9 | $1,751,731$ | 10.4 |
| Not married | 2,302 | 47.4 | $1,367,026$ | 41.3 | $7,024,973$ | 41.9 |

In Yeronga, of people aged 15 years and over, $42.1 \%$ of people were in a registered marriage and $10.5 \%$ were in a de facto marriage.
View the data quality statement for Social marital status (MDCP).

| Education | Yeronga | $\%$ | Queensland | $\%$ | Australia | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Preschool | 51 | 2.3 | 56,639 | 3.9 | 347,621 | 4.8 |
| Primary - Government | 182 | 8.3 | 276,468 | 19.1 | $1,314,787$ | 18.2 |


| Primary - Catholic | 142 | 6.5 | 73,844 | 5.1 | 380,604 | 5.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Primary - other non Government | 50 | 2.3 | 50,202 | 3.5 | 231,490 | 3.2 |
| Secondary - Government | 101 | 4.6 | 176,138 | 12.2 | 827,505 | 11.5 |
| Secondary - Catholic | 155 | 7.0 | 63,080 | 4.4 | 338,384 | 4.7 |
| Secondary - other non Government | 124 | 5.6 | 58,927 | 4.1 | 280,618 | 3.9 |
| Technical or further education | 120 | 5.5 | 76,992 | 5.3 | 424,869 | 5.9 |
| institution | 727 | 33.1 | 213,221 | 14.8 | $1,160,626$ | 16.1 |
| University or tertiary institution | 37 | 1.7 | 37,517 | 2.6 | 198,383 | 2.8 |
| Other | 510 | 23.2 | 360,888 | 25.0 | $1,707,023$ | 23.7 |

In Yeronga $33.7 \%$ of people were attending an educational institution. Of these, $16.9 \%$ were in primary school, $17.7 \%$ in secondary school and $38.7 \%$ in a tertiary or technical institution.
View the data quality statement for Educational Institution Attendee Status (TYSTAP).

Level of highest educational
attainment Yeronga \% Queensland \% Australia \%
People aged 15 years and over

| Bachelor Degree level and above | 2,201 | 39.1 | 693,412 | 18.3 | $4,181,406$ | 22.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Advanced Diploma and Diploma <br> level | 522 | 9.3 | 330,619 | 8.7 | $1,687,893$ | 8.9 |
| Certificate level IV | 98 | 1.7 | 111,975 | 3.0 | 551,767 | 2.9 |
| Certificate level III | 406 | 7.2 | 576,295 | 15.2 | $2,442,203$ | 12.8 |
| Year 12 | 883 | 15.7 | 625,959 | 16.5 | $2,994,097$ | 15.7 |
| Year 11 | 172 | 3.1 | 163,394 | 4.3 | 941,531 | 4.9 |
| Year 10 | 376 | 6.7 | 488,554 | 12.9 | $2,054,331$ | 10.8 |
| Certificate level II | 3 | 0.1 | 2,602 | 0.1 | 13,454 | 0.1 |
| Certificate level I | 0 | 0.0 | 418 | 0.0 | 2,176 | 0.0 |
| Year 9 or below | 207 | 3.7 | 275,376 | 7.3 | $1,529,897$ | 8.0 |
| No educational attainment | 24 | 0.4 | 15,700 | 0.4 | 145,844 | 0.8 |
| Not stated | 633 | 11.2 | 409,227 | 10.8 | $1,974,794$ | 10.4 |

Of people aged 15 and over in Yeronga, $15.7 \%$ reported having completed Year 12 as their highest level of educational attainment, $8.9 \%$ had completed a Certificate III or IV and $9.3 \%$ had completed an Advanced Diploma or Diploma.
View the data quality statement for Level of highest educational attainment (HEAP).
Cultural \& language diversity
demographics \& education | cultural \& language diversity. | employment

| Ancestry, top responses | Yeronga | $\%$ | Queensland | $\%$ | Australia | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English | 2,328 | 25.1 | $1,794,999$ | 27.5 | $7,852,224$ | 25.0 |


| Australian | 1,934 | 20.8 | $1,649,284$ | 25.3 | $7,298,243$ | 23.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Irish | 1,101 | 11.9 | 564,334 | 8.7 | $2,388,058$ | 7.6 |
| Scottish | 776 | 8.4 | 486,648 | 7.5 | $2,023,470$ | 6.4 |
| German | 407 | 4.4 | 296,387 | 4.5 | 982,226 | 3.1 |

The most common ancestries in Yeronga were English 25.1\%, Australian 20.8\%, Irish 11.9\%, Scottish 8.4\% and German 4.4\%.

Respondents had the option of reporting up to two ancestries on their Census form, and this is captured by the Ancestry Multi Response (ANCP) variable used in this table. Therefore, the total responses count will not equal the persons count for this area. Calculated percentages represent a proportion of all responses from people in Yeronga (including those who did not state an ancestry).
View the data quality statement for Ancestry_(ANCP).

| Country of birth | Yeronga | $\%$ | Queensland | $\%$ | Australia | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Australia | 4,487 | 68.7 | $3,343,657$ | 71.1 | $15,614,835$ | 66.7 |
| Other top responses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 217 | 3.3 | 180,775 | 3.8 | 907,570 | 3.9 |
| New Zealand | 196 | 3.0 | 201,206 | 4.3 | 518,466 | 2.2 |
| India | 80 | 1.2 | 49,145 | 1.0 | 455,389 | 1.9 |
| China (excludes SARs and Taiwan) | 58 | 0.9 | 47,114 | 1.0 | 509,555 | 2.2 |
| United States of America | 47 | 0.7 | 17,053 | 0.4 | 86,125 | 0.4 |

In Yeronga, $68.7 \%$ of people were born in Australia. The most common countries of birth were England $3.3 \%$, New Zealand 3.0\%, India 1.2\%, China (excludes SARs and Taiwan) $0.9 \%$ and United States of America 0.7\%.

View the data quality statement for Country of birth (BPLP)

| Country of birth of father and/or <br> mother, , stated responses | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | $\%$ | Australia | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Both parents born overseas | 1,828 | 28.0 | $1,225,441$ | 26.1 | $8,051,196$ | 34.4 |
| Father only born overseas | 487 | 7.4 | 302,904 | 6.4 | $1,488,092$ | 6.4 |
| Mother only born overseas | 355 | 5.4 | 234,425 | 5.0 | $1,094,591$ | 4.7 |
| Both parents born in Australia | 3,255 | 49.8 | $2,575,201$ | 54.8 | $11,070,538$ | 47.3 |

In Yeronga, $49.8 \%$ of people had both parents born in Australia and $28.0 \%$ of people had both parents born overseas.
View the data quality statement for Country of birth of father and/or mother (BPPP).

| Country of birth of father, stated <br> responses | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | \% | Australia | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Australia | 3,616 | 55.5 | $2,824,420$ | 60.1 | $12,231,150$ | 52.3 |
| England | 360 | 5.5 | 287,091 | 6.1 | $1,403,096$ | 6.0 |
| New Zealand | 248 | 3.8 | 236,403 | 5.0 | 617,331 | 2.6 |


| India | 120 | 1.8 | 67,903 | 1.4 | 616,939 | 2.6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| China (excludes SARs and Taiwan) | 89 | 1.4 | 64,856 | 1.4 | 704,658 | 3.0 |

In Yeronga, the most common countries of birth for male parents were Australia $55.5 \%$, England $5.5 \%$, New Zealand 3.8\%, India $1.8 \%$ and China (excludes SARs and Taiwan) 1.4\%.
View the data quality statement for Country of birth of father (BPMP).

| Country of birth of mother, stated <br> responses | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | \% | Australia | \% |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Australia |  | 3,761 | 58.0 | $2,897,343$ | 61.6 | $12,643,365$ | 54.0 |
| England | 320 | 4.9 | 264,169 | 5.6 | $1,302,147$ | 5.6 |  |
| New Zealand | 241 | 3.7 | 236,930 | 5.0 | 608,329 | 2.6 |  |
| India | 112 | 1.7 | 65,921 | 1.4 | 605,777 | 2.6 |  |
| China (excludes SARs and Taiwan) | 90 | 1.4 | 64,863 | 1.4 | 699,074 | 3.0 |  |

In Yeronga, the most common countries of birth for female parents were Australia 58.0\%, England 4.9\%, New Zealand 3.7\%, India 1.7\% and China (excludes SARs and Taiwan) 1.4\%.
View the data quality statement for Country of birth of mother (BPFP).

| Religious affiliation, top <br> responses | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | \% | Australia | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No Religion, so described | 2,108 | 32.2 | $1,374,427$ | 29.2 | $6,933,708$ | 29.6 |
| Catholic | 1,575 | 24.1 | $1,022,514$ | 21.7 | $5,291,834$ | 22.6 |
| Anglican | 770 | 11.8 | 719,718 | 15.3 | $3,101,185$ | 13.3 |
| Not stated | 731 | 11.2 | 468,042 | 10.0 | $2,238,735$ | 9.6 |
| Uniting Church | 263 | 4.0 | 238,313 | 5.1 | 870,183 | 3.7 |

The most common responses for religion in Yeronga were No Religion, so described 32.2\%, Catholic $24.1 \%$, Anglican $11.8 \%$, Not stated $11.2 \%$ and Uniting Church $4.0 \%$. In Yeronga, Christianity was the largest religious group reported overall (56.9\%) (this figure excludes not stated responses).
View the data quality statement for Religious affiliation (RELP).

| Language, top responses (other <br> than English). | Yeronga | $\%$ | Queensland | $\%$ | Australia | \% |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Mandarin | 80 | 1.2 | 69,474 | 1.5 | 596,711 | 2.5 |  |
| Greek | 65 | 1.0 | 10,538 | 0.2 | 237,588 | 1.0 |  |
| Spanish | 58 | 0.9 | 21,006 | 0.4 | 140,817 | 0.6 |  |
| Arabic | 43 | 0.7 | 13,644 | 0.3 | 321,728 | 1.4 |  |
| Cantonese | 38 | 0.6 | 24,900 | 0.5 | 280,943 | 1.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| English only spoken at home | 5,051 | 77.5 | $3,820,632$ | 81.2 | $17,020,417$ | 72.7 |  |
| Households where a non English 518 18.6 242,052 13.5 $1,971,011$ | 22.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In Yeronga 77.5\% of people only spoke English at home. Other languages spoken at home included Mandarin 1.2\%, Greek 1.0\%, Spanish 0.9\%, Arabic 0.7\% and Cantonese 0.6\%.
View the data quality statement for Language spoken at home (LANP).

## Employment

demographics \& education | cultural \& language diversity | employment

| Employment <br> People who reported being in the labour <br> force, aged $\mathbf{1 5}$ years and over | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | $\%$ | Australia | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Worked full-time | 2,095 | 58.8 | $1,333,193$ | 57.7 | $6,623,065$ | 57.7 |
| Worked part-time | 1,088 | 30.6 | 691,751 | 29.9 | $3,491,503$ | 30.4 |
| Away from work | 133 | 3.7 | 111,509 | 4.8 | 569,276 | 5.0 |
| Unemployed | 244 | 6.9 | 175,665 | 7.6 | 787,452 | 6.9 |

There were 3,560 people who reported being in the labour force in the week before Census night in Yeronga. Of these $58.8 \%$ were employed full time, $30.6 \%$ were employed part-time and $6.9 \%$ were unemployed.

The ABS Labour Force Survey provides the official estimates of Australia's unemployment rate. More information about Census and labour force status is provided in Understanding the Census and Census Data.
View the data quality statement for Labour force status (LFSP).

| Employment - hours worked <br> Employed people aged 15 years and over | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | $\%$ | Australia | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1-15 hours per week | 417 | 12.6 | 235,001 | 11.0 | $1,218,823$ | 11.4 |
| 16-24 hours per week | 317 | 9.6 | 201,035 | 9.4 | $1,079,236$ | 10.1 |
| $25-34$ hours per week | 348 | 10.5 | 255,714 | 12.0 | $1,193,445$ | 11.2 |
| 35-39 hours per week | 588 | 17.8 | 410,236 | 19.2 | $2,031,263$ | 19.0 |
| 40 hours or more per week | 1,507 | 45.6 | 922,958 | 43.2 | $4,591,801$ | 43.0 |

Of employed people in Yeronga, 12.6\% worked 1 to 15 hours, $9.6 \%$ worked 16 to 24 hours and $45.6 \%$ worked 40 hours or more.
View the data quality statement for Hours worked (HRSP).

| Occupation <br> Employed people aged 15 years and over | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | \% | Australia | \% |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Professionals | 1,290 | 38.9 | 423,917 | 19.8 | $2,370,966$ | 22.2 |
| Managers | 473 | 14.3 | 258,509 | 12.1 | $1,390,047$ | 13.0 |
| Clerical and Administrative Workers | 445 | 13.4 | 291,317 | 13.6 | $1,449,681$ | 13.6 |
| Community and Personal Service <br> Workers | 302 | 9.1 | 241,956 | 11.3 | $1,157,003$ | 10.8 |
| Sales Workers | 255 | 7.7 | 207,795 | 9.7 | $1,000,955$ | 9.4 |


| Technicians and Trades Workers | 252 | 7.6 | 305,441 | 14.3 | $1,447,414$ | 13.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Labourers | 187 | 5.6 | 225,268 | 10.5 | $1,011,520$ | 9.5 |
| Machinery Operators and Drivers | 90 | 2.7 | 147,636 | 6.9 | 670,106 | 6.3 |

The most common occupations in Yeronga included Professionals 38.9\%, Managers 14.3\%, Clerical and Administrative Workers 13.4\%, Community and Personal Service Workers 9.1\%, Sales Workers 7.7\%, Technicians and Trades Workers 7.6\%, Labourers 5.6\% and Machinery Operators and Drivers 2.7\%.
View the data quality statement for Occupation (OCCP).

Industry of employment, top
responses $\quad$ Yeronga $\%$ Queensland \% Australia \%
Employed people aged 15 years and over

| Hospitals (except Psychiatric <br> Hospitals) | 229 | 7.1 | 91,756 | 4.3 | 411,808 | 3.9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Higher Education | 182 | 5.6 | 28,546 | 1.3 | 155,985 | 1.5 |
| State Government Administration | 116 | 3.6 | 36,185 | 1.7 | 158,980 | 1.5 |
| Cafes and Restaurants | 94 | 2.9 | 49,488 | 2.3 | 253,385 | 2.4 |
| Primary Education | 82 | 2.5 | 54,394 | 2.5 | 231,198 | 2.2 |

Of the employed people in Yeronga, the most common responses for industry of employment included Hospitals (except Psychiatric Hospitals) 7.1\%, Higher Education 5.6\%, State Government Administration 3.6\%, Cafes and Restaurants 2.9\% and Primary Education 2.5\%.

View the data quality statement for Industry of employment (INDP).

| Median weekly incomes <br> People aged 15 years and over | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | \% | Australia | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Personal | 846 | -- | 660 | -- | 662 | -- |
| Family | 2,328 | -- | 1,661 | -- | 1,734 | -- |
| Household | 1,665 | -- | 1,402 | -- | 1,438 | -- |

The median weekly personal income for people aged 15 years and over in Yeronga was $\$ 846$.
View the data quality statements for: Total personal income (INCP). Total family income (FINF). Total household income (HIND).

| Travel to work, top responses <br> Employed people aged $\mathbf{1 5}$ years and over | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | $\%$ | Australia | \% |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Car, as driver | 1,771 | 53.7 | $1,368,965$ | 64.1 | $6,574,571$ | 61.5 |  |
| Train | 347 | 10.5 | 42,306 | 2.0 | 488,012 | 4.6 |  |
| Car, as passenger | 170 | 5.1 | 112,508 | 5.3 | 489,922 | 4.6 |  |
| Worked at home | 168 | 5.1 | 112,422 | 5.3 | 503,582 | 4.7 |  |
| Bicycle | 137 | 4.2 | 21,679 | 1.0 | 107,756 | 1.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| People who travelled to work by | 600 | 18.1 | 152,230 | 7.1 | $1,225,668$ | 11.5 |  |

public transport
People who travelled to work by car as driver or passenger

| 2,025 | 61.0 | $1,523,756$ | 71.3 | $7,305,271$ | 68.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

In Yeronga, on the day of the Census, the most common methods of travel to work for employed people included Car, as driver 53.7\%, Train 10.5\%, Car, as passenger $5.1 \%$, Worked at home $5.1 \%$ and Bicycle $4.2 \%$. On the day, $18.1 \%$ of employed people used public transport (train, bus, ferry, tram/light rail) as at least one of their methods of travel to work and $61.0 \%$ used car (either as driver or as passenger).
View the data quality statement for Method of travel to work (MTWP).

| Unpaid work <br> People aged 15 years and over | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | $\%$ | Australia | \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Did unpaid domestic work (last <br> week) | 4,164 | 74.0 | $2,671,858$ | 70.5 | $13,143,914$ | 69.0 |
| Cared for child/children (last two <br> weeks) | 1,287 | 22.9 | $1,063,450$ | 28.1 | $5,259,400$ | 27.6 |
| Provided unpaid assistance to a <br> person with a disability (last two <br> weeks) | 603 | 10.7 | 407,168 | 10.7 | $2,145,203$ | 11.3 |
| Did voluntary work through an <br> organisation or group (last 12 <br> months) | 1,359 | 24.2 | 714,138 | 18.8 | $3,620,726$ | 19.0 |

In Yeronga, of people aged 15 years and over, $74.0 \%$ did unpaid domestic work in the week before the Census. During the two weeks before the Census, $22.9 \%$ provided care for children and $10.7 \%$ assisted family members or others due to a disability, long term illness or problems related to old age. In the year before the Census, $24.2 \%$ of people did voluntary work through an organisation or a group.

View the data quality statements for: Unpaid domestic work (DOMP). Unpaid child care (CHCAREP) Unpaid assistance (UNCAREP). Voluntary work (VOLWP).

| Unpaid domestic work, number <br> of hours <br> People aged 15 years and over |  | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | $\%$ | Australia | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Less than 5 hours per week | 1,600 | 28.5 | 853,120 | 22.5 | $4,298,593$ | 22.6 |  |
| 5 to 14 hours per week | 1,686 | 30.0 | $1,017,232$ | 26.8 | $4,944,578$ | 26.0 |  |
| 15 to 29 hours per week | 539 | 9.6 | 448,338 | 11.8 | $2,189,776$ | 11.5 |  |
| 30 hours or more per week | 329 | 5.9 | 353,168 | 9.3 | $1,710,970$ | 9.0 |  |

Of people who did unpaid domestic work in the week before the Census in Yeronga, $30.0 \%$ worked 5 to 14 hours, $9.6 \%$ worked 15 to 29 hours and $5.9 \%$ worked 30 hours or more.
View the data quality statement for Unpaid domestic work, number of hours (DOMP).

Families family composition | employment status of couple families

## Family composition

family composition | employment status of couple families

| Family composition | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | $\%$ | Australia | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Couple family without children | 671 | 43.5 | 481,451 | 39.4 | $2,291,987$ | 37.8 |
| Couple family with children | 632 | 40.9 | 518,494 | 42.5 | $2,716,224$ | 44.7 |
| One parent family | 185 | 12.0 | 201,308 | 16.5 | 959,543 | 15.8 |
| Other family | 56 | 3.6 | 19,898 | 1.6 | 102,559 | 1.7 |

Of the families in Yeronga, $40.9 \%$ were couple families with children, $43.5 \%$ were couple families without children and $12.0 \%$ were one parent families.
View the data quality statement for Family composition (FMCF).

## Single (or lone)_parents

| Proportion of the total single (or lone) <br> parent population | Yeronga $\% \quad$ Queensland $\% \quad$ Australia $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Male | -- | 19.5 | --18.5 | --18.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Female | --80.5 | --81.5 | --81.8 |  |

In Yeronga, $19.5 \%$ of single parents were male and $80.5 \%$ were female.
View the data quality statement for Family composition (FMCF).

## Employment status of couple families

family composition | employment status of couple families

| Employment status of parents in couple families | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | \% | Australia | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labour force, parents or partners aged 15 years and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both employed, worked full-time | 362 | 27.7 | 225,032 | 22.5 | 1,084,006 | 21.6 |
| Both employed, worked part-time | 57 | 4.4 | 39,193 | 3.9 | 203,596 | 4.1 |
| One employed full-time, one parttime | 311 | 23.8 | 212,524 | 21.3 | 1,086,460 | 21.7 |
| One employed full-time, other not working | 157 | 12.0 | 144,839 | 14.5 | 749,886 | 15.0 |
| One employed part-time, other not working | 82 | 6.3 | 57,595 | 5.8 | 302,037 | 6.0 |
| Both not working | 198 | 15.1 | 200,661 | 20.1 | 1,006,697 | 20.1 |
| Other (includes away from work) | 66 | 5.0 | 52,525 | 5.3 | 264,145 | 5.3 |
| Labour force status not stated (by one or both parents in a couple family) | 74 | 5.7 | 67,574 | 6.8 | 311,381 | 6.2 |
| In Yeronga, of couple families with children, $27.7 \%$ had both partners employed full-time, $4.4 \%$ had both employed part-time and $23.8 \%$ had one employed full-time and the other part-time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The ABS Labour Force Survey provides | fficial estim | ates | ustralia's une <br> Indのnのtondin | ploy | rate. Mor $\qquad$ |  |

 Data.
View the data quality statement for Labour force status of parents / partners in families (LFSF).

## Dwellings

dwelling structure | household composition | mortgage \& rent | number of motor vehicles | internet connection

## Dwelling structure

 connection

Dwelling tables exclude visitor only and other non-classifiable households

| Dwelling_count | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | \% | Australia | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Occupied private dwellings | 2,559 | 91.9 | $1,656,828$ | 89.4 | $8,286,073$ | 88.8 |
| Unoccupied private dwellings | 225 | 8.1 | 195,570 | 10.6 | $1,039,874$ | 11.2 |

In Yeronga, $91.9 \%$ of private dwellings were occupied and $8.1 \%$ were unoccupied.
View the data quality statements for: Dwelling type (DWTD). Dwelling Structure (STRD).

| Dwelling structure Occupied private dwellings | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | \% | Australia | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Separate house | 1,215 | 47.5 | 1,269,653 | 76.6 | 6,041,788 | 72.9 |
| Semi-detached, row or terrace house, townhouse etc | 445 | 17.4 | 174,984 | 10.6 | 1,055,016 | 12.7 |
| Flat or apartment | 892 | 34.9 | 186,780 | 11.3 | 1,087,434 | 13.1 |
| Other dwelling | 0 | 0.0 | 16,809 | 1.0 | 64,425 | 0.8 |

Of occupied private dwellings in Yeronga, $47.5 \%$ were separate houses, $17.4 \%$ were semi-detached, row or terrace houses, townhouses etc, $34.9 \%$ were flats or apartments and $0.0 \%$ were other dwellings.
View the data quality statement for Dwelling structure (STRD).

| Number of bedrooms | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | \% | Australia | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupied private dwellings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None (includes bedsitters) | 33 | 1.3 | 8,306 | 0.5 | 39,769 | 0.5 |
| 1 bedroom | 235 | 9.2 | 70,628 | 4.3 | 411,252 | 5.0 |
| 2 bedrooms | 741 | 29.0 | 275,203 | 16.6 | 1,562,759 | 18.9 |
| 3 bedrooms | 871 | 34.0 | 657,978 | 39.7 | 3,403,190 | 41.1 |
| 4 or more bedrooms | 626 | 24.5 | 604,269 | 36.5 | 2,670,758 | 32.2 |
| Number of bedrooms not stated | 53 | 2.1 | 40,448 | 2.4 | 198,351 | 2.4 |
| Average number of bedrooms per dwelling | 2.8 | -- | 3.2 | -- | 3.1 | -- |
| Average number of people per | 22 | -- | $2 ¢$ | -- | $2 ¢$ | -- |

In Yeronga, of occupied private dwellings 9.2\% had 1 bedroom, $29.0 \%$ had 2 bedrooms and $34.0 \%$ had 3 bedrooms. The average number of bedrooms per occupied private dwelling was 2.8. The average household size was 2.3 people.

View the data quality statements for: Number of bedrooms in a private dwelling_(BEDD). Number of persons usually resident in dwelling_(NPRD).

| Tenure <br> Occupied private dwellings | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | \% | Australia | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Owned outright | 667 | 26.1 | 471,407 | 28.5 | $2,565,695$ | 31.0 |
| Owned with a mortgage | 697 | 27.3 | 558,439 | 33.7 | $2,855,222$ | 34.5 |
| Rented | 1,062 | 41.5 | 566,478 | 34.2 | $2,561,302$ | 30.9 |
| Other tenure type | 64 | 2.5 | 15,566 | 0.9 | 78,994 | 1.0 |
| Tenure type not stated | 67 | 2.6 | 44,944 | 2.7 | 224,869 | 2.7 |

Of occupied private dwellings in Yeronga, $26.1 \%$ were owned outright, $27.3 \%$ were owned with a mortgage and $41.5 \%$ were rented.
View the data quality statement for Tenure type (TEND).

## Household composition

 connection

| Household composition | Yeronga | $\%$ | Queensland | $\%$ | Australia | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Family households | 1,533 | 59.8 | $1,189,859$ | 71.8 | $5,907,625$ | 71.3 |
| Single (or lone) person households | 798 | 31.1 | 389,076 | 23.5 | $2,023,542$ | 24.4 |
| Group households | 233 | 9.1 | 77,898 | 4.7 | 354,917 | 4.3 |

In Yeronga, of all households, $59.8 \%$ were family households, $31.1 \%$ were single person households and $9.1 \%$ were group households.
View the data quality statement for Household composition (HHCD).

| Household income | Yeronga | $\%$ | Queensland | $\%$ | Australia | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Less than $\$ 650$ gross weekly income | -- | 18.5 | -- | 19.5 | -- | 20.0 |
| More than $\$ 3,000$ gross weekly <br> income | -- | 24.4 | -- | 14.4 |  | -- |

In Yeronga, $18.5 \%$ of households had a weekly household income of less than $\$ 650$ and $24.4 \%$ of households had a weekly income of more than $\$ 3000$.
View the data quality statement for Household income, total weekly_(HIND).

## Mortgage \& rent

 connection

Proportions are calculated using all tenure types for occupied private dwellings. This excludes visitor only


| Rent weekly_payments | Yeronga | $\%$ | Queensland | $\%$ | Australia | \% |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Median rent | 361 | -- | 330 | -- | 335 | -- |  |
| Households where rent payments <br> are less than $30 \%$ of household <br> income | -- | 84.8 |  | -- | 87.2 |  | -- |
| Households with rent payments <br> greater than or equal to 30\% of <br> household income | --15.2 |  | 88.5 |  |  |  |  |

The number of households where rent payments were $30 \%$ or more of an imputed income measure are expressed in this table as a proportion of the total number of households in an area (including those households which were not renting, and excluding the small proportion of visitor only and other nonclassifiable households). The nature of the income imputation means that the reported proportion may significantly overstate the true proportion.
View the data quality statement for Rent weekly_payments (RNTD).

| Mortgage monthly repayments | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | \% | Australia | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median mortgage repayments | 2,123 | -- | 1,733 | -- | 1,755 | -- |
| Households where mortgage repayments are less than $30 \%$ of household income | -- | 95.5 | -- | 93.6 | -- | 92.8 |
| Households with mortgage repayments greater than or equal to | -- | 4.5 | -- | 6.4 | -- | 7.2 |

The number of households where mortgage repayments were $30 \%$ or more of an imputed income measure are expressed in this table as a proportion of the total number of households in an area (including those households which were renting, and excluding the small proportion of visitor only and other non-classifiable households). The nature of the income imputation means that the reported proportion may significantly overstate the true proportion.
View the data quality statement for Mortgage monthly repayments (MRED).

## Number of motor vehicles

dwelling structure | household composition | mortgage \& rent | number of motor vehicles | internet connection

| Number of registered motor vehicles | Yeronga | \% | Queensland | \% | Australia | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | 257 | 10.0 | 99,133 | 6.0 | 623,829 | 7.5 |
| 1 motor vehicle | 1,064 | 41.4 | 566,233 | 34.2 | 2,881,485 | 34.8 |
| 2 motor vehicles | 815 | 31.7 | 620,096 | 37.4 | 2,999,184 | 36.2 |
| 3 or more vehicles | 355 | 13.8 | 315,106 | 19.0 | 1,496,382 | 18.1 |
| Number of motor vehicles not | 77 | 3.0 | 56,263 | 3.4 | 285,197 | 3.4 |

statea
In Yeronga, 41.4\% of occupied private dwellings had one registered motor vehicle garaged or parked at their address, $31.7 \%$ had two registered motor vehicles and $13.8 \%$ had three or more registered motor vehicles.
View the data quality statement for Number of registered motor vehicles (VEHD).

## Internet connection

 connection

| Dwelling internet connection | Yeronga | $\%$ | Queensland | \% | Australia | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Internet not accessed from dwelling | 296 | 11.6 | 224,855 | 13.6 | $1,172,415$ | 14.1 |
| Internet accessed from dwelling | 2,202 | 86.0 | $1,387,499$ | 83.7 | $6,892,165$ | 83.2 |
| Not stated | 62 | 2.4 | 44,482 | 2.7 | 221,494 | 2.7 |

In Yeronga, 86.0\% of households had at least one person access the internet from the dwelling. This could have been through a desktop/laptop computer, mobile or smart phone, tablet, music or video player, gaming console, smart TV or any other device.
View the data quality statement for Dwelling internet connection (NEDD).

## Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander people <br> selected people \& dwelling characteristics

## People characteristics

people characteristics | dwelling_characteristics

| People characteristics <br> Count based on place of usual residence <br> on Census night. | Yeronga | $\%$ | Queensland | $\%$ | Australia | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Male | 33 | 48.5 | 92,176 | 49.4 | 322,171 | 49.6 |
| Female | 35 | 51.5 | 94,311 | 50.6 | 326,996 | 50.4 |
| Median age | 25 | -- | 22 | -- | 23 | -- |

In Yeronga, 48.5\% of Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander people were male and 51.5\% were female. The median age was 25 years.

View the data quality statements for: Place of Usual Residence (PURP). Indigenous status (INGP).

## Dwelling characteristics

people characteristics | dwelling_characteristics
Dwelling tables exclude visitor only and other non-classifiable households. These tables represent occupied private dwellings where at least one Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait islander person was present.

## Dwelling characteristics

## Strait Islander

| Average number of people per <br> household | 2 | -- | 3.3 | -- | 3.2 | -- |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average number of persons per 0.8 -- 1 -- 1 -- <br> bedroom       <br> Median weekly household income 850 -- 1,222 -- 1,203 -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In Yeronga, for dwellings occupied by Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander people, the average household size was 2 persons, with 0.8 persons per bedroom. The median household income was $\$ 850$.

View the data quality statements for: Number of Bedrooms in Private Dwelling_(BEDD). Household composition (HHCD). Indigenous household Indicator (INGDWTD).

## Mortgage and rent

Occupied private dwellings where at least one person was Aboriginal and/or Torres

Strait Islander

| Median weekly rent | 258 | -- | 270 | -- | 250 | -- |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median monthly mortgage <br> repayments | 2,000 | -- | 1,733 | -- | 1,660 | -- |

In Yeronga, for dwellings occupied by Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander people, the median weekly rent was $\$ 258$ and the median monthly mortgage repayment was $\$ 2,000$.

View the data quality statements for: Rent weekly_payments (RNTD). Mortgage monthly repayments (MRED). Indigenous household Indicator (INGDWTD).

Small random adjustments have been made to all cell values to protect the confidentiality of data. These adjustments may cause the sum of rows or columns to differ by small amounts from the table totals. For further information, go to the User Guide for QuickStats.

Data reported for Australia and Other Territories now includes Norfolk Island, following an amendment to the Acts Interpretation Act, 1901. Because Norfolk Island has not previously been included in the Census, any 2011 benchmarks will not include Norfolk Island.

## More information

Further information can be obtained from EDQ via:

- website: www.edq.qld.gov.au/cip
- email: EDQ@dsdmip.qld.gov.au


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See section 8 of the ED Act.

[^1]:    2. Design, Construction and Maintenance Phases

    Minor Works
    Certification Scheme Audit and Compliance Fee $\$ 530$ (per application for each service)

[^2]:    ${ }^{11}$ Former Yeronga TAFE - 70 Park Road, Yeronga, Jacobs 2018, IH141300-E-CC-RP-0002|D

