August 2017

Performance Measurement Framework Final report



Department of State Development

RTI1819-073-DSDMIP - Document no. 1 of 43



Ms Bryony Hilless Executive Director Engagement Communication and Media Department of State Development 1 William Street Brisbane QLD 4000 By email: Bryony.Hilless@dsd.qld.gov.au

9 August 2017

Dear Bryony,

RE: Independent Review of the performance of the Department of State Development

I am pleased to provide you with our final report regarding the development of performance measures for the Department of State Development, and assessment of the Department's performance against the measures.

We have considered leading practice performance measurement frameworks from several jurisdictions, including reviewing specific measures reported by agencies similar to DSD, to develop a suite of tailored measures that will enable the Department to measure its effectiveness and tell a compelling performance story that will help to engage stakeholders and boost morale and sense of purpose among the Department's staff.

Our work did not constitute an audit or review in accordance with Australian Auditing Standards and consequently no assurance or audit opinion is expressed. Except where otherwise stated, we have not subjected the financial or other information contained in this report to checking or verification procedures. Accordingly, we assume no responsibility and make no representations with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the information in this report, except where otherwise stated. You may not make copies of this report available to other persons except as described in the Letter of Engagement and Terms of Business. Where provision has been made copies are subject to the conditions described therein. We will not accept any duty of care (whether in contract, tort (including negligence) or otherwise) to any person other than you, except under the arrangements described in the Letter of Engagement and Terms of Business.

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions about the report or our review.

Yours sincerely,

Refused under section 47(3)(b) of the

PricewaterhouseCoopers Consulting (Australia) Pty Limited, ABN 20 607 773 295 480 Queen Street, GPO BOX 150, Brisbane QLD 4001 T+61 7 3257 8100, F+61 7 3023 0883, UNIW. pwc.com.au

Liability limited by a scheme approved under Professional Standards Legislation.

Table of contents

	Background	4
	Strategic context	5
	Purpose of this report	6
2	Leading practice in performance measurement	7
	Public sector performance measurement	8
	Case study – Victoria	9
	Case study – New South Wales	10
	Case study – Commonwealth	11
	Case study – New Zealand	12
	Leading practice – the Commonwealth performance framework	13
	Leading practice – other global jurisdictions	14
3	Short-list of performance measures	15
	Objectives for the performance measures	16
	Achieving balanced performance measures	17
	Vision: Queensland's economy is Australia's strongest and most diverse	18
	Objective 1: Create an attractive investment environment	20
	Objective 2: Facilitate a pipeline of strategic projects	22
	Objective 3: Advance regional communities	23
	Objective 4: Lead strategic development of priority and emerging industries	24
	Objective 5: Develop and support agile DSD service delivery	25
4	Next steps	25
	Key considerations and current highlights	. 26
	Implementation roadmap	27
	Recommendations	28
5	Appendices	30
••••••	Appendix A: Victorian objective and output measures	31
	Appendix B: Methodology – effective measure refinement	32
	Appendix C: Long-list of performance measures	33
	Appendix D: Documents reviewed	42

3

1 Background

Strategic context

DSD's activities and expertise seek to support its strategic objectives and contribute to the Government achieving its commitments and priorities



Purpose of this report

A compelling performance story for the Department of State Development will aid morale, purpose and engagement in the Department and with diverse stakeholders

The Department of State Development maintains a focus on strengthening Queensland's economic performance, and has an ambitious vision around economic strength and diversity in a time of transition from traditional industries – resources, agriculture and tourism – to newer, knowledge-based and service industries.

To engage key stakeholders and influence policy, and maintain and improve staff morale, the Department needs to tell a compelling performance story that will inspire confidence that the Department understands its purpose, measures the right things to demonstrate its achievements, and is a responsible steward of public resources.

In public sector agencies in Australia and globally, focus has shifted from activity-based reporting, to outcomes-based reporting that allows agencies to 'tell their performance story'; that is, how effective they are over time. Cascading metrics from the high-level agency-wide purpose enables a thread to be drawn that transcends governments.

This report draws upon leading practice in public sector reporting in Australia and elsewhere, to develop a suite of metrics and outcome statements that are outcomesbased and focus largely on effectiveness.

The goal is to enable the Department to demonstrate how it makes an impact for Queensland, and how it helps to strengthen and diversify the economy. It will also help the Department determine where to focus its resources, and enable continuous improvement initiatives that are evidence based.

The audience for the resulting performance reporting will be:

- · The DSD Board and senior management
- · Departmental staff
- · External stakeholders, such as central agencies.

2 Leading practice in performance measurement

Public sector performance measurement

Leading practice performance measurement involves development of the right suite of metrics, which are regularly reported and inform continuous improvement in service delivery and investment decisions

Leading performance measurement frameworks should tell the performance story

Public sector performance measurement is an essential component of enabling Parliament, the public and other stakeholders to understand how well an agency is achieving its objectives. Done well, performance measurement and monitoring improve public confidence in public services, and supports continuous improvement in service delivery and investment decisions.

In terms of developing appropriate metrics for performance which will meet the requirement for public sector accountability, the Queensland Auditor-General has stated that:

Performance information should be relevant, reliable, balanced and understandable, so that users can readily determine whether services are being delivered efficiently and effectively.¹

Nationally and globally, public sectors are moving towards a focus on measuring and reporting the outcomes (sometimes termed objectives) of service provision; i.e. service effectiveness. All public sector performance frameworks reviewed, including the Queensland Government Performance Management Framework (PMF), emphasised the importance of measuring and reporting a mix of both efficiency and effectiveness measures to fully capture the extent to which outcomes are being achieved. Consistent with this, the Queensland Auditor-General stated that:

Expressing objectives that are centred on actions steers departments to monitor their progress through activity standards or quantity metrics, instead of standards of efficiency and effectiveness.²

The Commonwealth Government guidance emphasises the importance of monitoring measures that span short-, medium- and long-term time horizons, to be able to tell a meaningful 'performance story' that transcends government terms.³ Furthermore, in the interests of public sector accountability, the Commonwealth guidance reminds agencies that it is not necessary that the performance measures only encompass outcomes entirely within the control of a single agency. Since government activities are often complex and aim to achieve change over long time horizons, it is important to monitor and report on outcomes that will take time to achieve and/or involve various agencies or agencies and other partners.



Link all of the performance information in an agency to tell the 'whole story' of its performance over time

Be relevant to the agency's strategic context, its specific objectives and the outcomes delivered, with cascading measures for different services provided

Present a balanced suite of metrics comprising efficiency and effectiveness measures, lead and lag indicators at strategic, tactical and operational levels

Be underpinned by accurate, reliable and timely information/data to enable regular and timely reporting



i

Guide decision-making and enable a culture of performance measurement, usage and improvement within the organisation

^{1.} Auditor-General of Queensland. Report to parliament 18, 2013-14, page 1. Available at: https://www.qao.qld.gov.au/reports-parliament/monitoring-and-reporting-performance

^{2.} Ibid; page 22.

Source: Commonwealth of Australia Resource Management Guide No. 131: Developing good performance information. Available at: http://www.finance.gov.au/resource-management/performance/

Case study – Victoria

Victoria's Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources demonstrates aspects of leading practice performance management, but has not fully implemented public reporting against their Outcomes Framework

The Victorian Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources (DEDJTR) has a broader remit than DSD. DEDJTR brings together many of the key functions that drive economic development and job creation across Victoria. Areas of overlap with DSD include transport and ports, investment attraction and facilitation, innovation, regional development and services to key sectors (e.g. Resources).

DEDJTR has developed an agency-wide outcomes framework, consistent with guidance on outcomes measurement from Victoria's DPC. The Framework cascades down from the Department's vision, which describes the aspirational goals of the Department. Six domains cover the components of the vision critical to achieving success. Outcomes, indicators and measures link through to domains.

Data is central to the success of the Framework. The implementation of the Framework aims to:

- · Focus effort on what matters
- Improve investment decisions
- · Allow staff to determine if they are making genuine change for the State.

The Framework consists of five 10-year outcomes, descriptions of 'what success looks like' if these outcomes are achieved, and headline indicators against each outcome (summarised opposite). The Department is in the process of operationalising reporting against the headline indicators.

Currently, DEDJTR reports through its Annual Report and also via the *State Budget Paper No. 3 Service Delivery* (BP3). BP3 lists various medium-term measures, grouped under objectives and outputs, rather than outcomes. BP3 reports output measures. Reporting of the higher-level objective measures is via the Department's annual report. Objective and output measures that are relevant to DSD are summarised in **Appendix A**. A number of the measures reported represent 'activity measures' (the quantity of services provided) rather than outcome measures. The following table provides a summary of DEDJTR's outcomes framework.¹

 Adapted from the DEDJTR Outcomes Framework, available from: http://www.vic.gov.au/publicsectorreform/outcomes/dedjtr-departmental-outcomes-framework.html

10-year outcome	What does success look like?	Headline indicators
Victoria is prosperous and inclusive	 Victorians build and share in income and wealth Victorians participate in and are well connected to jobs and education Victorians have dignity and respect 	 Increased household incomes including for low income households Increased participation in employment Increased participation through business ownership
Victoria is liveable	 Victoria's places, towns and cities are accessible and well connected, diverse, resilient and safe Victoria's society is open and its culture is vibrant and diverse Victoria's natural environment is productively and ethically managed and enhanced for future generations 	 Improved connectivity and quality of transport in towns and cities Increased engagement with arts and cultural activities Improved sustainable use of natural resources
Victoria is productive	 Victoria's infrastructure and networks are efficient, reliable, well connected and digitally enabled Victoria's business environment is competitive 	 Increased productivity Improved public transport and road network reliability Enhanced confidence in the economy
Victoria is innovative	 Victorians are adaptive, knowledgeable and entrepreneurial Victorian businesses are inventive, responsive and diverse Victoria creates and diffuses knowledge through research, collaboration and commercialisation 	 Deepened level of knowledge and skills Enhanced level of business innovation
Victoria is globally connected	 Victoria is a desired source of exports and destination for foreign investment Victoria is a desired location for visitors and global talent Victorians learn from and contribute to world's best thinking 	 Increased exports by Victorian businesses Increased economic activity generated by tourism in Victoria Increased number of international students choosing to study in Victoria

Case study – New South Wales

Like Victoria, the New South Wales' Department of Industry has moved towards outcomes-based performance measurement but appears to be in a transitional phase for reporting

The Department of Industry leads the NSW government's contribution to making NSW a prosperous state recognised as a place of opportunity — a place where people want to live, have good jobs and businesses choose to invest and grow.

Vision: NSW – A place where the skills of its people, management of its natural resources and the quality of its government services make it a globally attractive location to live, learn, work, invest and to produce goods and services.

Purpose: Lead the State government's contribution to making NSW a fertile place for business growth, in order to create jobs and opportunities for citizens.

The Department of Industry is part of the Industry Cluster which reports together in *Budget paper 3 (BP3) - Industry Cluster*¹. Relevant measures from BP3 are listed below:

Sustaining the conditions for economic development

- Employment growth in New South Wales
- Growth in Gross State Product per capita
- Business confidence (leading Australian state).

Support for new and expanding businesses to create jobs in NSW

- · Grow foreign direct investment into New South Wales
- Clients assisted through small business advocacy and dispute resolution services per year
- · Funds invested by Jobs for NSW
- · Number of jobs created through foreign direct investment
- · New South Wales businesses participating in export capability building programs.

The table below lists goals, outcomes and measures from the Department of Industry's 2015-2019 Corporate Plan that are relevant to DSD's remit².

The most recent annual report available was for 2015-16; in this report the Department of Industry reported against targets for job creation and business confidence.

1. New South Wales Government BP3 Industry Cluster, available at: https://www.budget.nsw.gov.au/nsw-budget-2017-18-budget-papers

2. https://www.industry.nsw.gov.au/about/our-business/our-priorities/corporate-plan

Strategic	Goal	Outcomes	Measures	Source
priority Act to enable job creation and opportunities for economic growth	Engage and collaborate, facilitating mutually beneficial relationships	 Economic growth through innovation that improves resilience and boosts productivity Incentives and support provided for new and expanding businesses to create jobs in NSW Increased industry capabilities to reach new markets and support regional development Diverse, reliable and sustainable energy sources are secured 	Creation of 150,000 new jobs, including 30,000 in regional NSW Increased value of gross state product for key industry sectors	ABS
Create a positive business environment	Support government decision making for infrastructure, regulation and priorities with information about economic opportunities and challenges	 Economic growth by ensuring sustainable use of and access to natural resources Quality regulatory and operational frameworks including robust compliance and enforcement, delivering greater certainty for business, industry and the community Risks to community and industry confidence mitigated and managed Government decision-making that is evidence based, timely and tareeted 	Increased business confidence	Composite of NAB Monthly business survey; ANZ - Roy Morgan business index; Sensis Business index
Engage and collaborate, facilitating mutually beneficial relationships	Reach out to industry, the community and other parts of government, to deepen understanding and strengthen collaboration	Productive partnerships with	Increased customer satisfaction	
				1(

Case study – Commonwealth

The Commonwealth Department of Industry, Innovation and Science uses a three-tier performance framework to understand the Department's performance in increasing levels of detail

The Department's vision is: to enable growth and productivity for globally competitive industries. To help realise this vision, the Department has four objectives:

- · supporting science and commercialisation
- · growing business investment and improving business capability
- streamlining regulation
- · building a high performance organisation.

The Department uses a hierarchy of performance criteria to measure and assess its performance, with three levels of performance criteria:

- Level 1 Ultimate outcome How well Australia is performing with regard to the Department's outcome
- Level 2 Intermediate outcome measure and assess impact of the Department's programs
- Level 3 Immediate outcome and output effectiveness of the Department's subprograms and their outputs.

The first and second levels of performance criteria are used to monitor key trends and conditions within the areas of the Department's policy responsibility. These performance criteria will generate performance information to achieve an improved understanding of "where we are" and "where we need to take action" to achieve the Department's vision.

The third level of performance criteria is used to measure the effectiveness of the Department's activities and components, such as policy advice, initiatives, services, administered programs, and projects. Through this level of performance criteria, the Department's contributions to achieving its vision and purposes, attributable to specific activities and components, will be measured and assessed.

The table below shows Departmental purposes, intended results (outcomes) and performance criteria (measures) that are relevant to DSD's remit.¹ These are level 1 and level 2 measures.

Intended result	Performance criterion (measure)			
Economic growth	Growth in real gross domestic product			
	Growth in gross value added by industry			
Productivity	Growth in labour productivity			
Export competitiveness	Exports of goods and services			
 Growth in the value- added of knowledge intensive industries 	 Gross value added and employment by knowledge-intensive industries 			
Growth in new private sector investment	Private gross fixed capital formation			
 Increased foreign direct investment in Australia 	 Foreign direct investment as a share of annual GDP 			
 Improvement in Australia's ranking in 	 Australia's ranking in World Bank ease of doing business index 			
international Performance indices	 Australia's ranking in Global Innovation Index 			
	 Australia's ranking in the Global Entrepreneurship Monitor (GEM) indicato of total early-stage entrepreneurship activity 			
	 Economic growth Productivity Export competitiveness Growth in the value- added of knowledge intensive industries Growth in new private sector investment Increased foreign direct investment in Australia Improvement in Australia's ranking in international 			

Department of Industry, Innovation and Science Annual Report 2015–16. Available at: https://industry.gov.au/AboutUs/CorporatePublications/AnnualReports/AnnualReport201516/Annual-Report-2015-16.pdf

Case study - New Zealand

New Zealand's Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment used outcomes based measures, which are supported with reporting against longer-term and medium-term intermediate outcomes

Similarly to Victoria's DEDJTR, New Zealand's Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) has a broad remit compared to DSD. Relevant areas include:

- · Building and construction (includes natural disaster recovery)
- · Infrastructure and growth
- Sectors and industries
- · Business (includes business attraction and growth)
- Housing and property (includes Crown Land Development Programme and Urban Development Authority).

MBIE's purpose is underpinned by a concrete aim and objectives, all of which support outcomes-based performance reporting:

Purpose: to grow New Zealand for all

Aim: support an increase in real median household income of 40 per cent by 2025 (from \$1300 in 2012 to \$1800 per week).¹

Objectives:

- More competitive businesses doubling labour productivity growth and increasing the real exports to gross domestic product (GDP) ratio to 40 per cent
- Job opportunities for all achieving an ongoing unemployment rate below 4 per cent
- Affordable housing a lower ratio of housing cost to income, including social housing – growing and regulating the Community Housing sector.

Accountability against the purpose, aim and objectives is supported by reporting against longer-term outcomes and medium-term intermediate outcomes. Outcomes, intermediate outcomes and measures that are relevant to DSD are summarised in the table opposite. The full suite can be found in the MBIE Statement of Intent.¹

1. Source: MBIE Statement of Intent 2015-2019, available at: http://www.mbie.govt.nz/publicationsresearch/publications/mbie-corporate/Statement%200f%20Intent%202015-2019.pdf

Outcomes	Immediate measure	Measure
More supportive and dynamic environment	Well-regulated and competitive markets Increased business and consumer confidence in markets	 Improve New Zealand's position in the World Economic Forum (WEF) Global Competitiveness Report Improve New Zealand's position in the WEF Global Competitiveness Index of the burden of government regulation Improve confidence of New Zealanders in financial markets
	Business find it easier to access and develop markets	 More businesses that sought debt finance obtained it on acceptable terms More businesses that sought equity finance obtained it on acceptable terms A 25% reduction in the cost of doing business with government relative to other businesses by 2017 Maintain New Zealand's position in the World Bank Ease of Doing Business survey
	Well-regulated labour markets	Improvement in New Zealand's rank in the WEF Global Competitiveness Labour Market Efficiency Indicator
	Increased international trade and investment	 Increasing value of exports to 40% of gross domestic product (GDP) by 2025 An increase in the level of foreign direct investment
An increased number of highly skilled people and innovative firms	Improved labour force participation Increase people's skills in line with business needs	 Increasing labour participation of Pacific peoples Reducing the proportion of young people not in employment, education, and training (NEET) Increasing the percentage of workers in skilled jobs Decreasing the percentage of firms that find it harder to get skilled staff
	Greater investment by businesses in skilled workers and research and development	 Increasing business expenditure on research and development as a percentage of gross domestic product
More productive and prosperous	Increased knowledge intensive activities and exports	Measure under development
sectors, regions and people	More dynamic and better connected regional economies	 Positive trends in regional employment Positive trends in regional gross domestic product (GDP) per capita Positive trends in regional household incomes
	Increased and inclusive economic growth of the Auckland region	 Increase in productivity in the Auckland region (GDP per hours worked) Increase in innovation in the Auckland region (patents per million population)
	Increased and resilient economic growth of the Canterbury region	 Increasing Canterbury region GDP Increasing Canterbury region GDP per capita Improving performance against the Canterbury Region Manufacturing Index Improving performance against the Canterbury Region Construction Index Increasing numbers of skilled jobs in the Canterbury region as a proportion of total employment
	Increased economic growth for Māori, whānau and business	 Increasing median weekly income for Māori as a percentage of the national median Increasing Māori participation in the workforce

DSD | Performance Measurement Framework

Leading practice – the Commonwealth performance framework

The Commonwealth Department of Finance has developed guidance to support agencies to implement the Enhanced Commonwealth Performance Framework



DSD | Performance Measurement Framework

RTI1819-073-DSDMIP - Document no. 13 of 43

Leading practice – other global jurisdictions

Internationally, governments are adopting performance reporting frameworks and moving towards outcomes-based performance reporting to increase transparency and influence decision making and continuous improvement

Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISEDC) has multiple entities (for example, 17 agencies and organisations) that have distinct mandates, with program activities that are diverse and highly dependent on partnerships. Although ISEDC's remit is broader than that of DSD, similarities exist between the two Departments with ISEDC's 'economic development' priorities focused on assisting Canadian businesses to grow, innovate and export so that they can create good quality jobs and wealth for Canadians.

Canada

The Management Accountability Framework (MAF)¹ is a framework for management excellence, accompanied by an annual assessment of management practices and performance in most departments and agencies of the Government of Canada. Under this framework, Canadian Departments are moving towards Outcomes based reporting. Using the MAF. ISEDC is also moving moved to outcomes-based reporting. Outcomesbased measures tracked by ISEDC² which are relevant to DSD include:

- Targets (both gualitative and guantitative) for leveraged industry investment for infrastructure and business support projects
- Economic outcomes from government procurement; e.g. extent to which economic impacts are evaluated when undertaking Defence procurement
- Number of jobs created and maintained due to relevant program spend.
- Benchmarking of industry sectors indicating their capacity to prepare for and respond to risks and opportunities in domestic and global markets.
- Community economic development e.g. Dollars of investment leveraged per program dollar disbursed; Number of jobs created and maintained in Northern Ontario through FedNor programming investments
- Internal services ISEDC are tracking progress in four areas: Innovation 2020; Agile Workforce; Sound Stewardship; and Operating as One.

Source: https://www.canada.ca/en/treasury-board-secretariat/services/management-accountability-1. framework.html Source: https://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/017.nsf/eng/h_07557.html

Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada Department of Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy The Department of Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (DBEIS) plays a coordination role, working with 46 agencies and government bodies. It is responsible for delivering some major projects. Despite the Department reporting on financial performance for the major portfolio projects¹, it does not appear that DBEIS are publicly reporting on outcomes-based performance measures. The UK National Audit Office's (NAO) good practice guides for measuring performance in public sector agencies states that there are three key aspects required for strong for performance measurement: 1. The performance measurement framework management tool linking all the performance information in the organisation 2. Reporting on performance information - which requires good quality data performance 3. Use of performance information - which requires nformation performance and financial information to be linked. Similarly, the UK NAO's 'FABRIC' model provides a prompt for the elements of a good performance measurement framework: Focused on the organisation's aims and objectives; any performance measures used should map clearly onto objectives and priorities Appropriate to, and useful for, decision makers within the organisation, and meeting the needs of stakeholders outside the organisation Balanced: giving a picture of what the organisation is doing, covering all significant areas of work Robust: for example to withstand organisational or personnel changes Integrated with the organisation's business planning and management processes Cost-effective: balancing the benefits of performance information against costs. 1. Source: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/beis-government-major-projects-portfolio-data-2017

United Kingdom

DSD | Performance Measurement Framework

3 Short-list of performance measures

Objectives for the performance measures

Performance measures should allow the Department to measure its progress and articulate its success, to engage both staff and the Executive/Board, and to inform future strategic and operational decisions

DSD objectives for the performance measures

Measuring performance is essential to ensuring that Government achieves value for money for services, regardless of whether they are delivered within or outside the public sector. The key to successful performance measurement is the effective articulation of outcomes and outputs against which progress and costs are measured.

A strong suite of performance measures for the Department should:

- reflect the key priorities of government, your strategic objectives and the operational outcomes sought
- provide the Executive and Board with the 'whole story' of the Department's performance over time
- engage employees and focus their attention on what matters most to the Department's success
- create a shared understanding and common language for communication around
 performance matters across the Department
- allow measurement of the Department's achievements (success) and not just the work that is performed
- enable continuous improvement and inform strategy, program and policy choices, and resourcing decisions over time.

Further information on effective performance measurement development and refinement can be found at **Appendix B**.

Existing measures

In devising a revised set of outcomes-based performance measures for the Department, we have considered the existing performance documents provided to us by DSD. These include:

- Exiting DSD performance metrics and measures¹
- · The Department's Strategic Plan 2017-2021
- The Department's Strategic objectives, focus initiatives and end of year outcome document²

We have developed a suite of performance measures using a framework which is outlined on the next page. This framework was developed through examining leading practice across other jurisdictions in Australia and internationally. In developing the measures, we have considered a mix of strategic, operational and tactical measures. We have also considered efficiency and effectiveness measures, and assigned a level influence that DSD has in achieving that measure, whether its controlling, or influencing the outcome, or responding to changes in the economy.

Performance measures

The long-list of performance measures developed can be found at **Appendix C**. The long-list details the links between objective, strategy, 'what success looks like' and the resultant measures.

A focused list of performance measures for the Department will create a compelling performance story while ensuring that the effort of collating and reporting the data is manageable. To this end, a 'short-list' of performance measures which provides a snapshot of DSD's performance across all five objectives can be found on pages 17 to 23. The Department's performance against each of these metrics has been measured using the most recent data available, and where possible, against a baseline of 2015 performance data. This data, and the associated time series and graphs can be found within the reporting tool which was developed as part of this engagement. It is envisaged that this reporting tool will be actively utilised by DSD to continue to monitor performance against the short list of performance measures.

^{1.} List of performance metrics and indicators provided to PwC at initial project meeting 4 July 2017

Strategic objectives, focus initiatives and end of year outcome document completed by Prominence Consulting and provided to PwC at initial project meeting 4 July 2017

Achieving balanced performance measures

We have identified a balanced suite of metrics comprising efficiency and effectiveness measures which reflect the organisations performance at strategic, tactical and operational levels

Strategic, tactical and operational measures

To tell a comprehensive performance story, it is important that agencies consider measures that reflect the hierarchy of goals and initiatives detailed in their strategic plans.

Considering the Department of State Development's strategic context, we have devised measures at the level of the whole-of-Department vision (strategic measures), at the level of the four outward-looking Departmental objectives (tactical measures) and for the inwardly-focused objective relating to Departmental support services (operational measures).

The strategic and tactical measures demonstrate the organisation's impact to the Executive and Staff. Operational level measures for individual outward-facing programs are more appropriately developed by program managers in relation to specific programs and initiatives.



Efficiency and effectiveness measures

Applying definitions from Australian Auditing Standards:

- Efficiency means the use of resources such that output is optimised for any given set of resource inputs, or input is minimised for any given quantity and quality of output.
- Effectiveness means the achievement of the objectives or other intended effects of activities at a program or entity level.

Considering the Department's aim of engaging stakeholders and building morale and a sense of purpose, the measures in this report focus largely on effectiveness.

The Queensland Auditor-General states that client satisfaction measures represent output-based quality measurement and that '*client satisfaction... is a weak measure of service quality and so an even weaker proxy for outcome effectiveness*'.¹ For this reason, the measures developed do not incorporate a large number of client satisfaction measures. It is recommended that this continues to be monitored through client surveys.

Control, influence and respond

According to leading practice guidance from the Commonwealth Department of Finance, in order to capture the complexity of public sector service provision it is important that agencies do not avoid measuring parameters that are relevant but not fully within their control.

For this reason we have included measures where the Department influences rather than controls the outcome. This will enable the Department to consider its contribution to broader economic outcomes either in partnership with other agencies, private sector organisations, or both.

Further, some measures capture external changes that the Department should respond to in order to promote economic stability or growth.

1. Queensland Audit Office Report to Parliament 18, 2013-14, Monitoring and reporting performance. Available at https://www.qao.qld.gov.au/reports-parliament/monitoring-and-reporting-performance

DSD | Performance Measurement Framework

Vision: Queensland's economy is Australia's strongest and most diverse (1 of 2)



Assessing success in achieving the Department's vision involves the monitoring of high-level, long-term outcomes which the Department influences or responds to, rather than controls

Vision / objective	Measure	Data source	Target ²	Rationale for inclusion in short list	Reporting frequency	Time horizon ³	Current period performance
Vision: Queensland's economy is Australia's strongest and most diverse ¹	Growth in household disposable income per capita	ABS Cat. No. 5220	Year on year growth at or above national average (%) This target was selected to provide a benchmark	Indicator of Queensland's competitiveness	Annually	Long-term	 Below target⁴ 0.5 percentage points below average Qld 1.2% vs 1.7% national
	Unemployment rate by region	ABS Cat. No. 6291.0.55.001	Year on year reduction in unemployment rate per region (%) This target was selected to provide a benchmark	Given DSD's focus on regional economies this is an important indicator of success or areas to invest for DSD	Monthly	Long-term	 Partially met⁵ 5 out of 10 regions where unemployment fell year on year
	Labour market participation	QGSO Labour Force brief	Participation rate at or above national average (%) This target was selected to provide a benchmark	High participation rates are a good indicator of strength and diversity of an economy	Monthly	Long-term	 On target⁶ Qld and national rates were both 64.9% for June 2017
	Business confidence	Sensis Business Index	Business confidence at or above national average This target was selected to provide a benchmark	Indicator of the state of the economy, measuring the level of optimism or pessimism that business owners feel about the prospects of their companies	Quarterly	Short-term	 Below target⁷ 1 percentage point below 56% Qld vs 57% National for June 2017
	Business investment	ABS Cat. No. 5206	Growth in business investment at or above national average This target was selected to provide a benchmark	Changes to business investment tend to lag business confidence, making both parameters important to measure	Quarterly	Medium- term	 On target Qld 0.01% between Dec- 2016 and Mar-2017 quarters vs flat national.

7. Sensis Business Index for June Quarter 2017.

DSD | Performance Measurement Framework

^{1.} Source: Department of State Development Service Delivery Statement 2017-18

^{2.} Rationale for each Target is provided in italic text below listed target within table.

^{3.} Time horizon refers to the time span over which significant trends would be expected to emerge. Long term: 5-10 years. Medium-term: 2-4 years. Short-term: within one year.

^{4.} ABS Catalogue No. 5220, Australian National Accounts: State Accounts, 2015-16. Current period represents the 2015-16 financial year.

^{5.} ABS Catalogue No. 6291.0.55.001 Labour Force, Australia, Detailed - Electronic Delivery. Current period represents the month ending June 2017.

^{6.} Queensland Government Statistician's Office, Labour Force, June 2017. Current period represents the month ending June 2017.

Vision: Queensland's economy is Australia's strongest and most diverse (2 of 2)



Assessing success in achieving the Department's vision involves the monitoring of high-level, long-term outcomes which the Department influences, rather than controls

Vision / objective	Measure	Data source	Target	Rationale for inclusion in short list	Reporting frequency	Time horizon	Current period performance
Vision: Queensland's economy is Australia's strongest and most diverse ¹	Growth in GSP per capita	ABS Cat. No. 5220 and ABS. Cat. No. 6401.	Growth at or above Consumer Price Index (CPI) rate (%) This target was selected to provide a benchmark	Indicator of economic growth per capita relative to the inflation rate	Annually ²	Long-term	 On target³ GSP per capita growth of 0.7% in 2015-16
	Contribution of industry value added to growth in real GSP	ABS Cat. No. 5220	Maintain or increase proportion of industry sectors making a positive contribution to GSP <i>This target was selected to</i> <i>provide a benchmark</i>	A measure of industry diversification; a key component of DSD's vision	Annually	Long-term	 On target⁴ 11 of 19 ANZSIC industries with positive contributions as at June 2016 11 of 19 ANZSIC industries with positive contributions as at June 2015
	Total value of Queensland merchandise exports	QGSO Exports of Queensland goods overseas brief	Year on year growth in total value of Queensland merchandise exports (%) <i>This target was selected to</i> <i>provide a benchmark</i>	Indicator of international market for Queensland products and openness to innovation	Monthly	Medium- term	 On target ↑ 32.2% value over year ending May 2017

^{1.} Source: Department of State Development Service Delivery Statement 2017-18

^{2.} CPI collected quarterly, GSP per capita collected annually

^{3.} ABS Catalogue No. 5220, Australian National Accounts: State Accounts, 2015-16. Current period represents the 2015-16 financial year. Chain volume measures data series.

^{4.} ABS Catalogue No. 5220, Australian National Accounts: State Accounts, 2015-16. Current period represents the 2015-16 financial year.



Objective 1: Create an attractive investment environment

Tactical outcome measures at the objective level contain a mix of factors that the Department influences or controls

Vision / objective	Measure	Data source	Target	Rationale for inclusion in short list	Reporting frequency	Time horizon	Current period performance
Objective Objective 1: Create an attractive investment environment	Increased leverage of government funding for new ventures	DSD contracts information	5% year on year growth in industry funding compared to government funding 5% growth was selected to ensure that growth is in real terms (i.e. above inflation)	Growth in leverage indicates DSD is successfully promoting Queensland as a place to do business, and effectively targeting industries/businesses able to invest	Quarterly	Medium-term	 Baseline year for AQIAF¹ Ratio of 17.7 to 1 industry to Government funding for AQIAF.
	Growth in new investment resulting from DSD facilitation services and assistance	DSD contracts information	5% year on year growth in private sector investment resulting from facilitation 5% growth was selected to ensure that growth is in real terms (i.e. above inflation)	Measures effectiveness of DSD's facilitation and negotiation services and the extent to which the services meet industry needs	Annually	Medium-term	 On target² Growth of 16.38% year on year from 2015-16 to 2016-17. Decrease of 20.06% year on year from 2014-15 to 2015-16.

^{1.} AQIAF data provided by DSD. No other data was provided as at close of business Thursday 3 August 2018.

^{2.} Source: Department of State Development Service Delivery Statement 2017-18. Measure: Value of private sector capital investment leveraged through industry facilitation.



Objective 2: Facilitate a pipeline of strategic projects

The Department's work across sectors and with industry partners means that many outcomes are influenced rather than controlled

Vision / objective	Measure	Data source	Target	Rationale for inclusion in short list	Reporting frequency	Time horizon	Current period performance
Objective 2: Facilitate a pipeline of strategic projects	Growth in the major projects pipeline	DSD contracts / finance system data	5% year on year growth in pipeline value (\$) 5% growth was selected to ensure that growth is in real terms (i.e. above inflation)	Measure of industry interest in Queensland and effectiveness with which DSD targets funding and manages the pipeline	Quarterly	Short-term	 Below target¹ 12% decrease Decrease of \$19.8 million in 2017-18 compared to 2016-17
	Jobs generated through major and complex projects facilitated and coordinated by DSD	Contract reporting	5% year on year growth in jobs created through large scale/complex projects coordinated, prescribed and approved by DSD 5% growth was selected to ensure that growth is in real terms (i.e. above inflation)	Measures flow-on benefits from investment in infrastructure	Quarterly	Short-term	 Insufficient provided by DSD to enable assessment of performance against this measure²

^{1.} Data provided by Major Projects and Property, Department of Sate Development.

Data provided was for anticipated jobs to be created in the future, or in some instances, for estimated jobs currently created. We recommend that DSD commence collecting data on actual jobs generated; for example, by requiring proponents to report on this parameter.



Objective 3: Advance regional communities

A focus on effectiveness measures at strategic and tactical levels will tell a compelling performance story

Vision / objective	Measure	Data source	Target	Rationale for inclusion in short list	Reporting frequency	Time horizon	Current period performance
Objective 3: Advance regional communities	Growth in employment in Queensland regions resulting from DSD facilitation services and assistance	TBD (internal DSD data) ¹	 5% year on year growth in jobs created per region as a result of facilitation services 5% growth was selected to ensure that growth is in real terms (i.e. above inflation) 	Employment is a key indicator of economic strength; measuring jobs resulting from DSD's service will measure how effectively DSD is boosting regional economies	Annually	Long-term	 Data not available to enable growth or regional split of jobs to be determined 2016-17 statewide baseline: 951 jobs1
	Ratio of eligible funding applications to funds available for DSD grants programs	Grants program data	Ratio >1 for each program Ratio of >1 indicates high level of interest in Program	A measure of effectiveness of targeting of grants programs, as well as of marketing / raising awareness. Likely to include significant expenditure across all grants programs.	Quarterly	Short-term	 On target (2 programs)² Biofutures Accelerator Program (BAP): Ratio 11.6 Building our Regions (BoR): Ratio 1.6.
	Reduction in percentage of unemployed youth per region	ABS Cat. No. 6202	Year on year reduction in youth unemployment per region <i>This target was selected</i> <i>to provide a benchmark</i>	NZ equivalent department (MBIE) reports a similar measure	Annually	Long-term	 Partially met³ Reduction in SEQ North, SEQ South, Mackay, Far North over year ending June 2017.
	Percentage of pre- existing businesses that continue / re- commence operations after a	Australian Business Register	100% of businesses continue / re-commence operating after a natural disaster	Ineffective disaster recovery can have a flow on effect to regional populations, employment opportunities and therefore long-term economic consequences	Annually	Medium-term	 To be tracked as need arises. Target adjusted depending on severity of natural disaster.
	natural disaster		Indicates strong economic recovery				

Source: 2017-18 Service Delivery Statement for Department of State Development. The measure 'Estimated number of jobs enabled through industry facilitation was a new measure in the 2017-17 SDS and therefore this is the first year for which an actual value was available at a statewide level in the SDS. The SDS was used to provide aggregate statewide data for jobs enabled through industry facilitation because no data had been provided at a more granular level per region as at close of business Thursday 3 August 2017.

^{2.} As at close on business Monday 7 August 2017, BAP and BoR were the only programs with discrete external funding rounds for which data was available. Data was also provided for Advance Queensland Industry Attraction Fund and Jobs and Regional Growth Fund; however as these funds are not administered via external rounds with defined timeframes, they were not suitable for analysis under this measure. Data for Made in Queensland were provided but due to the recent launch of this program it is not yet suitable to be measured.

^{3.} Data provided by Economic Policy and Research, Department of Sate Development.

Objective 4: Lead strategic development of priority and emerging industries



Effectiveness measures for this objective focus on measuring how well the Department identifies future economic needs and targets funds accordingly

Vision / objective	Measure	Data source	Target	Rationale for inclusion in short list	Reporting frequency	Time horizon	Current period performance
Objective 4: Lead strategic development of priority and emerging industries	Growth in employment in the six priority industries	DSD data collection	5% year on year growth 5% growth was selected to ensure that growth is in real terms (i.e. above inflation)	The six industry roadmaps are a key DSD initiative with the important aim of encouraging economic transition; they are therefore a key component of DSD's ability to achieve its vision.	Monthly	Long-term	 Partially met Growth in METS and Biomedical >5% YoY
	Growth in value of GSP for the six priority industries	DSD data collection	 >5% year on year growth 5% growth was selected to ensure that growth is in real terms (i.e. above inflation) 	NSW's equivalent department tracks a similar measure (GSP for key industry sectors)	Annually	Long-term	 Partially met Growth in Aerospace, Defence and Biomedical >5% YoY
	Queensland performance in manufacturing	ABS Cat. No. 5204.0 and ABS Cat. No. 5220.0	Queensland to be above the national average rate of growth (year on year) This target was selected to provide a benchmark	Direct effectiveness measures to assess how well targeted transitional strategies are. Manufacturing indices are leading indicators of economic performance.	Annually	Medium-term	 Below target¹ Queensland growth rate between 2014-15 and 2015-16: -3.3% Australian growth rate between 2014-15 and 2015-16: -2.7%

1. Source: ABS Catalogue No. 5204.0 - Australian System of National Accounts and ABS Catalogue No. 5220.0 - Australian National Accounts: State Accounts, 2015-16.

Objective 5: Develop and support agile DSD service delivery

For support services, efficiency is important to ensure that funds going to core service delivery can be maximised

Vision / objective	Measure	Data source	Target	Rationale for inclusion in short list	Reporting frequency	Time horizon	Current period performance
Objective 5: Develop and support agile DSD service delivery	Job empowerment ¹	Working for Queensland Survey data	Job Empowerment ¹ score above Queensland public sector average This target was selected to provide a benchmark	Key measure of internal morale benchmarked across the public sector	Annually	Medium-term	 On target 2 percentage points above the state average
	Percentage of risks that progress to become issues	DSD data collection	Zero risks progress to issues	Measures effectiveness of internal governance process that DSD can track over time High performance in this measure would promote high staff confidence in Departmental leadership	Monthly	Short-term	 Insufficient data available to assess performance against this measure. Recommend that DSE commence data collection

1. 2016 Working for Queensland survey data was released on 18 July 2017. We have used 'job empowerment' as the measure as it is available across all time series. The 'job engagement and satisfaction' score was not available in the 2016 data set therefore a comparison between years was not possible.



RTI1819-073-DSDMIP - Document no. 25 of 43

Key considerations and current highlights

In addition to commencing reporting and monitoring of the measures contained in the short-list, the Department can implement other changes to align more closely to leading practice in public sector performance measurement, while celebrating current successes

Considerations

A diagram showing logical next steps is provided on the following page.

A reporting tool has been developed based on the short-list of performance measures which were agreed by the Department of State Development. This reporting tool has been populated with the most recent performance data available to provide a baseline of the Department's performance and provides a template for future reporting. The tool contains graphical representations of performance against the metrics for which suitable data had been provided by close of business Thursday 3 August 2017.

Moving forward, the Department should nominate a team which is responsible for owning the reporting tool. Similarly, the Department should assign responsibility to teams to own specific metrics; these teams would then be responsible for collecting the data relevant to those metrics. Top-down communications regarding an increased focus on performance reporting will aid in the uptake and ownership of performance data collection amongst Departmental staff.

There were some metrics identified within the short-list where there was insufficient data available to measure performance. These have been identified in the last column in the tables of short-list measures on pages 17 to 23. It is recommended that the Department begin collecting and collating data to be able to measure these metrics in the future.

The reporting tool has been developed with a 'dashboard' which provides a graphical snapshot of the performance metrics, to enable a quick download of performance data as required. It is recommended that the owners of the reporting tool have a good understanding of how to produce the graphical 'dashboard' once the data has been populated. We are happy to provide a handover training session to the team that will be responsible for the reporting tool as part of this engagement.

It is envisaged that the agreed short-list of performance measures and the associated reporting tool will assist the Department to regularly collect and report on performance against the vision and strategic objectives.

Current highlights

Assessment of DSD performance against the measures showed a number of areas where performance is on target, or progressing well towards the target. Notable examples are:

- Business investment in Queensland is on target as at the March 2017 quarter, growing above the national average rate. The Department contributes to this result through initiatives that aim to attract new investment into Queensland, including attracting businesses to relocate or start up in Queensland.
- The Department's relevant grants programs¹ were above target in terms of eligible applications for funding exceeding the funding available, indicating that grants programs are well-targeted to address business needs, and well-promoted by the Department.
- The Department has scored above the Queensland public sector average for Job Empowerment in the Working for Queensland survey.
- Performance relating to the six priority industries identified by the Department as being key to Queensland's long-term economic performance are progressing towards employment and GSP contribution targets, despite these industries being a relatively recent focus of the Department.
- Data from the Service Delivery Statement indicated that between 2015-16 and 2016-17 there was growth of over 16% in private sector capital investment leveraged through industry facilitation.

Programs with public funding rounds for which data were provided were the Biofutures Accelerator Program and Building our Regions.

Implementation roadmap

We recommend a phased approach to maximise the potential for achieving the most effective outcome.



Recommendations (1 of 2)

In addition to commencing reporting and monitoring of the measures contained in the short-list, the Department can implement other changes to align more closely to leading practice in public sector performance measurement

Recommendations

Performance measurement and reporting are the final steps in the strategic and operational planning processes. In future years, the Department should consider the following approaches to planning and reporting, in order to improve clarity for all stakeholders, increase buy-in, and ensure transparency and accountability.

Performance focus areas

We recommend prioritising efforts to lift performance in the following areas:

- Continue to build upon encouraging early performance in employment growth and GSP growth for the six priority industries, aiming to fully meet these targets in the future
- · Focus on improving Queensland's manufacturing performance
- · Focus on meeting the target for growth in the major projects pipeline
- Focus on measuring performance of facilitation services (refer also to data recommendations on next page).

In addition, we recommend that:

Strategy

- For future strategic planning cycles, the Department use workshops to ensure all stakeholders fully understand DSD's purpose/objectives and can contribute aligned performance metrics if required
- Organisational purposes / objectives should be worded as clearly as possible, avoiding ambiguity.

Program and performance management

- DSD investigate setting up a dedicated team to monitor and report performance across the agency. This team may also include a program management office (PMO) function to have oversight of the performance of all programs including monitoring progress against time and budget, but also effectiveness measures and benefits realisation. During the present review, data for program performance was in many cases not able to be provided in a timely manner to enable performance assessment, and there may be no consolidated understanding of what programs are underway and the aggregate performance of DSD in relation to its programs, many of which involve significant funding envelopes.
- Expenditure should be tracked across all programs to form a consolidated view of budget status
- Comprehensive evaluations for high-value programs should be implemented. This
 approach is aligned to leading practice Commonwealth Government guidance.¹

^{1.} Source: Commonwealth of Australia Resource Management Guide No. 131: Developing good performance information

Recommendations (2 of 2)

In addition to commencing reporting and monitoring of the measures contained in the short-list, the Department can implement other changes to align more closely to leading practice in public sector performance measurement

Recommendations (continued)

Measures and data

We recommend that the Department as a priority commence collecting and analysing data for the shortlisted measures where data is not currently readily available, so that baseline performance can be understood. Medium and long-term measures that will outlast government terms should be monitored and reported to ensure a longitudinal view of performance is presented.

- For any shortlisted measures where data are not currently available, DSD should commence data capture and automate where possible. In particular:
 - Regional breakdown of jobs generated through facilitation services; for example by requiring proponents to provide this information on an actuals basis both during construction and also once projects have been completed and commenced operation.
 - Actual job numbers generated through major and complex projects facilitated and coordinated by DSD; for example by requiring proponents to provide this information on an actuals basis both during construction and also once projects have been completed and commenced operation. At present, only estimates are collected.
 - Grants programs: ensure that data are available for all relevant grants programs to enable ratio of eligible applications to available funding to be calculated across the whole portfolio of relevant grant programs.
 - Percentage of enterprise-level risks that progress to issues. The officer from Risk and Contract Management within DSD who was consulted regarding this information advised that this measure is likely to be straightforward to implement and was supportive that it would be valuable to monitor this parameter.

- For ongoing reporting, conduct an assessment of the integrity of all underlying data sets
- Business cases / proposals for projects should include requirement for economic analysis to forecast long-run benefits. Such long-run benefits should be an evaluation criterion for such proposals. These benefits should be monitored and managed, for example through a PMO.

5 Appendices



Appendix A: Victorian objective and output measures

The following table summarises measures in the 2017-18 State Budget Paper No. 3 Service Delivery that are relevant to DSD's activities.

Dbjective / output	Measures
Objective 3. Grow Victoria's economy and Victorian jobs by working with the private and public sectors to foster investment, trade and innovation	Gross state product
	Number of Victorians in jobs
	Jobs and investment resulting from government facilitation services and assistance
	Export sales generated from government programs
	Economic projects developed and delivered
	Engagement with growth orientated businesses
Relevant objective 3 outputs:	Relevant measures:
Industry and Enterprise Innovation	Quantity: Number of companies or new entrants supported through the LaunchVic initiative
Jobs and Investment (BP3 p143)	Quantity: Jobs resulting from government investment facilitation services and assistance
	Quantity: New Australian/International regional
	headquarters of firms and/or research development centres attracted to Victoria
	Quantity: New investment resulting from government facilitation services and assistance
	Quantity: Social enterprises assisted
	Quantity: Victoria's market share of nominated investor and business migrants
	Quality: Client satisfaction with services delivered to support industry transition
	Quality: Client satisfaction with investor, business and skilled migration services provided
ajor projects (BP3 p145)	Quantity: Number of economic projects in delivery
	Quality: Economic projects being delivered in accordance with contracted cost (within 5 per cent variation)
	Quality: Economic projects being delivered in accordance with contracted scope
	Timeliness: Economic projects being delivered in accordance with contracted timelines (within 5 per cent variation)
Regional development (BP3 p146)	Quantity: Actual export sales generated for regional businesses as a result of participation in government programs
	Quantity: Economic development and service delivery projects supported
	Quantity: Jobs in regional Victoria resulting from government investment facilitation services and assistance
	Quantity: New investment in regional Victoria resulting from government facilitation services and assistance
Trade (BP3 p147)	Quantity: International delegates participated in the inbound trade mission program
	Quantity: Significant interactions with Victorian agri-food companies and exporters, international customers and trading partners that facilitate export and investment outcomes for Victoria
Objective 4: More productive and liveable places, towns and cities through integrated and user-focused transport services and better infrastructure	improved transport infrastructure
	Safety of the transport system
	User satisfaction of the transport system
	Reliable travel
Relevant objective 4 outputs:	
Road Operations and Network Improvements	Quantity: Major road improvement projects completed: metropolitan
D Performance Measurement Framework	Quantity: Major road improvement projects completed: regional

RTI1819-073-DSDMIP - Document no. 31 of 43

Appendix B: Methodology – effective measure refinement

The FABRIC framework, developed by UK Treasury, outlines quality criteria for performance measures and allows us to test the robustness of all measures.

Criteria	Questions	
Relevant	 Does the measure attempt to capture success around a key objective? What does it tell you about how the organisation is performing? Does it accurately represent what you are trying to assess? 	
Avoids perverse incentives	 Does the measure encourage unwanted behaviour (e.g. not reporting mistakes)? Could you improve the measure without improving performance in real life? Does it allow innovation? Or does it discourage improvements to service delivery? 	
Attributable	 Is the measure influenced by the department's actions? Are you clear where accountability for the measure lies? How strongly do your actions affect the measure? Can a SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Timed) target be set? 	"the system the inform
Well-defined	 Is the measure expressed clearly, so that it is easy to understand? Does it have an unambiguous definition, so it can be collected consistently? Can you gather all the data or evidence you need to produce the measure? 	Therefore, perf needs to be: 1. Accurate
Timely	 Does the measure provide information in time for action to be taken? What's the lag between the event and information becoming available? Can it provide information frequently enough to track changes and take actions? 	2. Valid 3. Complete
Reliable	 Has the measure been checked by appropriate specialists? Is it responsive to change? Will it show significant changes in performance? Will the measure change because of random 'noise' rather than actual performance? 	
Comparable	 Does the measure allow comparison with past performance? Does it allow comparison with other departments delivering a similar service? 	
Verifiable	 Given the documentation, could an objective outsider come up with the same results? Does documentation exist so that the process behind the measure can be validated? 	

*"the system is only as good as the information provided"*Therefore, performance information needs to be:
1. Accurate
2. Valid
3. Complete

Source: UK National Audit Office, Performance measurement: Good practice criteria and maturity model

Appendix C: Long-list of performance measures

Vision: Queensland's economy is Australia's strongest and most diverse



Assessing success in achieving the Department's vision involves the monitoring of high-level, long-term outcomes which the Department influences, rather than controls

Vision	What does success look like?	Type of measure	Measure	Rationale	Data source	Alignment with existing metrics ³
Queensland's economy is Australia's strongest and most diverse ¹	Queensland has consistent employment growth and low unemployment	 Respond Effectiveness 	 Growth in household disposable income per capita Unemployment rate by region Labour market participation Business confidence Business investment 	A strong economy is underpinned by growth in employment and participation, which both reflect business confidence. Comparable departments in Victoria, NSW, NZ report these measures of economic performance (except business investment).	 Household income: ABS Cat. No. 5220.0 Regional unemployment: ABS Cat. No. 6291.0.55.001 Participation: QGSO Labour Force Brief² / ABS Cat. No 6202.0 Business confidence: Sensis Business index Investment: ABS Cat. No. 5206 	 Updated measures based on existing measures: Value of capital investment enabled through all DSD programs and projects Estimated number of jobs enabled by DSD
	Queensland has high labour productivity	Respond Effectiveness	Growth in gross state product (GSP) per capita	Growth in labour productivity indicates that Queensland is maintaining competitiveness which is important for attracting investment.	 ABS Cat. No. 5220 and 6401 	New measure
	Queensland's economy is driven by multiple industry sectors	RespondEffectiveness	Contribution of industry value added to growth in real GSP	Industry diversification guards against economic shocks and helps maintain employment; it is therefore an important parameter to monitor regularly.	• ABS Cat. No. 5220	New measure
	Queensland has strong trade performance and export expansion	InfluenceEffectiveness	 Total value of Queensland merchandise exports 	Export value is a key economic indicator. Exports allow Queensland businesses to grow beyond the domestic market and encourage the exploration of opportunities for product, process and finance innovation.	 QGSO Exports of Queensland goods overseas brief. 	New measure

^{1.} Source: Queensland Government Service Delivery Statements 2017-18, Department of State Development

DSD | Performance Measurement Framework

^{2.} Source: Queensland Government Statistician's Office Labour Force Brief, available at: http://www.ggso.qld.gov.au/products/reports/labour-force/index.php

^{3.} Source: List of performance metrics and indicators provided to PwC at initial project meeting 4 July 2017



Objective 1: Create an attractive investment environment

Tactical outcome measures at the objective level contain a mix of factors that the Department influences or controls

Strategy	Activities/ initiatives	What does success look like?	Type of measure	Measure	Rationale	Data source	Alignment with existing metrics
Promote Qld as a place to do business	 Advance Queensland Industry Attraction Fund (IAF) Economic and industry policy analysis and advocacy 	Queensland is home to a growing suite of new and successful businesses, including entrants from overseas, and local entrepreneurs.	 Influence Effectiveness Control Effectiveness 	 Proportion of new Australian / international regional headquarters of firms attracted to Queensland Increased leverage of government funding for new ventures 	Growth in new businesses is a lead indicator that measures Queensland's competitiveness vs other states in attracting new, large businesses	DSD contracts information	New measures
Industry engagement, partnership and support	 Advance Queensland Industry Attraction Fund (IAF) 	New industry players have a clear path through government approval processes, enabling them to commence operations in a timely manner.	 Control Effectiveness 	 Growth in new investment resulting from DSD facilitation services and assistance Growth in requests from industry for DSD assistance 	Measures effectiveness of DSD's facilitation and negotiation services and the extent to which the services meet industry needs	 AQIAF and other contract data DSD CRM 	 Updated measures relates to: Value of capital investment enabled through industry facilitation Estimated number of jobs enabled through industry facilitation projects developed or delivered
Identify and develop economic infrastructure to support industrial development	 North Australia Infrastructure Fund Opportunities Strategic use of SDAs and land access and acquisition 	New and existing industries have reduced time to market through being able to access the economic infrastructure required to commence or expand operations	 Influence Effectiveness Control Effectiveness 	 Reduction in proportion of projects that fail, due to inability to develop all required infrastructure components Ratio of infrastructure identified by DSD as opposed to requested by industry 	Ineffective negotiation with other agencies for approvals of infrastructure requirements could result in project failure and therefore lack of economic benefit. Measure of DSD's effectiveness of pre-emptive opportunity scanning.	TBDDSD CRM	 Updated measures relates to: Value of infrastructure investment being developed or delivered through capital grants programs

1. Source: Queensland Government Statistician's Office Trade data – overseas exports by port of loading, commodity (3-digit SITC revision 3) and country of destination, Queensland and Australia, 2005–06 to 2015–16 Available at: http://www.qgso.qld.gov.au/products/tables/trade-data-overseas-exports-port-load-com-sitc/index.php?region=northern



Objective 1: Create an attractive investment environment

Strategy	Activities/ initiatives	What does success look like?	Type of measure	Measure	Rationale	Data source	Alignment with existing metrics
Support priority port development	 Undertake priority port master planning 	Ports are able to expand in a sustainable way while minimising negative impacts on the surrounding environment.	Respond Effectiveness	 Growth in export volumes from the four priority ports (Gladstone, Abbot Point, Townsville, and Hay Point/Mackay) 	Demonstrating that DSD is enabling increased economic activity	QGSO Trade data – overseas exports by port of loading ¹	New measure
Enterprise growth and development	 Integrated resort developments ECRi Hub (Engineering, Construction and Resources Innovation Hub) 	Current Queensland businesses exhibit strong growth in revenue and size which generates jobs.	Influence Effectiveness	 Increasing average size of Queensland businesses Increase average revenue of Queensland businesses Increased participation through business ownership 	Growth in business size and revenue reflects a strong Queensland economy.	 ABS Cat. No. 8165.0 	New measures



Objective 2: Facilitate a pipeline of strategic projects

The Department's work across sectors and with industry partners means that many outcomes are influenced rather than controlled

Strategy	Activities / initiatives	What does success look like?	Type of measure	Measure	Rationale	Data source	Alignment with existing metrics
Feed the strategic/ major projects pipeline	 Strategic defence and biofutures projects Advancing Our Cities and Regions (AOCR) 	Queensland is the location of choice for key industries that will generate long term employment	 Influence Effectiveness 	Growth in the major projects pipeline (\$)	 Measure of industry interest in Queensland and effectiveness with which DSD targets funding and manages the pipeline 	 DSD contracts / finance system data 	Updated measures – relates to: • Value of infrastructure investment being developed or delivered through projects • Estimated number of jobs enabled through projects developed or delivered.
Facilitate and coordinate large scale and complex projects	 Queen's Wharf Brisbane AOCR Prescribed projects SDA development approvals Coordinated projects and Bilateral Agreements 	Queensland is an attractive destination for large industry investments due to seamless government processes	ControlEfficiencyService quality	 Improvement in project approval timeframes Jobs generated through major and complex projects facilitated and coordinated by DSD Stakeholder satisfaction with DSD facilitation services 	 Speed to market is an incentive for investment in Queensland versus other states 	 DSD project management data Contract reporting Surveys of proponents 	Updated measures – relates to: • Estimated number of jobs enabled through capital grants projects developed or delivered • Stakeholder satisfaction/ engagement

improvement



Objective 2: Facilitate a pipeline of strategic projects

Strategy	Activities / initiatives	What does success look like?	Type of measure	Measure	Rationale	Data source	Alignment with existing metrics
Delivery of major public capital works	 Major sporting infrastructure AOCR 	Queensland has world-class infrastructure that support liveable and productive cities	Control Effectiveness	 Increased attendance at sporting and cultural activities 	 Victoria has a similar measure for arts and cultural activities 	Survey operators of relevant infrastructure	New measure
Optimise use of government property for economic and social outcomes	 Community Hubs and Partnerships (CHaPs) 	Government property is well utilised, generating opportunities for grassroots initiatives that support employment and wellbeing	Control Effectiveness	 Reduction in under- utilised government properties Increased economic activity generated by repurposing government properties 	 Maximising utilisation of government buildings ensures value is created, whereas unutilised infrastructure consumes resources without generating value 	 Government asset register(s) Contract data 	Updated measures – relates to: Direct public capital invested in property assets
Economic analyses to inform project investment decisions	 Industry policy analysis and advice 	Investment is evidence-based to maximise ROI	ControlEffectiveness	Growth in return on investment for DSD initiatives	 Increasing ROI across initiatives measures the effectiveness with which investment is targeted 	DSD contracts information	 Updated measure relates to: 10 % Return on Investment



Objective 3: Advance regional communities

A focus on effectiveness measures at strategic and tactical levels will tell a compelling performance story

Strategy	Activities/ initiatives	What does success look like?	Type of measure	Measure	Rationale	Data source	Alignment with existing metrics
Support for regionally significant projects	 Jobs and Regional Growth Fund 	Increasing prosperity of regional economies	 Control Effectiveness 	 Growth in investment in Queensland regions resulting from DSD facilitation services and assistance Growth in employment in Queensland regions resulting from DSD facilitation services and assistance 	 Measures effectiveness of DSD's regionally focused initiatives 	 DSD contracts / surveys of proponents Treasury formula / contract data 	Updated measures - relates to: • Estimated number of jobs enabled through projects developed or delivered
Delivery of regional economic infrastructure	 Building our Regions Infrastructure Program Strong and Sustainable Resource Communities (SSRC) Framework North Stradbroke Island Economic Transition Strategy 	More productive and liveable towns through delivering new and improved infrastructure in Queensland regions	Influence Effectiveness	 Population growth or maintenance per region Job creation due to DSD funded infrastructure as a proportion of regional employment Ratio of funding applications to funds available for the DSD Grants Programs 	 Measure of liveability of regions An indicator of how well targeted funding programs are 	 Census data Grants Program Data 	New measures
Place based / local collaboration to support regions	 Rapid response including worker transition Back to Work Program 	Vibrant regional communities with diverse employment opportunities and a population with the skills to match	Influence Effectiveness	 Positive trends in regional employment Time to obtain re-employment for people affected by industry changes Reduction in percentage of unemployed youth per region 	 Employment is an indicator of regional economic strength NZ equivalent department reports a similar measure 	 Back to Work Program data, ABS Cat. No. 6202 	New measures
Natural disaster / economic recovery support	Economic recovery	Rapid and effective economic recovery for regions impacted by natural disasters	Control Effectiveness	 Percentage of pre-existing businesses that continue / re-commence operations after a natural disaster 	Untimely disaster recovery can have a flow on effect to regional populations, employment opportunities and therefore long-term economic consequences	ABN register	New measure
Development of supply chains	 Supply chain development including local content 	Integrated supply chains support export activity which contributes to regional economies	Influence Effectiveness	 Growth in export sales generated for regional businesses as a result of DSD programs Growth in regional employment from involvement in major project supply chains 	 Victoria's equivalent department has a similar measure 	DSD contracts data	New measures

DSD | Performance Measurement Framework

RTI1819-073-DSDMIP - Document no. 39 of 43

Objective 4: Lead strategic development of priority and emerging industries



Effectiveness measures for this objective focus on measuring how well the Department identifies future economic needs and targets funds accordingly

Strategy	Activities/ initiatives	What does success look like?	Type of measure	Measure	Rationale	Data source	Alignment with existing metrics
Grow priority industries	Biofutures Industry Development Fund Development and implementation of 10 year road maps: • Biofutures • Advanced Manufacturing • Mining, equipment, technology and services • Biomedical • Defence • Aerospace	Queensland has a high proportion of well-paid 'knowledge economy' jobs as a result of attracting high-tech, high-growth industries	 Influence Effectiveness 	 Growth in employment in the six priority industries Growth in value of GSP for the six priority industries 	 NSW's equivalent department tracks this measure of GSP for key industry sectors 	DSD data collection	 Existing measure – Growth in six priority industry sectors New measure
Support for traditional / transitioning industries	 Made in Queensland Program Economic intelligence to monitor industry demand drivers and implications 	Businesses in traditional industries are skilled and equipped to adapt to disruption	 Respond Effectiveness Control Effectiveness 	 Queensland performance in manufacturing Performance of grant recipient businesses compared to non- recipient 	Direct effectiveness measures to assess how well targeted transitional strategies are. Manufacturing indices are leading indicators of economic performance.	• ABS Cat. No. 5204.0 and ABS Cat. No. 5220.0	New measures
Identification of next wave of priority / emerging industries	Industry policy and advice	Queensland is the first state in Australia to attract and grow emerging sectors	 Influence Effectiveness 	 Proportion of emerging industries identified by DSD that commence operations in Queensland 	Measures effectiveness of DSD policy areas in targeting emerging industries Cross dependencies with 'promoting Queensland as a place to do business' (Strategic Objective 1)	DSD contracts data	New measure

Objective 5: Develop and support agile DSD service delivery

For support services, efficiency is important to ensure that funds going to core service delivery can be maximised

Strategy	Activities/ initiatives	What does success look like?	Type of measure	Measure	Rationale	Data source	Alignment with existing metrics
Efficient, effective and agile financial and HR management	HR Systems transformation projects	DSD's internal support functions operate to the highest standard possible to enable the Department to achieve its strategic objectives.	 Control Efficiency Effectiveness 	 Average cost per pay run Minimal variance from Budget Job empowerment¹ 	Measures of efficiency and effectiveness that DSD can track over time	 DSD finance/HR systems Working for Queensland Survey 	 New measure New measure Existing measure people engagement
Effective and ethical governance and project management	 Risk and contract management framework. DSD Board and governance committees Project Management Centre of Excellence (PMCOE) 	DSD is transparent and accountable in responsibly managing public funds.	ControlEffectivenessEfficiency	 Percentage of risks that turn into issues Percentage of DSD Board and governance committee actions completed on time Uptake of project management training offered by the PMCOE 	 Measures of efficiency and effectiveness that DSD can track over time 	 DSD risk register DSD Board papers PMCOE training records and payroll data 	 New measure New measure Updated measure – relates to: Participation in internal L&D opportunities
Strategy into action enablers (people, process, technology)	 Workforce Plan including PSC 3 and 10 year human capital roadmap actions IT Roadmap Professional and responsive legal, communications, corporate services, Internal Audit, cabinet processes and organisational performance 	DSD's workforce is inspired to achieve the strategic objectives of the Department and are supported by adequate processes and technology to assist them in doing so.	 Control Effectiveness Efficiency Quality 	 Job empowerment¹ Proportion of IT projects completed on time and within budget tolerances Internal customer satisfaction with support services 	Measures of efficiency and effectiveness that DSD can track over time	 Working for Queensland survey Internal surveys IT project reporting Aurion 	 Existing measure people engagement Updated measure – Percentage increase in the Department's engagement score in the Working for Queensland Survey New measure New measure Existing measure

1. 2016 Working for Queensland survey data was released on 18 July 2017. We have used 'job empowerment' as the measure as it is available across all time series. The 'job engagement and satisfaction' score was not available in the 2016 data set therefore a comparison between years was not possible.

DSD | Performance Measurement Framework

Appendix D: Documents reviewed

The following documents were reviewed in forming a view of leading practice and current practices in other jurisdictions.

Jurisdiction	Agency	Document	Available from:
Canada	Treasury	Management Accountability Framework	https://www.canada.ca/en/treasury-board-secretariat/services/management-accountability-framework.html
Canada	Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada	2016-17 Estimates – Report on Plans and Priorities	https://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/017.nsf/eng/h_07557.html
Commonwealth	Department of Finance	Overview of the enhanced Commonwealth performance framework	http://www.finance.gov.au/sites/default/files/rmg-130-overview-of-the-enhanced-commonwealth- performance-framework_0.pdf
Commonwealth	Department of Finance	Resource Management Guide No. 131: Developing good performance information. Available at	http://www.finance.gov.au/resource-management/performance/
New South Wales	Industry Cluster	2017-18 Budget Paper No. 3 - Budget Estimates - 06 Industry Cluster	https://www.budget.nsw.gov.au/nsw-budget-2017-18-budget-papers
New Zealand	Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment	Statement of Intent 2015-2019	http://www.mbie.govt.nz/about/who-we-are/our-publications/statement-of-intent
New Zealand	Treasury	"Managing for Outcomes" in the New Zealand Public Management System	http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/research-policy/wp/2004/04-15
Queensland	Department of the Premier and Cabinet	Queensland Government Performance Management Framework – An Overview	https://www.forgov.qld.gov.au/sites/default/files/performance-management-framework.pdf
Queensland	Department of State Development	2015-16 Annual Report	https://www.statedevelopment.qld.gov.au/resources/publication/annual-report/dsd-annual-report-2015- 16.pdf
Queensland	Department of State Development	2017-18 Budget Paper 5 - Service Delivery Statement	https://s3.budget.qld.gov.au/budget/papers/5/bp5-dsd-2017-18.pdf
Queensland	Queensland Audit Office	Report to parliament 18, 2013-14: Monitoring and reporting performance	https://www.qao.qld.gov.au/reports-parliament/monitoring-and-reporting-performance
United Kingdom	National Audit Office	Choosing the right FABRIC	https://www.nao.org.uk/report/choosing-the-right-fabric-3/
United Kingdom	National Audit Office	Performance measurement by regulators	https://www.nao.org.uk/report/performance-measurement-by-regulators/
United Kingdom	Department of Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy	BEIS Government major projects portfolio data 2017	https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/beis-government-major-projects-portfolio-data-2017
Victoria	Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Tourism and Resources	DEDJTR Outcomes Framework	http://www.vic.gov.au/publicsectorreform/outcomes/dedjtr-departmental-outcomes-framework.html
Victoria	Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Tourism and Resources	2015-16 Annual Report	http://economicdevelopment.vic.gov.au/data/assets/pdf_file/0009/1385577/10123-DEDJTR-Annual- Report-2015-16_WEB-R2.pdf
Victoria	Treasury and Finance	Getting on with the job: Victorian Budget 17/18 Service Delivery Budget Paper No. 3	https://s3-ap-southeast-2.amazonaws.com/budgetfiles201718.budget.vic.gov.au/BP3_2017- 18_ServiceDelivery.pdf
Victoria	Victorian Auditor-General's Office	Public Sector Performance Measurement and Reporting	http://www.audit.vic.gov.au/reports_and_publications/latest_reports/2014-15/20141015-ps- performance.aspx
Victoria	Treasury and Finance	Performance Management Framework for Victorian Government Departments	http://www.dtf.vic.gov.au/Government-Financial-Management/Planning-Budgeting-and-Financial- Reporting-Frameworks/Performance-Management-Framework



© 2017 PricewaterhouseCoopers Consulting (Australia) Pty Limited. All rights reserved. PwC refers to the Australian member firm, and may sometimes refer to the PwC network. Each member firm is a separate legal entity. Please see www.pwc.com/structure for further details.